10. Phytosanitary Alert System (PAS) Panel

The panel will prepare a checklist of alert sources and ensure that all available sources are being utilized but not duplicated; coordinate outreach with other related Web sites and link them to the PAS; evaluate whether users are visiting the resources page on the PAS Web site and determine whether this page should continue to be maintained; post pest reports and alerts to the NAPPO PAS Web site and prepare guidelines for the development of pest alerts.

11. Plants for Planting

The panel will organize information exchange among Government and industry in NAPPO countries to encourage progress towards implementation of RSPM 24, “Integrated pest risk management measures for the importation of plants for planting into NAPPO member countries”; complete the pest list annexes; complete development of a protocol for hot water treatment of grapevines to control Phytophthora; and review and update RSPM 18, “Guidelines for phytosanitary action following detection of Plum Pox Virus.”

12. Potato Panel

The panel will develop a NAPPO diagnostic protocol for Ralstonia solanacearum Race 3 Biovar 2; develop a NAPPO discussion paper on the efficacy of potato sprout inhibitors; gather the most recent information potato virus Y and identify the strains of concern to the NAPPO region based on biological and economic factors; and complete the review of RSPM 3, “Guidelines for movement of potatoes into a NAPPO member country.”

13. Seeds Panel

The panel will complete the NAPPO regional standard on seed movement; continue to collaborate with COSAVE on North-South seed trade facilitation; support efforts in the development of an international standard for seed; and prepare an agenda and speakers for a symposium on seed movement for the 2011 NAPPO Annual meeting.

14. Standards Panel

The panel will coordinate the review of new and amended NAPPO standards, diagnostic and treatment protocols, and implementation plans; provide updates on NAPPO standards and ISPMs for the NAPPO Newsletter; maintain the NAPPO Glossary; and provide a formal description of responsibilities for the panel.

The PPQ Associate Deputy Administrator, as the official U.S. delegate to NAPPO, intends to participate in the adoption of these regional plant health standards, including the work described above, once they are completed and ready for such consideration.

The information in this notice contains all the information available to us on NAPPO standards currently under development or consideration. For updates on meeting times and how information on the working panels that may become available following publication of this notice, go to the NAPPO Web site on the Internet at http://www.nappo.org or contact Ms. Julie Aliaga (see FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT above).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 64–2011]

Foreign-Trade Zone 272—Counties of Lehigh and Northampton, PA; Application for Reorganization/Expansion Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Lehigh Valley Economic Development Corporation, grantee of FTZ 272, requesting authority to reorganize and expand the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170, 1/12/09 (correction 74 FR 3987, 1/22/09); 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantees’ “service area” in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on October 13, 2011.

FTZ 272 was approved by the Board on April 5, 2007 (Board Order 1502, 72 FR 18960, 04/16/07), and expanded on February 13, 2009 (Board Order 1605, 74 FR 6903, 02/13/09), and on May 13, 2010 (Board Order 1679, 75 FR 29975–29976, 05/28/10).

The current zone project includes the following sites: Site 1 (727 acres)—Lehigh Valley Industrial Park VII at Bethlehem Commerce Center, 1805 E. 4th St., Bethlehem; Site 2 (96 acres)—Arcadia East Industrial Park (Lot 3), Route 512 and Silver Crest Rd., East Allen Township; Site 3 (83 acres)—Arcadia West Industrial Park (Lots 2, 5, and 6 and 7), I–78 and Route 863, Weisenburg Township; Site 4 (426 acres)—West Hills Business Center, I–78 and Route 863, Weisenburg Township; Site 5 (399 acres)—Liberty Business Center, Industrial Blvd. and Boulder Dr., Breinigsville; Site 6 (183 acres)—Lehigh Valley West Corporate Center, Nestle Way and Schantz Rd., Breinigsville; Site 7 (213 acres)—LogistiCenter, 4950 Hanoverville Rd., Bethlehem; Site 8 (163 acres)—ProLogis Park 33, 3819 and 3850 ProLogis Parkway, Lower Nazareth; and, Site 9 (442 acres)—Majestic Bethlehem Center, 3001 Commerce Blvd., Bethlehem.

The grantees’ proposed service area under the ASF would be the Counties of Lehigh and Northampton, Pennsylvania, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Lehigh Valley Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to remove Sites 2–4 and to include existing sites 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 as magnet sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 1 be so exempted. The applicant is also requesting approval of the following initial “usage-driven” site: Proposed Site 10 (21 acres)—Sigma Aldrich Chemical Company, 6950 Ambassador Drive, Allentown, Lehigh County, Pennsylvania. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application
would have no impact on FTZ 272’s authorized subzone.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Kathleen Boyce of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board. Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closure period for their receipt is December 19, 2011. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 3, 2012.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via http://www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Kathleen Boyce at kathleen.boyce@trade.gov or (202) 482–1346.

