

relationships to facilitate better buying decisions. In order for these business tools to be useful, and to promote the procurement efficiencies required for better buying decisions, DoD requires the ability to understand the corporate structure and affiliations of its suppliers. DoD is seeking industry insight on “best practices” and how such a process may affect future offerors. In particular, DoD invites discussion at the public meeting and public comment on the following:

(1) Means of identifying whether or not a particular DoD contractor is owned or controlled by another business entity.

(2) Would it be meaningful, from the private sector perspective, to characterize an “immediate owner,” as the business entity, which has the most direct and proximate ownership or control of the offeror? If not, then please suggest an alternative characterization.

(3) Would it be meaningful, from the private sector perspective, to characterize the “highest-level owner” as a business entity, which owns or controls the one or more business entities that own or control the offeror? If not, then please suggest an alternative characterization.

(4) Would it be meaningful, from the private sector perspective, to characterize an “owner” as a business entity, other than the offeror that owns or controls the offeror, or that owns or controls other business entities that own or control the offeror? An owner could then be either an immediate owner or a highest-level owner. If not meaningful, then please suggest an alternative characterization.

(5) One potential approach is for the offeror to provide with its offer the immediate owner Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code along with the legal name, and the highest-level owner CAGE code along with the legal name (if a higher-level entity exists). If this process is considered burdensome, what are the potential ways to mitigate such burden?

(6) Are there additional factors that should be considered to accurately capture such a business environment? If so, please explain.

**Registration:** Individuals wishing to attend the public meeting should register by November 1, 2011, to ensure adequate room accommodations and to create an attendee list for secure entry to the GSA building for anyone who is not a Federal Government employee with a Government badge. Interested parties may register by at this Web site, [http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/ownership\\_of\\_offeror.html](http://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/ownership_of_offeror.html), by providing the following information:

(1) Company or organization name;

(2) Names and e-mail addresses of persons attending;

(3) Last four digits of social security number for each attendee (non-Federal employees only); and

(4) Identify presenter if desiring to speak (limited to a 10-minute presentation per company or organization).

Attendees are encouraged to arrive at least 30 minutes early to ensure they are processed through security in a timely fashion. Prior registrants will be given priority if room constraints require limits on attendance.

**Special Accommodations:** The public meeting location is physically accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Mr. Julian E. Thrash, telephone 703-602-0310, at least 10 working days prior to the meeting date.

**Presentations:** For individuals who would like to present a short oral presentation not-to-exceed 10 minutes at the meeting, please advise when registering so appropriate arrangements can be made for scheduling purposes. If the presenter intends to share a handout to accompany an oral statement, please submit documents to [dfars@osd.mil](mailto:dfars@osd.mil) for posting no later than November 8, 2011, so that other attendees may download prior to the meeting. When submitting briefing information, provide presenter's name, organization affiliation, telephone number, and e-mail address on the cover page.

**Correspondence and Comments:** Please cite “Public Meeting, Ownership of Offeror” in all correspondence related to this public meeting. The submitted presentations will be the only record of the public meeting. To have a presentation considered as a public comment, the presentation, or pertinent excerpts, must be submitted separately as a written comment as instructed in the above paragraph titled, “Submission of Comments.” Government procurement.

**Mary Overstreet,**

*Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.*

[FR Doc. 2011-27070 Filed 10-18-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Submission for OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance

Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13).

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 18, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Education Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, be faxed to (202) 395-5806 or e-mailed to

[oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov) with a cc: to [ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov). Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The OMB is particularly interested in comments which: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: October 13, 2011.

**Tomakie Washington,**

*Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Privacy, Information and Records Management Services, Office of Management.*

### Implementation and Support Unit

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Title of Collection:* Race to the Top Program Review Protocols.

*OMB Control Number:* 1894-0011

*Agency Form Number(s):* N/A.

*Frequency of Responses:* Monthly; Semi-Annually.

*Affected Public:* State, Local or Tribal Government.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 12.  
*Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 888.

#### Abstract

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 provides \$4.3 billion for the Race to the Top Fund (referred to in the statute as the State Incentive Grant Fund). This is a competitive grant program. The purpose of the program is to encourage and reward States that are creating the conditions for education innovation and reform; achieving significant improvement in student outcomes, including making substantial gains in student achievement, closing achievement gaps, improving high school graduation rates, and ensuring student preparation for success in college and careers; and implementing ambitious plans in four core education reform areas: (a) Adopting internationally-benchmarked standards and assessments that prepare students for success in college and the workplace; (b) building data systems that measure student success and inform teachers and principals in how they can improve their practices; (c) increasing teacher effectiveness and achieving equity in teacher distribution; and (d) turning around our lowest-achieving schools.

