administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list.—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission’s rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these investigations available to authorized applicants representing interested parties (as defined in 19 U.S.C. §§ 1677(9)) who are parties to the investigations under the APO issued in the investigations, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Conference.—The Commission’s Director of Investigations has scheduled a conference in connection with these investigations for 9:30 a.m. on November 16, 2011, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. Requests to appear at the conference should be filed with the Office of the Secretary (William.Bishop@usitc.gov and Sharon.Bellamy@usitc.gov) on or before November 14, 2011. Parties in support of the imposition of countervailing and antidumping duties in these investigations and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission’s deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

Written submissions.—As provided in sections 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission’s rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before November 21, 2011, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigations. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must comply with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission’s rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission’s Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

Please be aware that the Commission’s rules with respect to electronic filing have been amended. The amendments will take effect on November 7, 2011. See 74 FR 61937 (Oct. 6, 2011). For those materials submitted to the Commission in this proceeding on and after the effective date of these amendments please refer to 74 FR 61937 (Oct. 6, 2011) and the newly revised Commission’s Handbook on E-Filing.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.12 of the Commission’s rules.

Issued: October 27, 2011.
By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein, Secretary to the Commission.

[F.R. Doc. 2011–28486 Filed 11–2–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–810]

Certain Navigation Products, Components Thereof, and Related Software; Institution of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.


The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on October 27, 2011, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain navigation products, components thereof, and related software that infringe one or more of claims 1, 2, 11, and 16 of the ’565 patent; claim 1 of the ’367 patent; claim 1 of the ’094 patent; and claim 8 of the ’561 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which
this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are: Furuno Electric Co., Ltd., 9–52 Ashihara-cho, Nishinomiya City, Hyogo, 662–8580, Japan.

Furuno U.S.A., Inc., 4400 NW., Pacific Rim Boulevard, Camas, WA 98607.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Honeywell International Inc., 101 Columbia Road, Morristown, NJ 07960.

Skyforce Avionics Ltd., 5 The Old Granary, Boxgrove, Chichester, West Sussex, PO18 OES UK.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Charles E. Bullock, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Office of Unfair Import Investigations will not participate as a party in this investigation.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: October 27, 2011.

James R. Holbein,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2011–28485 Filed 11–2–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–11–030]

Government In The Sunshine Act Meeting Notice


TIME AND DATE: November 9, 2011 at 9:30 a.m.


STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:
1. Agendas for future meetings: None
2. Minutes
3. Ratification List

Vote in Inv. Nos. 701–TA–476 and 731–TA–1179 (Final)(Multilayered Wood Flooring from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners’ opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before November 21, 2011.

5. Outstanding action jackets: None

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: October 27, 2011.

William R. Bishop,
Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.
[FR Doc. 2011–28566 Filed 11–1–11; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division


Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States hereby publishes below the comment received on the proposed Final Judgment in United States v. George’s Foods, LLC, et al., Civil Action No. 5:11–cv–00043, which was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, Harrisonburg Division, on May 10, 2011, together with the response of the United States to the comment.

Copies of the comment and the response are available for inspection at the Department of Justice Antitrust Division, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 1010, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 514–2481), on the Department of Justice’s Web site at http://www.usdoj.gov/atr, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, Harrisonburg Division, 116 N. Main Street, Harrisonburg, Virginia 22802. Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Patricia A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement.

In The United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia

Harrisonburg Division

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. George’s Foods, LLC, George’s Family Farms, LLC.

Civil Action No. 5:11–cv–00043.

By: Glen E. Conrad, Chief United States District Judge.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h) (“APPA” or “Tunney Act”), the United States hereby files the public comment concerning the proposed Final Judgment in this case and the United States’ response to that comment. After careful consideration of the comment submitted, the United States continues to believe that the proposed Final Judgment will provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violations alleged in the Complaint. The United States will move the Court for entry of the proposed Final Judgment after the public comment and this response have been published in the Federal Register, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(d).

I. Procedural History

On May 10, 2011, the United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint against George’s Foods, LLC; George’s Family Farms, LLC; and George’s, Inc. (collectively, “Defendants” or “George’s”) alleging that George’s acquisition of a Harrisonburg, Virginia chicken processing complex (“the Transaction”) from Tyson Foods, Inc. (“Tyson”) likely would substantially lessen competition for the services of broiler growers operating in and around the Shenandoah Valley area of Virginia and West Virginia, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18.

On June 23, 2011, the United States filed a proposed Final Judgment, which is designed to remedy the expected anticompetitive effects of the Transaction, and a Stipulation signed by the United States and the Defendants consenting to the entry of the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the requirements of the Tunney Act, 15