**SECTION 226.1010**

**COMMISSION'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO DISMISS PETITION FOR REVOCATION OF OCC’S EXEMPTIONS**

Pursuant to section 226.1010 of the Commodity Exchange Act (the "Act"), as amended by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), the Commission hereby notifies the parties that it has determined to dismiss the petition for revocation of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission’s (the "Commission") exemptions from the provisions governing self-regulatory organizations ("SROs") under section 5 of the Commodity Exchange Act (the "CEA") set forth in paragraphs (b)(5) and (b)(11) of section 5b of the CEA in: (i) rule 2.28 of the Board of Trade of the City of Chicago, (ii) rule 4.45 of the Kansas City Board of Trade, (iii) rule 1.21 of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, (iv) rule 6.2 of the Minneapolis Grain Exchange, (v) rule 5.44 of the Chicago Board of Trade, and (vi) rule 7.41 of the Chicago Board Options Exchange.

**Background**

The Commission is required to determine whether, based on the standards contained in section 5 of the CEA, the continuing need for the exemptions to be granted. These sections (the "5b provisions") generally provide that the Commission may revoke an exemption if it finds that the exemption is no longer necessary to carry out the purposes of the CEA. The petition for revocation of the CFTC’s exemptions was filed by the Chicago Mercantile Exchange on November 22, 2011.

**Order**

The Commission, upon consideration of the petition for revocation, finds that the exemptions were no longer necessary to permit the CFTC to carry out the purposes of the CEA. The Commission, therefore, hereby proposes to revoke the exemptions.

**PETITIONERS**

The petition for revocation was filed by: (i) the Chicago Mercantile Exchange; (ii) the Chicago Board of Trade; (iii) the Chicago Board Options Exchange; (iv) the Kansas City Board of Trade; and (v) the Minneapolis Grain Exchange.

**INTERVENORS**

The Commission received no intervenor letters.

**ORDER**

The Commission orders that the petition for revocation be dismissed.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove, Secretary.

*See 76 FR 722 (January 6, 2011).*

---

**Notes:**

4. Proposed Rules”) to implement the Core Principles, as amended and expanded by the Dodd Frank Act.4 The Proposed Rules propose certain minimum criteria for complying with the Core Principles, and propose certain clarifications of the more ambiguous provisions of the Core Principles. The Proposed Rules have not been adopted and will not be effective until 60 days following the date on which the CFTC publishes final rules implementing the Core Principles.

Core Principle O provides that each DCO must: (i) Establish governance arrangements that are transparent (I) To fulfill public interest requirements and (II) to permit the consideration of the views of both owners and participants, and (ii) establish and enforce appropriate fitness standards for directors, clearing members, and other individuals.

**Further procedures.** By statute, the Commission is required to issue its decision within 120 days from the date it receives the appeal. See 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5). A procedural schedule has been developed to accommodate this statutory deadline. In the interest of expedience, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service or other participants to submit information or memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. As required by Commission rules, if any motions are filed, responses are due 7 days after any such motion is filed. See 39 CFR 3001.21.

It is ordered:

1. The Postal Service shall file the applicable administrative record regarding this appeal no later than November 7, 2011.

**PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 21, 2011</td>
<td>Filing of Appeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 7, 2011</td>
<td>Deadline for Postal Service to file the applicable administrative record in this appeal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 7, 2011</td>
<td>Deadline for Postal Service to file any responsive pleading.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 22, 2011</td>
<td>Deadline for notices to intervene (see 39 CFR 3001.111(b)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 25, 2011</td>
<td>Deadline for Petitioners’ Form 61 or initial brief in support of petition (see 39 CFR 3001.115(a) and (b)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 15, 2011</td>
<td>Deadline for answering brief in support of the Postal Service (see 39 CFR 3001.115(c)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 30, 2011</td>
<td>Deadline for reply briefs in response to answering briefs (see 39 CFR 3001.115(d)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 6, 2012</td>
<td>Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument; the Commission will schedule oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings (see 39 CFR 3001.116).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 16, 2012</td>
<td>Expiration of the Commission’s 120-day decisional schedule (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5)).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Standards in order to assure compliance with subparagraph (ii) of Core Principle O.

