

**Responsible Official**

District Ranger, Mystic Ranger District, Black Hills National Forest, 8221 South Highway 16, Rapid City, South Dakota 57702.

**Nature of Decision To Be Made**

The decision to be made is whether or not to implement the proposed action or possible alternative at this time.

**Scoping Process**

Comments and input regarding the proposal will be received via direct mailing from the public, other groups, and agencies during the initial public comment period in October and November 2011. If you would like to be more involved, a public meeting is scheduled for Thursday, November 3, 2011, from 7 p.m. to 9 p.m. at the Mystic Ranger District Office, Rapid City, South Dakota. Comments submitted based on this NOI will be most useful if received within 30-days from the date of this notice. Response to the draft EIS will be sought from the interested public beginning in February 2012.

**Comment Requested**

This notice of intent provides information that the agency will prepare an environmental impact statement in response to public comment and feedback during the October and November 2011, scoping period. Comments received will assist the planning team to develop the mailing list for the draft EIS and help identify key issues and opportunities used to refine the proposal or possible alternative and mitigation measures. Comments on the DEIS will be requested during the 45-day comment period following the Notice of Availability, expected to be published in the **Federal Register** in February 2012 (See discussion below).

**Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review**

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final

environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21.

Dated: October 17, 2011.

**Robert J. Thompson,**

*Acting Deputy Forest Supervisor, Black Hills National Forest.*

[FR Doc. 2011-27404 Filed 10-21-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[Order No. 1789]

**Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 276; Kern County, CA**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the County of Kern Department of Airports, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 276, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 276 to include a site in Shafter, California, within the Bakersfield U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry (FTZ Docket 28-2011, filed 04/28/2011);

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal**

**Register** (76 FR 25300, 05/04/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

*Whereas*, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

*Now, therefore*, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 276 is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, and further subject to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit.

Dated: Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of October 2011.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

**Andrew McGilvray,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2011-27450 Filed 10-21-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Foreign-Trade Zones Board**

[Order No. 1788]

**Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 205 Under Alternative Site Framework Port Hueneme, CA**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

*Whereas*, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069-71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

*Whereas*, the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the Oxnard Harbor District, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 205, submitted an application to the Board (FTZ Docket 25-2011, filed 03/31/2011) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Ventura County, California, within and adjacent to the Port Hueneme U.S. Customs and Border Protection port of entry, and FTZ 205's existing Sites 1 through 4 would be categorized as magnet sites;

*Whereas*, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 19314-19315, 04/07/2011) and the application has been

processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendation of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 205 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board's standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, and to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 1 through 4 if not activated by October 31, 2016.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of October 2011.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign Trade Zones Board.*

[FR Doc. 2011-27452 Filed 10-21-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

#### Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind Review in Part

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("Department") is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review ("POR") is January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010. This administrative review covers multiple exporters of the subject merchandise.

Fourteen companies failed to provide separate rate information and, thus, did not demonstrate that they are entitled to a separate rate and have been treated as part of the PRC-wide entity. One company demonstrated that it is entitled to a separate rate. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise during the POR.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit comments are requested to submit with each argument a statement of the issue and a brief summary of the argument. We intend to issue the final results of this review no later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 24, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeff Pedersen or Rebecca Pandolph, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2769 or (202) 482-3627, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On January 4, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC.<sup>1</sup> On January 3, 2011, the Department notified interested parties of their opportunity to request an administrative review, including a review of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC.<sup>2</sup> In January 2011, the petitioners, American Furniture Manufacturers Committee for Legal Trade and Vaughan-Bassett Furniture Company, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners"), and the domestic interested parties, Kimball International, Inc., Kimball Furniture Group, Inc. and Kimball Hospitality Inc. (collectively, "Kimball"); Ashley Furniture; Butler Woodcrafters, Inc.; Acme Furniture Industry Inc., as well as a U.S. importer and certain foreign exporters requested that the Department conduct an administrative review. On February 28, 2011, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice initiating an antidumping duty administrative review of wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC covering 183 companies/company groupings and the period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010.<sup>3</sup>

In the Initiation Notice and Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, parties were notified that if the

<sup>1</sup> See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China, 70 FR 329 (January 4, 2005).

<sup>2</sup> See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 76 FR 90 (January 3, 2011) ("Opportunity to Request Administrative Review").

<sup>3</sup> See Initiation of Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China, 76 FR 10880 (February 28, 2011) ("Initiation Notice").

Department limited the number of respondents selected for individual examination, it would select respondents based on export/shipment data provided in response to the Department's quantity and value ("Q&V") questionnaire. The Department further stated its intention to limit the number of Q&V questionnaires issued in the review based on CBP data for U.S. imports classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") headings identified in the scope of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC. The Department noted it intended to send Q&V questionnaires to the 21 companies for which a review was requested with the largest total values of subject merchandise imported into the United States during the POR according to CBP data. See Initiation Notice, 76 FR at 10881. The Initiation Notice also notified parties that they must timely submit separate rate applications or separate rate certifications in order to qualify for a separate rate. See Initiation Notice, 76 FR at 10881-82.

On February 23, 2011, the Department issued Q&V questionnaires to the 21 companies for which a review was requested with the largest shipments by value according to information gathered from CBP.<sup>4</sup> These questionnaires requested that the companies report the Q&V of their POR exports and/or shipments of wooden bedroom furniture to the United States for the purpose of respondent selection. The Department received Q&V questionnaire responses from all of the 21 companies except

<sup>4</sup> These companies are: (1) Art Heritage International, Ltd.; Super Art Furniture Co., Ltd.; Artwork Metal & Plastic Co., Ltd.; Jibson Industries Ltd., Always Loyal International; (2) Dalian Huafeng Furniture Co., Ltd. (3) Dongguan Sunrise Furniture Co.; Taicang Sunrise Wood Industry Co., Ltd.; Shanghai Sunrise Furniture Co., Ltd.; Fairmont Designs (4) Dongguan Sunshine Furniture Co., Ltd. (5) Dorbest Ltd.; Rui Feng Woodwork Co., Ltd. aka Rui Feng Woodwork (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.; Rui Feng Lumber Development Co., Ltd. aka Rui Feng Lumber Development (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.; (6) Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Ltd. (7) Jiangmen Kinwai International Furniture Co., Ltd. (8) (9) Sen Yeong International Co., Ltd.; Sheh Hau International Trading Ltd. (10) Shanghai Aosen Furniture Co., Ltd. (11) Shanghai Fangjia Industry Co. Ltd. (12) Shanghai Maoji Imp and Exp Co., Ltd. (13) Shenzhen Fairmount Furniture Co., Ltd. (14) Shing Mark Enterprise Co., Ltd.; Carven Industries Limited (BVI); Carven Industries Limited (HK); Dongguan Zhenxin Furniture Co., Ltd.; Dongguan Yongpeng Furniture Co., Ltd. (15) Superwood Co., Ltd.; Lianjiang Zongyu Art Products Co., Ltd. (16) Taicang Fairmount Designs Furniture Co., Ltd. (17) Tube-Smith Enterprise (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd.; Tube-Smith Enterprise (Haimen) Co., Ltd.; Billionworth Enterprises Ltd. (18) (19) Wanhenglong Nueevder (Furniture) Manufacture Co., Ltd./Dongguan Wanengtong Industry Co., Ltd. (20) Woodworth Wooden Industries (Dong Guan) Co., Ltd.; and (21) Zhangzhou Guohui Industrial & Trade Co. Ltd.