

430.27(b)(1)(iv). The petition includes a suggested alternate test procedure and calculation methodology to determine the energy consumption of Empire's specified condensing direct heaters. DOE is considering including this alternate procedure in its subsequent Decision and Order.

DOE solicits comments from interested parties on all aspects of the petition, including the suggested alternate test procedure and calculation methodology. Pursuant to 10 CFR 430.27(b)(1)(iv), any person submitting written comments to DOE must also send a copy of such comments to the petitioner. The contact information for the petitioner is: Kenneth J. Belding, Vice President—Delivery Support Services, Empire Comfort Systems, Inc., 918 Freeburg Avenue, Belleville, Illinois 62220-2623. All submissions received must include the agency name and case number for this proceeding. Submit electronic comments in WordPerfect, Microsoft Word, Portable Document Format (PDF), or text (American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) file format and avoid the use of special characters or any form of encryption. Wherever possible, include the electronic signature of the author. DOE does not accept telefacsimiles (faxes).

According to 10 CFR 1004.11, any person submitting information that he or she believes to be confidential and exempt by law from public disclosure should submit two copies to DOE: one copy of the document including all the information believed to be confidential, and one copy of the document with the information believed to be confidential deleted. DOE will make its own determination about the confidential status of the information and treat it according to its determination.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 27, 2011.

Kathleen B. Hogan,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

July 21, 2011

(revised August 25, 2011)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Building Technologies Program
Test Procedure Waiver
1000 Independence Avenue SW
Mail Stop EE-2J
Washington, DC 20585-0121

Gentlemen:

This is a request for a waiver to the current, prescribed method of testing efficiency for the models listed on Certificate of Compliance 1764957

(111946) from CSA International (enclosed).

The current D.O.E. test procedures, found in the **Federal Register** Part IV10 CFR430, notice dated May 1997, do not address condensing type heating equipment. Mantis is a condensing type direct heater, vented with PVC.

The Mantis was tested for efficiency using portions of the ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 103-1993, Method of Testing for AFUE of Residential Central Furnaces and Boilers. This standard was used as a guideline for test procedures and calculations through steady state, including condensate collection, cool-down, heat-up and cyclic tests. Sections of the ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 103-1993 covering air temperature rise and static pressure cannot be applied to this type of equipment and were omitted.

Enclosed you will find an email correspondence from Phil Gauthier of the AHRI Direct Heating Certification Program suggesting we request a waiver with associated pertinent information.

Also enclosed, for your review, is our request for test with Intertek and subsequent test results. I have also included our correspondence with Phil Gauthier of AHRI recommending we request this waiver.

As requested, in Word format, please see below those sections of ASHRAE 103-1993 that do not apply to Mantis testing.

4. 4.1.2, 4.2.2, 4.2.3, 4.3.1, 4.4.3, 4.5.1, 4.5.2.1, 4.5.2.2, 4.5.2.4, 4.6.1, 4.7.1, 4.7.2, 4.7.3, 4.8.1, 4.8.2, 4.8.3, 4.9.1, 4.9.2, 4.9.3, 4.10.2, 4.11.2, 4.11.3
5. All
6. 6.1, 6.10.1, 6.10.3, 6.11.2
7. 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.7
8. 8.1, 8.2.1, 8.2.1.2, 8.2.14, 8.2.1.5.1, 8.2.1.5.2, 8.2.1.5.3, 8.2.2.1, 8.2.2.3, 8.2.2.4, 8.2.3, 8.3, 8.4.1.2, 8.4.2, 8.6, 8.8
9. 9.1.1.2, 9.1.1.3, 9.1.2.2.2, 9.1.2.3.2, 9.1.2.3.3, 9.3.1.3, 9.1.4, 9.3, 9.4

We appreciate your consideration in this matter.

Respectfully,

EMPIRE COMFORT SYSTEMS, INC.

Kenneth J. Belding
Vice President—Delivery Support Services

KJB:crb

Enc.

[FR Doc. 2011-28501 Filed 11-2-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OECA-2011-0209; FRL-9486-4]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semichemical Pulp Mills (Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that an Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. This is a request to renew an existing approved collection. The ICR which is abstracted below describes the nature of the collection and the estimated burden and cost.