The U.S. Department of Education (the Department) will collect this data from the 12 Race to the Top grantee states to inform its review of grantee implementation, outcomes, oversight, and accountability. The Department will use these forms to inform on-site visits, "stocktake" meetings with Implementation and Support Unit leadership at the Department, and annual reports for individual grantees and the grant program as a whole.

In order to allow for a comprehensive program review of the Race to the Top grantees, we are requesting a three-year clearance with this form.

Copies of the information collection submission for OMB review may be accessed from the RegInfo.gov Web site at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain> or from the Department's Web site at <http://www.edicsweb.ed.gov>, by selecting the "Browse Pending Collections" link and by clicking on link number 4666. When you access the information collection, click on "Download Attachments" to view. Written requests for information should be addressed to U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., LBJ, Washington, DC 20202-4537. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the Internet address

[ICDocketMgr@ed.gov](mailto:ICDocketMgr@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-401-0920. Please specify the complete title of the information collection and OMB Control Number when making your request.

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 2011-26927 Filed 10-18-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Docket No. EERE-2006-BC-0132]

RIN 1904-AC42

#### Building Energy Standards Program: Final Determination Regarding Energy Efficiency Improvements in the Energy Standard for Buildings, Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings, ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2010

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of final determination.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE or Department) has determined that the 2010 edition of the *Energy Standard for Buildings, Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings*, American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/ American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA) Standard 90.1-2010, (Standard 90.1-2010 or the 2010 edition) would achieve greater energy efficiency in buildings subject to the code, than the 2007 edition (Standard 90.1-2007 or the 2007 edition). Also, DOE has determined that the quantitative analysis of the energy consumption of buildings built to Standard 90.1-2010, as compared with buildings built to Standard 90.1-2007, indicates national source energy savings of approximately 18.2 percent of commercial building energy consumption. Additionally, DOE has determined site energy savings are estimated to be approximately 18.5 percent. Upon publication of this affirmative final determination, States are required to certify that they have reviewed the provisions of their commercial building code regarding energy efficiency, and as necessary, updated their code to meet or exceed Standard 90.1-2010. Additionally, this notice provides guidance to States on Certifications, and Requests for Extensions of Deadlines for Certification Statements.

**DATES:** Certification statements by the States must be provided by October 18, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Certification Statements must be addressed to the Buildings Technologies Program-Building Energy Codes Program Manager, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Forrestal Building, Mail Station EE-2J, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0121.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Erbesfeld, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Forrestal Building, Mail Station EE-2J, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0121, (202) 287-1874, *e-mail:* [michael.erbesfeld@ee.doe.gov](mailto:michael.erbesfeld@ee.doe.gov). For legal issues contact Kavita Vaidyanathan, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of the General Counsel, Forrestal Building, GC-71, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-0669, *e-mail:* [kavita.vaidyanathan@hq.doe.gov](mailto:kavita.vaidyanathan@hq.doe.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Introduction
  - A. Statutory Requirements
  - B. Background
    1. Publication of Standard 90.1-2010
    2. Preliminary Determination
    3. Public Comments Regarding the Preliminary Determination
- II. Summary of the Comparative Analysis
  - A. Qualitative Analysis
    1. Discussion of Detailed Textual Analysis
    2. Results of Detailed Textual Analysis
  - B. Quantitative Analysis
    1. Discussion of Whole Building Energy Analysis
    2. Results of Whole Building Energy Analysis
  - C. Final Determination Statement
- III. Filing Certification Statements With DOE
  - A. Review and Update
  - B. Certification
  - C. Requests for Extensions To Certify
- IV. Regulatory Analysis
  - A. Review Under Executive Order 12866
  - B. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act
  - C. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
  - D. Review Under Executive Order 13132, "Federalism"
  - E. Review Under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995
  - F. Review Under the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act of 1999
  - G. Review Under the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act of 2001
  - H. Review Under Executive Order 13211
  - I. Review Under Executive Order 13175

#### I. Introduction

##### A. Statutory Requirements

Title III of the Energy Conservation and Production Act, as amended (ECPA), establishes requirements for the