TABLE 1—FACTORED HOURS TIS FOR A YOKE—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Helicopter model</th>
<th>Types of operation</th>
<th>Rate per hour of external load lifts and takeoffs</th>
<th>Unfactored hours TIS</th>
<th>Hours TIS factor</th>
<th>Factored hours TIS on yoke (unfactored hours TIS x hours TIS factor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Load Operations.</td>
<td>All Takeoffs 2,025</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 For the purposes of this AD, an external load operation occurs each time a helicopter picks up an external load and drops it off. Any external load lift in which the helicopter achieves a vertical altitude difference of greater than 200 feet indicated attitude between the pick-up and drop-off point counts as two external load lifts in determining the proper rate per hour category.

**Note 1:** The number of unfactored hours TIS and factored hours TIS contained in Table 1 of this AD are examples and presented for illustration purposes only.

(4) By reference to Table 1 of this AD, enter the “Unfactored Hours TIS” for each category as determined by paragraph (a)(3) of this AD. Calculate the “Factored Hours TIS” by multiplying the “Unfactored Hours TIS” by the “Hours TIS Factor.”

Determine the accumulated “Total Factored Hours TIS” on each yoke by adding the factored hours TIS for each type of operation and helicopter model.

(5) Record the accumulated Total Factored Hours TIS on the component history card or equivalent record for each yoke.

(6) Continue to factor the hours TIS for each yoke by following paragraph (a)(2) through (a)(4) of this AD, and record the additional factored hours TIS on the component history card or equivalent record.

(b) For helicopters with yoke, P/N 204–011–102 (all dash numbers), installed, before further flight, unless accomplished previously:

(1) For hours TIS accumulated before the effective date of this AD, calculate and record the Total Factored Hours TIS as follows:

(i) For the Model 212 helicopters, 1 hour TIS in which passenger or internal cargo was carried equals 1 factored hour TIS; 1 hour TIS where more than 4 external load lifts occurred equals 5 factored hours TIS.

(ii) For the Model 204 and 205 series helicopters, 1 hour TIS equals 1 factored hour TIS.

Note 2: Paragraph (b)(1) gives credit to the operators for compliance with ADs 81–19–01 and 81–19–02 in establishing the starting point for the new factoring of hours TIS contained in this AD.

Note 3: The accumulated Total Factored Hours TIS for yoke, P/N 204–011–102 (all dash numbers), calculated in accordance with the applicable Bell Model 204B, 205A–1, 205B, or 212 maintenance manuals, which results in an equal or higher accumulated Total Factored Hours TIS is an acceptable alternative to meeting the factoring requirements of AD 81–19–01 (contained in Bell ASB 212–81–23, dated June 22, 1981, for the Model 212 helicopters) and AD 81–19–02 (contained in Bell ASBs 204–81–11 and 205–81–16, both dated June 22, 1981, for the Model 204 and 205 series helicopters).

(2) For hours TIS accumulated after the effective date of this AD, calculate and record the factored hours TIS on the yoke in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(6) of this AD.

(c) Revise the Airworthiness Limitations section of the applicable maintenance manuals or the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICAs) by establishing a new retirement life of 3,600 Total Factored Hours TIS for each yoke, P/N AAI–4011–102 (all dash numbers), ASI–4011–102 (all dash numbers), or 204–011–102 (all dash numbers), by making pen and ink changes or inserting a copy of this AD into the Airworthiness Limitations section of the maintenance manual or ICAs.

(4) Unless accomplished previously, record a life limit of 3,600 Total Factored Hours TIS for each yoke, P/N AAI–4011–102 (all dash numbers), ASI–4011–102 (all dash numbers), or 204–011–102 (all dash numbers), on the component history card or equivalent record.

(5) Within 100 hours TIS or 600 hours TIS since the last magnetic particle inspection (MPI) of the yoke, whichever occurs later, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 600 hours TIS, for any yoke installed on any Model 204B or 212 helicopter:

(1) Remove the yoke from the main rotor hub assembly (hub). Using a 5-power or higher magnifying glass, visually inspect each pillow block bushing hole, spindle radius, and center section web for any corrosion or mechanical damage.

(2) Perform an MPI of each yoke for a crack.

Note 4: MPI procedures are contained in Bell Standard Practices Manual BHT–ALL–SPM.

(i) Within 100 hours TIS or 2,400 hours TIS since the last MPI of the yoke, whichever occurs later, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,400 hours TIS, for any yoke installed on any Model 204B, 205A, or 205A–1 helicopter:

(1) Remove the yoke from the hub. Using a 5-power or higher magnifying glass, visually inspect each pillow block bushing hole, spindle radius, and center section web for any corrosion or mechanical damage.

(2) Perform an MPI of each yoke for a crack.

(g) Before further flight, replace each yoke with an airworthy yoke if:

(1) The yoke has 3,600 or more Total Factored Hours TIS; or

(2) The Total Factored Hours TIS for the yoke is unknown and cannot be determined; or

(3) The yoke has any corrosion or mechanical damage that exceeds any of the maximum repair damage limits; or

Note 5: The applicable Bell Component and Repair Overhaul Manual contains the maximum repair damage limitations.