Dated: October 13, 2011.
Andrew McGilvray, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–27213 Filed 10–19–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–831]

Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China: Partial Preliminary Results, Recession of, and Intent To Rescind, in Part, the 2009–2010 Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) covering the period of review (POR) of November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. The Department initiated this review for 112 producers/exporters (companies). The Department is issuing partial preliminary results for the PRC-wide entity only, which includes the seven companies listed in Appendix III. Based on timely withdrawals of requests for review, the Department is now rescinding the review with respect to 84 companies which are listed in Appendix I. The Department also preliminarily determines that a rescission of the administrative review is warranted with respect to 14 companies which each timely submitted a “no shipment” certification. The intent to rescind is irrevocable to the companies listed in Appendix II. In addition, there are seven companies which the Department determines are subject to the PRC-wide entity rate and which are subject to these partial preliminary results. These seven companies are listed in Appendix III. Accordingly, 21 companies are subject to these partial preliminary results and the intent to rescind the administrative review and are listed in Appendix IV. The Department is issuing these partial preliminary results based on unique circumstances that have raised concerns with respect to enforcement of the antidumping duty order. Specifically, there are two mandatory respondents who are not participating in this review. Because these two companies have failed to establish their eligibility for a separate rate, the Department preliminarily determines that each of these companies are part of the PRC-wide entity. Thus, each company’s current cash deposit rate is much lower than the rate preliminarily determined to be applicable to their entries. While such circumstances do not normally warrant issuance of partial preliminary results, there are unique and serious enforcement concerns that warrant issuing preliminary results for certain companies at this time. A more detailed explanation of the disposition of each of the above companies is set forth below. The remaining seven companies under review will be covered in a separate partial preliminary results of review, and are listed in Appendix V.

Dadi is its affiliated producer. As such, we will address Zhengzhou Dadi in the context of our analysis of Shenzhen Xinboda. We do not include Zhengzhou Dadi in our company counts in this notice.

The specific facts underlying the Department’s decision for issuing these partial preliminary results are business proprietary. See Memorandum to The File, Through Barbara E. Tillman, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, and Thomas Gilgann, Program Manager, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, From: Scott Lindsay, Case Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Subject: Discussion of Business Proprietary Information for Partial Preliminary Results of Administrative Review for Fresh Garlic from the People’s Republic of China, dated concurrently with this notice.

The preliminary results of review for these seven remaining companies are currently due November 10, 2011. The Department invites interested parties to comment on these partial preliminary results for the PRC-wide entity and on our intent to rescind the administrative review of the 14 companies which certified “no shipments.” If the partial preliminary results for the PRC-wide entity are adopted in the partial final results, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise during the POR.

DATES: Effective Date: October 20, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Lindsay or Lingjun Wang, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0780 and (202) 482–2316.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 16, 1994, the Department published in the Federal Register the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the PRC. On November 1, 2010, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the PRC for the period November 1, 2009, through October 31, 2010. On November 16, 26, 29, and 30, 2010, eight companies timely requested the Department to review their exports of subject merchandise: (1) Chengwu County Yuaxiag Industry & Commerce Co., Ltd.; (2) Hebei Golden Bird Trading Co., Ltd. (Golden Bird); (3) Henan Weite Industrial Co., Ltd.; (4) Jinan Farmladying Trading Co., Ltd. (Farmladying); (5) Qingdao Xintianfeng Foods Co., Ltd.; (6) Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd. (Xinboda); (7) Weifang Hongqiao International Logisti Co., Ltd. (Hongqiao); (8) Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd. (Harmoni). On November 30, 2010, the Fresh Garlic Producers Association (FGPA) and its individual members (collectively, Petitioners) timely

1 We also initiated a review of Zhengzhou Dadi. However, the responses of Shenzhen Xinboda, a mandatory respondent, indicate that Zhengzhou

<sup>2</sup> See Antidumping Duty Order: Fresh Garlic From the People’s Republic of China, 59 FR 59209 (November 16, 1994).

<sup>3</sup> See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 75 FR 67079 (November 1, 2010).

<sup>4</sup> The individual members of the FGPA are Christopher Ranch L.L.C., The Garlic Company, Valley Garlic, and Vesseys and Company, Inc.