* * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes,” and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board’s regulations (15 CFR part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the Board’s regulations allow for the possible exemption of one magnet site in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81l), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on October 27, 2011. The applicant is authorized to make the proposal under the New York State County Law, Section 224 (21).

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Genesee Gateway Local Development Corporation to establish a general-purpose foreign-trade zone at sites in Genesee County, New York, adjacent to the Rochester Customs and Border Protection (CBP) port of entry, under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170–1173, 1/12/09 (correction 74 FR 3907, 1/22/09); 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81l), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on October 27, 2011. The applicant is authorized to make the proposal under the New York State County Law, Section 224 (21).

The proposed zone would be the second general-purpose zone for the Rochester CBP port of entry. The existing zone is as follows: FTZ 141, County of Monroe, New York (Grantee: County of Monroe, New York, Board Order 355, 04/15/87).

The applicant’s proposed service area under the ASF would be Genesee County, New York. If approved, the applicant would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is adjacent to the Rochester Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The proposed zone would include two “magnet” sites in Genesee County: Proposed Site 1 (186 acres)—Apple Tree Acres, southeast corner of the intersection of State Route 33 & State Route 19, Bergen; and, Proposed Site 2 (200 acres)—Genesee Valley Agri-Business Park, between State Route 63 and State Route 5, Batavia. Both sites are owned by Genesee Gateway Local Development Corporation. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 2 be so exempted.

The application indicates a need for zone services in Genesee County, New York. Several firms have indicated an interest in using zone procedures for warehousing/distribution activities for a variety of products. Specific manufacturing approvals are not being sought at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Kathleen Boyce of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is January 3, 2012. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 17, 2012.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Kathleen Boyce at Kathleen.Boyce@trade.gov or (202) 482–1346.

Dated: October 27, 2011.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.
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SUMMARY: On July 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rods from India, pursuant to section 751(c) of the
The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain stainless steel wire rods from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mukand Ltd</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunstar Metals Ltd</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Foundry Ltd</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Others</td>
<td>48.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notification Regarding APO

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 24, 2011.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
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Welded ASTM A–312 Stainless Steel Pipe From South Korea and Taiwan: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders
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SUMMARY: On July 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on welded ASTM A–312 stainless steel pipe from South Korea and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews for both orders pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the existing antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

1 Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Stainless Steel Wire Rods from India, 56 FR 63335 (December 1, 1991).