Description of Fitness Standards

OCC believes that its Fitness Standards comply with Core Principle O by establishing minimum standards for directors and clearing members, as well as affiliates of such directors and clearing members. The Fitness Standards are generally similar to fitness standards adopted by the Depository Trust and Clearing Corporation.

OCC believes that the Fitness Standards incorporate the Proposed Rule’s minimum fitness standards for directors and clearing members, including the bases for refusal to register a person under Section 8a(2) of the CEA and, for directors only, the absence of a significant history of serious disciplinary offenses, such as those that would be disqualifying under Section 1.63 of the CFTC’s regulations. The Fitness Standards do not establish criteria for the selection of its directors or for persons “with direct access to the settlement or clearing activities” of OCC (“Access Persons”).

In OCC’s case, all members of disciplinary committees are directors of the Corporation and will be subject to the Fitness Standards as such. With respect to Access Persons, neither the CEA nor the Proposed Rules provide any explicit guidance as to the persons intended to be included in the phrase “any other individual or entity with direct access to the settlement or clearing activities of the [DCO].” Similarly, the term “direct access” is not defined in the CEA or the Proposed Rules. However, Core Principle O is closely modeled on existing designated contract market (“DCM”) Core Principle 14, which also requires that fitness standards be established for directors, members and “any other persons with direct access to the facility.” The CFTC has previously issued guidance on DCM Core Principle 14 and interpreted “persons with direct access to the facility” to include “non-member market participants who are not intermediated and do not have [member] privileges, obligations,

This interpretation suggests that “access” is intended to mean the type of access that a member would have. OCC believes that by analogy “persons with direct access to the settlement or clearing activities” of a DCO, as used in Core Principle O, is intended to refer to persons with access to submit transactions for clearing or to give instructions to OCC regarding accounts or transactions or otherwise have access to the clearing system in a manner similar to the access that a Clearing Member would have. OCC also does not read “any other individual or entity with direct access to the settlement or clearing activities of the [DCO]” to include OCC employees or service providers such as settlement banks. Accordingly, OCC believes that there are presently no persons with “direct access” to the settlement and clearing activities of OCC other than clearing members.

By-Law Changes

Article III (Board of Directors) and Article V (Clearing Members) set forth qualifications for directors and clearing members, respectively. The Interpretations and Policies under the appropriate sections of both Articles are being amended to incorporate the applicable Fitness Standards by reference.

III. Discussion

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder and particularly with the requirements of Sections 17A(b)(3)(A) and (C) of the Act. Section 17A(b)(3)(A) of the Act requires that a clearing agency is so organized to facilitate the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and derivative agreements, contracts, and transactions for which it is responsible. The proposed rule change establishes Fitness Standards for the purpose of permitting OCC to comply with new Core Principle O, applicable to DCOs under the CEA. The proposed rule change is consistent with 17A(b)(3)(A) because it is designed to assure that OCC has the governance structure in place to clear and settle the transactions that it clears and settles as DCO.

Furthermore, the Commission notes that the proposed rule change does not affect OCC’s governance structure with respect to the fair representation of its shareholders and participants in the selection of its directors and administration of its affairs.

Accordingly, OCC’s rules should continue to assure the fair representation of its shareholders and participants as required by Section 17A(b)(3)(C).

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change [File No. SR–OCC–2011–12] be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on Proposed Rule Change To Describe Complimentary Services That Are Offered to Certain New Listings on NASDAQ’s Global and Global Select Markets

October 28, 2011.

On August 30, 2011, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (“NASDAQ” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”), and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, a proposed rule change to add rule text explaining services offered by NASDAQ to certain newly listing companies and the retail value of such services. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal

5 This rule change adds Interpretations and Policies entitled “Fitness Standards” to Sections 2, 6, 6A, and 7 of Article III and Section 1 of Article V of OCC’s By-Laws.

6 OCC has noted that in a prior discussion with the CFTC staff, the CFTC staff indicated that the proposed rule change may become effective after July 10, 2011 without impacting OCC’s status as a DCO.

7 OCC has no standing disciplinary committee. Disciplinary committees are formed on an ad hoc basis. See OCC Rule 1202(a).

8 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(3)(A) and (C).


11 In approving this proposed rule change the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact of efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78j(f).