Note 6: For the purposes of this AD, an hour TIS equals 1 factored hour TIS, or

Note 7: The Total Factored Hours TIS for the yoke at retirement equals 5 factored hours TIS.

Note 8: The number of unfactored hours TIS and factored hours TIS contained in Table 1 of this AD are examples and presented for illustration purposes only.
ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Cirrus Design Corporation (Cirrus) Model SR22T airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by reports of partial loss of engine power due to a dislodged rubber gasket/seal being ingested into the turbocharger. This proposed AD would require inspection and modification of the air box flange welds and slots and installation of induction system air box seals as applicable. We are proposing this AD to correct the unsafe condition on these products.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by December 19, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: (202) 493–2251.
• Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Cirrus Design Corporation, 4515 Taylor Circle, Duluth, Minnesota 55811–1548, phone: (218) 788–3000; fax: (218) 786–3525; email: fieldservice@cirrusaircraft.com; Internet: http://www.cirrusaircraft.com. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329–4148.

Examining the AD Docket
You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (phone: (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael Downs, Propulsion Engineer, Chicago ACO, FAA, O’Hare Lake Office Center, 2300 East Devon Ave., Des Plaines, Illinois 60018; phone: (847) 294–7870; fax: (847) 294–7834; email: michael.downs@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Comments Invited
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2011–1212; Directorate Identifier 2011–CE–034–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion
We received two reports of partial loss of engine power due to dislodged rubber gaskets/seals being ingested into one of the two turbochargers. The gasket/seal is located between the air-box mounting base and the turbochargers. Once the gasket/seal is ingested into a turbocharger the engine will experience a partial loss of power as the turbocharger fails to perform its function. A complete loss of power could occur if metal debris from the failing turbocharger migrates into the engine oil system and damages other engine components. Examination by Cirrus of other Cirrus Model SR22T airplanes showed early evidence of the gasket/seal starting to dislodge on at least one other airplane.

This condition, if not corrected, could result in engine failure.

Recent Relevant Service Information

FAA’s Determination
We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

Proposed AD Requirements
This proposed AD would require inspection and modification of the air box flange welds and slots and installation of air box seals and adhesive with materials better suited for the high-temperature environment encountered in close proximity to the turbocharger.

Costs of Compliance
We estimate that this proposed AD affects 67 airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

---

### ESTIMATED COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Description</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replacement of the induction system air box seals and</td>
<td>2.5 work-hours</td>
<td>$85 per</td>
<td>$139</td>
<td>$23,550.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extension of air box flange slots</td>
<td>× $212.50</td>
<td>hour</td>
<td>$351.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the manufacturer, all of the costs of this proposed AD may be covered under warranty, thereby reducing the cost impact on affected individuals. We do not control warranty coverage for affected individuals. As a result, we have included all costs in our cost estimate.

Authority for This Rulemaking
Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 4701:
“General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,

(2) Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),

(3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and

(4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):


(a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by December 19, 2011.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to the following model and serial number airplanes, certificated in any category:

(1) Group 1 Airplanes: Cirrus Design Corporation Model SR22T airplanes, serial numbers 0001 through 0169, except 0004, 0019, 0027, 0047, 0097, 0126, 0127, 0135, 0138, 0139, 0144, 0154, 0155, 0157, 0158, 0159, 0160, 0161, and 0163.

(2) Group 2 Airplanes: Cirrus Design Corporation Model SR22T airplanes, serial numbers 0004, 0019, 0027, 0047, 0097, 0126, 0127, 0135, 0138, 0139, 0144, 0154, 0155, 0157, 0158, 0159, 0160, and 0161.

(d) Subject


(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by reports of partial loss of engine power due to a dislodged rubber gasket/seal being ingested into the turbocharger. We are issuing this AD to inspect and modify the air box flange welds and slots may be incorrectly modified. Therefore, this AD still applies to these airplanes.

(f) Compliance


(i) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Michael Downs, Propulsion Engineer, Chicago ACO, FAA, O’Hare Lake Office Center, 2300 East Devon Ave., Des Plaines, Illinois 60018; phone: (847) 294–7870; fax: (847) 294–7834; email: michael.downs@faa.gov.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Cirrus Design Corporation, 4515 Taylor Circle, Duluth, Minnesota 55811–1548, phone: (218) 788–3000; fax: (218) 788–3525; email: fieldservice@cirrusaircraft.com; Internet: http://www.cirrusaircraft.com. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329–4148.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on October 27, 2011.

John R. Colomy,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–28382 Filed 11–1–11; 8:45 am]
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ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

The Maintenance Procedure (MP) 57–607, related to non destructive check of the flap tracks 2 and 5, has been introduced thru revision 4 (01/2009) of section 5–10 of the Recommended Maintenance Schedules chapter of the Aircraft Maintenance Documentation.