By order of the Commission.
James R. Holbein,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2011–27167 Filed 10–19–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Foreign Claims Settlement Commission
[F.C.S.C. Meeting and Hearing Notice No. 11–11]

Sunshine Act Meeting

The Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, pursuant to its regulations (45 CFR 503.25) and the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b), hereby gives notice in regard to the scheduling of open meetings as follows:

Friday, October 28, 2011

10 a.m. Oral hearing on objection to Commission’s Proposed Decision in Claim No. LIB–II–016
11 a.m. Issuance of Proposed Decisions in claims against Libya

Status: Open.

All meetings are held at the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, 600 E Street, NW., Washington, DC. Requests for information, or advance notices of intention to observe an open meeting, may be directed to: Judith H. Lock, Executive Officer, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, 600 E Street, NW., Suite 6002, Washington, DC 20579. Telephone: (202) 616–6975.

Jaleh F. Barrett,
Chief Counsel.
[FR Doc. 2011–27321 Filed 10–18–11; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 4703–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

159th Meeting of the Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the authority contained in Section 512 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. 1142, the 159th open meeting of the Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans (also known as the ERISA Advisory Council) will be held on November 8–9, 2011.

The meeting will take place in C–5515 Room 1–A, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210 on November 8, from 1 p.m. to approximately 5 p.m. On November 9, the meeting will start at 9 a.m. and conclude at approximately 4 p.m., with a break for lunch, in Room S–2508 at the same address. The purpose of the open meeting is for the Advisory Council members to finalize the recommendations they will present to the Secretary. At the November 9 afternoon session, the Council members will receive an update from the Assistant Secretary of Labor for the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) and present their recommendations.

The Council recommendations will be on the following issues: (1) Current Challenges and Best Practices for ERISA Compliance for 403(b) Plan Sponsors; (2) Hedge Funds and Private Equity Investments; and, (3) Privacy and Security Issues Affecting Employee Benefit Plans (other than health care plans). Descriptions of these topics are available on the Advisory Council page of the EBSA web site, at http://www.dol.gov/ebsa/aboutEbsa/erisa_advisory_council.html.

Organizations or members of the public wishing to submit a written statement may do so by submitting 30 copies on or before November 1, 2011 to the Advisory Council, U.S. Department of Labor, Suite N–5623, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Statements also may be submitted as e-mail attachments in text or pdf format transmitted to good.larry@dol.gov. It is requested that statements not be included in the body of the e-mail. Statements deemed relevant by the Advisory Council and received on or before November 1, 2011 will be included in the record of the meeting and available in the EBSA Public Disclosure room. Do not include any personally identifiable information (such as name, address, or other contact information) or confidential business information that you do not want publicly disclosed.

Individuals or representatives of organizations wishing to address the Advisory Council should send their requests to the Executive Secretary at one of the addresses provided above or call (202) 693–8668. Oral presentations will be limited to ten minutes, time permitting, but an extended statement may be submitted for the record. Individuals with disabilities who need special accommodations should contact the Executive Secretary by November 1.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of October, 2011.
Michael L. Davis,
Deputy Assistant Secretary, Employee Benefits Security Administration.
[FR Doc. 2011–27064 Filed 10–19–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–29–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–80,297]

Steiff North America, Lincoln, RI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application received September 26, 2011, a worker requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers’ eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers Steiff North America, Lincoln, Rhode Island (Steiff North America). The negative determination was issued on September 13, 2011. The Department’s Notice of Determination was published in the Federal Register on October 5, 2011 (76 FR 61743). The workers of Steiff North America, Lincoln, Rhode Island, are engaged in activities related to the supply of distribution and sales of plush toys.

The negative determination was based on the Department’s findings that Steiff North America does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(a) or Section 222(b) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner asserts that subject firm produces “plush toys, clothing, wooden toys, and other children related items.” The Department has carefully reviewed the petitioner’s request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor’s prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.
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