

Docket No. ER09-833	Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER09-1224	Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10-794	Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10-1350	Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10-1676	Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10-2001	Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER10-2161	Entergy Texas, Inc.
Docket No. ER10-2748	Entergy Services, Inc.
Docket No. ER10-3357	Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-2131	Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-2132	Entergy Gulf States, Louisiana, LLC
Docket No. ER11-2133	Entergy Gulf States, Louisiana, LLC
Docket No. ER11-2134	Entergy Mississippi, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-2135	Entergy New Orleans, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-2136	Entergy Texas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-2161	Entergy Texas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-3156	Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-3157	Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-3274	Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-3728	Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-3657	Entergy Arkansas, Inc.
Docket No. ER11-3658	Entergy Arkansas, Inc.

These meetings are open to the public.

For more information, contact Patrick Clarey, Office of Energy Market Regulation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at (317) 249-5937 or patrick.clarey@ferc.gov.

Dated: October 27, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-28394 Filed 11-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9485-8]

Proposed Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement agreement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("Act"), 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement agreement to address a lawsuit filed by the Engine Manufacturers Association, in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit: *Engine Manufacturers Association v. EPA*, No. 10-1331 (DC Cir.). Petitioners filed a petition for review of an EPA rule that revised the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (the RICE NESHAP). Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, EPA anticipates that, by June 15, 2012, the Agency will sign a notice of proposed rulemaking that includes a proposal to revise the RICE NESHAP to allow owners and operators of spark-

ignition 4-stroke rich burn engines that meet an emission standard requiring a 76 percent or greater reduction of the pollutant formaldehyde, to prove compliance with the standard based on approved testing that shows at least a thirty percent reduction in total hydrocarbons and that, by March 14, 2013, the Administrator of EPA will sign a final action on this proposal, which may include signature of a final rule by the Administrator. If EPA promulgates in final form an amendment to the RICE NESHAP that includes changes that are substantially the same substance as that set forth in the settlement agreement, then EMA shall promptly file a stipulation of dismissal of No. 10-1331.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed settlement agreement must be received by December 2, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID number EPA-HQ-OGC-2011-0869, online at www.regulations.gov (EPA's preferred method); by email to oei.docket@epa.gov; by mail to EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; or by hand delivery or courier to EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC, between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Comments on a disk or CD-ROM should be formatted in Word or ASCII file, avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption, and may be mailed to the mailing address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Horowitz, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General

Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202) 564-5583; fax number (202) 564-5603; email address: horowitz.michael@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Additional Information About the Proposed Settlement Agreement

This proposed settlement agreement would potentially resolve a petition for judicial review filed by Engine Manufacturers Association (EMA) for review of a rule promulgating standards that revised the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (the RICE NESHAP), 75 FR 51570 (August 20, 2010). The RICE NESHAP requires certain subcategories of four-stroke rich burn spark-ignition RICE to meet an emission standard requiring a 76 percent or greater reduction of the pollutant formaldehyde.

EMA filed a petition for review regarding these provisions. Discussions with EMA indicate that compliance with the standard can be proven based on approved testing that shows at least a thirty percent reduction in total hydrocarbons.

Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, EPA states that it anticipates that, by June 15, 2012, it will sign a notice of proposed rulemaking that includes a proposal to revise these provisions to allow owners and operators of spark-ignition four-stroke rich burn engines that meet an emission standard requiring a 76 percent or greater reduction of the pollutant formaldehyde, to prove compliance with the standard based on approved testing that shows at least a thirty percent reduction in total hydrocarbons.

and that by March 14, 2013, the Administrator of EPA will sign a final action on this proposal, which may include signature of a final rule by the Administrator. Under the proposed settlement agreement, if EPA fails to sign the proposal by June 15, 2012, or to take final action on the proposal by March 14, 2013, EMA may move the Court to lift the order staying proceedings and establish a briefing schedule. Petitioners shall have no further remedy under the agreement.

Under the proposed settlement agreement, if the relevant provisions of the final rule are in substantial conformance with the revisions in the proposed agreement, then EMA agrees to dismiss the petition for review.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement from persons who were not named as parties or intervenors to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or withhold consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines, based on any comment submitted, that consent to this settlement agreement should be withdrawn, the terms of the agreement will be affirmed.

II. Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Settlement Agreement

A. How can I get a copy of the settlement agreement?

The official public docket for this action (identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OGC-2011-0869) contains a copy of the proposed settlement agreement. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket in the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566-1752.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through www.regulations.gov. You may use the www.regulations.gov to submit or view public comments, access the index

listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, key in the appropriate docket identification number then select "search".

It is important to note that EPA's policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing online at www.regulations.gov without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute is not included in the official public docket or in the electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material, including copyrighted material contained in a public comment, will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the EPA Docket Center.

B. How and to whom do I submit comments?

You may submit comments as provided in the **ADDRESSES** section. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD ROM you submit. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the www.regulations.gov Web site to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA's preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic

public docket system is an "anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA's electronic public docket, EPA's electronic mail (email) system is not an "anonymous access" system. If you send an email comment directly to the Docket without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA's electronic public docket.

Dated: October 20, 2011.

Kevin McLean,

Acting Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2011-28389 Filed 11-1-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Information Collection Being Submitted for Review and Approval to the Office of Management and Budget

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) ways to further reduce the information