percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. These products are only manufactured by hot-rolling and are normally sold in coiled form, and are of solid cross section. The majority of wire rods sold in the United States are round in cross-section shape, annealed, and pickled. The most common size is 5.5 millimeters in diameter.

The wire rods subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7221.00.0005, 7221.00.0015, 7221.00.0030, 7221.00.0045, and 7221.00.0075 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memo), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin of dumping likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Issues and Decision Memo and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rods from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mukand Ltd</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunstar Metals Ltd</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Foundry Ltd</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notification Regarding APO

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 24, 2011.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FPR Doc. 2011–28411 Filed 11–11–11; 8:45 am]
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Welded ASTM A–312 Stainless Steel Pipe From South Korea and Taiwan: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on welded ASTM A–312 stainless steel pipe from South Korea and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews for both orders pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

1 Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India, 56 FR 63335 (December 1, 1991).
DATES: Effective Date: November 2, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jacqueline Arrowsmith or Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5255 and (202) 482-1391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2011, the Department published the notice of initiation of the third sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on welded ASTM A–312 stainless steel pipe from South Korea and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review, 76 FR 38613 (July 1, 2011).

The Department received a letter of intent to participate on behalf of Bristol Metals LLC and Felker Bros. Corp. (collectively “domestic interested parties”), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) for each sunset review. The companies claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as producers of the subject merchandise in the United States.

The Department received an adequate substantive response to the notice of initiation from the domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties with respect to either of the orders covered by these sunset reviews. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on welded ASTM A–312 stainless steel pipe from South Korea and Taiwan.

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is welded austenitic stainless steel pipe that meets the standards and specifications set forth by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) for the welded form of chromium-nickel pipe designated ASTM A–312. The merchandise covered by the scope of the order also includes austenitic welded stainless steel pipes made according to the standards of other nations which are comparable to ASTM A–312.

Welded ASTM A–312 stainless steel pipe (WSSP) is produced by forming stainless steel flat-rolled products into a tubular configuration and welding along the seam. WSSP is a commodity product generally used as a conduit to transmit liquids or gases. Major applications for steel pipe include, but are not limited to, digester lines, blow lines, pharmaceutical lines, petrochemical stock lines, brewery process and transport lines, general food processing lines, automotive paint lines, and paper process machines. Imports of WSSP are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7306.40.5005, 7306.40.5015, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5062, 7306.40.5064, and 7306.40.5085.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Welded ASTM A–312 Stainless Steel Pipe from South Korea and Taiwan” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” consist of the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Commerce Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on Welded ASTM A–312 Stainless Steel Pipe from South Korea and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margins are likely to prevail:

**ANTIDUMPING DUTY ORDER ON WELDED ASTM–A312 STAINLESS STEEL PIPE FROM SOUTH KOREA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sammi Metal Products Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>7.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SeAH Steel Corp (successor to Pusan Steel Pipe Co., Ltd.)</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ANTIDUMPING DUTY ORDER ON WELDED ASTM–A312 STAINLESS STEEL PIPE FROM TAIWAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jaung Yuann Enterprise Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>31.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 HTS 7306.40.5065 previously listed in the scope of the order for this product is no longer a valid reporting number, having been replaced by HTS 7306.40.6052 and 7306.40.6054 as of January 1, 1996.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 26, 2011.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–28425 Filed 11–1–11; 8:45 am]
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Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Postponement of Final Determination, and Negative Critical Circumstances Determination: Bottom Mount Combination Refrigerator-Freezers From the Republic of Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: We preliminarily determine that bottom mount combination refrigerator-freezers (bottom mount refrigerators) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) are being sold, or are likely to be sold, in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 733(b) of the Act. The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the “Suspension of Liquidation” section of this notice. In addition, we preliminarily determine that there is no reasonable basis to believe or suspect that critical circumstances exist with respect to the subject merchandise exported from Korea. The critical circumstances analysis for the preliminary determination is discussed below under the section “Critical Circumstances.”

Background

Since the initiation of this investigation on April 19, 2011 (see Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Bottom Mount Combination Refrigerator-Freezers From the Republic of Korea and Mexico, 76 FR 23281 (April 26, 2011) (Initiation Notice)), the following events have occurred.

On May 2, 2011, Daewoo Electronics Corporation (Daewoo) identified itself as an exporter and producer of the subject merchandise in Korea and requested that it be designated as a mandatory respondent. On May 10, 2011, we included Daewoo as a mandatory respondent in this investigation. See Memorandum to James Maeder, Director, Office 2, from David Goldberger, Senior International Trade Analyst, entitled, “Inclusion of Daewoo as a Mandatory Respondent,” dated May 10, 2011.


On May 20, 2011, we issued section A of the questionnaire (i.e., the section covering general information) to Daewoo, LG Electronics, Inc. (LG), and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (Samsung). We issued sections B through E of the questionnaire (i.e., the sections covering comparison market sales, U.S. sales, cost of production (COP) information, and further manufacturing information, respectively) to these respondents on May 25, 2011.

Also, in May 2011, various interested parties, including Whirlpool Corporation (hereafter, the petitioner), submitted comments on the scope of this and the concurrent antidumping and countervailing duty investigations of bottom mount refrigerators from Mexico and Korea. See “Scope Comments” section of this notice.

We received responses to section A of the questionnaire from Daewoo, LG, and Samsung in June 2011, and to sections B, C, and D of the questionnaire in July 2011. No responses to section E of the questionnaire were necessary.

We issued supplemental questionnaires from July through September 2011, and we received responses to these supplemental questionnaires from July through October 2011.

On July 29, 2011, the petitioner alleged that critical circumstances existed with respect to bottom mount refrigerators produced and exported from Korea. On August 10, 2011, we requested monthly shipment data from the respondents for the period January 2008 through July 2011 for purposes of this analysis.

On August 11, 2011, the petitioner submitted allegations related to affiliated party transactions and the major input rule with respect to subject merchandise produced and exported from Korea by LG and Samsung.

Also on August 11, 2011, the petitioner requested that the date for the issuance of the preliminary determination in this investigation be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yeun Chyang Industrial Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>31.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>22.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The weighted average margins indicated in the table above are the preliminary results of our analysis.