DATES: Additional comments may be submitted on or before December 5, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing docket ID number EPA-HQ-OECA-2011-0209, to: (1) EPA online using <http://www.regulations.gov> (our preferred method), or by email to docket.oeca@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), Environmental Protection Agency, Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center, mail code 2822IT, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460; and (2) OMB at: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Learia Williams, Monitoring, Assistance, and Media Programs Division, Office of Compliance, Mail Code 2223A, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564-4113; fax number: (202) 564-0050; email address: williams.learia@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has submitted the following ICR to OMB for review and approval according to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12. On May 9, 2011 (76 FR 26900), EPA sought comments on this ICR pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.8(d). EPA received no comments. Any additional comments on this ICR should be submitted to both

EPA and OMB within 30 days of this notice.

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under docket ID number EPA-HQ-OECA-2011-0209, which is available for public viewing online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or in person at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Enforcement and Compliance Docket is (202) 566-1752.

Use EPA's electronic docket and comment system at <http://www.regulations.gov>, to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select "docket search," then key in the docket ID number identified above. Please note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at <http://www.regulations.gov>, as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Title: NESHAP for Chemical Recovery Combustion Sources at Kraft, Soda, Sulfite, and Stand-Alone Semicheical Pulp Mills (Renewal)

ICR Numbers: EPA ICR Number 1805.06, OMB Control Number 2060-0377.

ICR Status: This ICR is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2011. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB.

Abstract: Hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions from chemical recovery combustion sources at kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone semicheical pulp mills cause or contribute to air pollution that may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health or welfare. Therefore, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) were promulgated for this source category.

The control of HAP emissions from chemical recovery combustion sources at kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone

semicheical pulp mills requires the installation of properly designed equipment and the operation and maintenance (O&M) of that equipment. This NESHAP, covering emissions from chemical recovery combustion sources at kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone semicheical pulp mills, relies on the capture and/or reduction of HAP emissions by recovery furnaces, smelt dissolving tanks (SDTs), lime kilns, soda, and sulfite combustion units.

Pulp mill owners or operators (respondents) are required to submit initial notifications, maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. Respondents are required to monitor and keep records of specific operating parameters for each control device and to perform and document periodic inspections of the closed vent and wastewater conveyance systems. In order to reduce the burden as much as possible, the compliance monitoring and recordkeeping requirements are designed to cover parameters that are already being monitored as part of the manufacturing process. All respondents must submit semiannual summary reports of monitored parameters, and they must submit an additional monitoring report during each quarter in which monitored parameters were outside the ranges established in the standard or during initial performance tests. A source identified to be out of compliance with the NESHAP will be required to submit quarterly reports until the Administrator is satisfied that the source has corrected its compliance problem.

All reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA regional office. This information is being collected to assure compliance of 40 CFR part 63, subpart MM, as authorized in section 112 and 114(a) of the Clean Air Act. The required information consists of emissions date and other information that have been determined to be private.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15, and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 526 hours per

response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Chemical recovery combustion sources at kraft, soda, sulfite, and stand-alone semicheical pulp mills.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 111.

Frequency of Response: Initially, occasionally, quarterly, and semiannually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 126,207.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$12,630,524, which includes \$11,918,524 in labor costs, no capital/startup costs, and \$712,000 in operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

Changes in the Estimates: The adjustment decrease in burden from the most recently approved ICR is due to a more accurate estimate of existing and anticipated new sources. After consulting the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) and trade associations, our data indicates that there are approximately 111 sources subject to the rule, as compared with the active ICR that shows 130 sources. No new facilities are expected to be constructed over the next three years of this ICR. The decline in the number of sources is due mainly to plant closures. This industry is undergoing widespread consolidation and corporate restructuring. However, there is an increase in cost per labor hours due to the updated labor rates.

Because there are no new sources with reporting requirements, no capital/startup costs are incurred. The only cost that is incurred is for the operation and maintenance (O&M) of the monitoring equipment.

Dated: October 27, 2011.

John Moses,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 2011-28524 Filed 11-2-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P