on Transportes Olympic’s possible affiliations.

OOIDA also alleged that Transportes Olympic’s scores are artificially low because law enforcement did not cite them as out-of-service for certain out-of-service violations.

Advocates noted that Transportes Olympic received commercial zone authority in 2009, but SMS shows no information on this company. As a result, Transportes Olympic’s SMS scores indicate “insufficient data” or “not public.”

**FMCSA Response**

In its application and during the PASA, Transportes Olympic acknowledged its affiliation with two U.S. carriers. The safety records of these two carriers include a large number of English language proficiency violations, which provide the basis for the deficiency in the Driver Fitness BASIC. In the pilot program, however, FMCSA is testing participating drivers for English language proficiency during the PASA and is only approving drivers with adequate English language proficiency for participation. Accordingly, these violations are not relevant to the approval of Transportes Olympic’s application for provisional operating authority under the pilot program.

Transportes Olympic received its commercial zone authority in 2009, but has not been operating under that authority in the United States. As a result, there is no information in FMCSA’s system on this company. During the PASA, however, the company provided information on its safety management processes that was validated by the FMCSA auditor.

As a result, FMCSA continues to find that Transportes Olympics meets the requirements of the pilot program. Therefore, FMCSA will issue long-haul operating authority to this carrier.

**Issues Outside of the Scope of the PASA Notice**

Commenters raised issues regarding the pilot program’s design and implementation and the review of additional driver’s license information that are outside of the scope of the PASA notices. In addition, these issues were already considered in publishing the Agency’s July 8, 2011, Federal Register notice announcing the pilot program and the Agency’s Environmental Assessment published on October 3, 2011 [76 FR 61138]. As a result, they will not be addressed in this notice.
Title: Identification of Cars Moved in Accordance with Order 13528.

OMB Control Number: 2130–0506.

Abstract: This collection of information identifies a freight car being moved within the scope of Order 13528 (now codified under 49 CFR 232.3).

Otherwise, an exception will be taken, and the car will be set out of the train and not delivered. The information that must be recorded is specified at 49 CFR 232.3(d)(3), which requires that a car be properly identified by a card attached to each side of the car and signed stating that such movement is being made under the authority of the order. § 232.3(d)(3) does not require retaining cards or tags. When a car bearing a tag for movement under this provision arrives at its destination, the tags are simply removed. This requirement/recording comes into play only when a railroad finds it necessary to move equipment as specified above. FRA estimates that approximately 400 cars per year are moved under this Order. As stipulated above, equipment must be tagged on both sides. FRA estimates that it takes approximately five (5) minutes to record the necessary information on each tag and attach the tags to the equipment. There is no retention requirement relative to the tags at destination. Total annual burden for this requirement is 67 hours.

Affected Public: Railroads.
Respondent Universe: 754 Railroads.
Frequency of Submission: On occasion.

REPORTING BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFR section</th>
<th>Respondent universe</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Average time per response</th>
<th>Total annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>232.3—Identification of Cars Moved in Accordance with Order 13528</td>
<td>754 Railroads</td>
<td>800 tags</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Responses: 800.
Estimated Total Annual Burden: 67 hours.
Cost to Respondents: Printing of 800 tags at approximately $.05 each.
Total Cost to Respondents $40.00.
Status: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection.

Pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and 5 CFR 1320.5(b), 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that it may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 6, 2011.

Kimberly Coranel, Director, Office of Financial Management, Federal Railroad Administration.
[FR Doc. 2011–26649 Filed 10–13–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Surface Transportation Board
[Docket No. FD 35555]

Midwest Rail d/b/a Toledo, Lake Erie and Western Railway—Lease and Operation Exemption—Toledo, Lake Erie and Western Railway and Museum, Inc.

Midwest Rail d/b/a Toledo, Lake Erie and Western Railway (Toledo), a noncarrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to lease from Toledo, Lake Erie and Western Railway and Museum, Inc. (the Museum), and to operate, a 10-mile rail line extending between a point of connection with Norfolk Southern Railway’s (NSR) trackage at milepost 15 in Waterville, Ohio, and the end of the line at milepost 25 in Grand Rapids, Ohio (the Line).

In the notice, Toledo states that the Line was originally constructed by the Toledo, St. Louis and Western Railroad and was subsequently acquired by the New York, Chicago & St. Louis Railway as part of its Cloverleaf Division. NSR’s predecessor, the Norfolk & Western Railway, subsequently acquired the Line, abandoned it, and sold the track to the Museum. Currently, only excursion passenger rail service is being provided on the Line by the Museum.

As a result of this transaction, and pursuant to an agreement with the Museum, Toledo will provide common carrier rail service over the Line, connecting with and interchanging traffic with NSR, and also will provide excursion passenger service. Toledo states that the Museum is currently preparing a lease and operating agreement for the parties to sign and that the agreement should be executed in the very near future, before the notice becomes effective.

According to Toledo, there are no agreements applicable to the Line imposing any interchange commitments. Toledo notes that the Line does not physically connect with any rail lines other than that owned by NSR.

The earliest the transaction can be consummated is October 30, 2011, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the exemption was filed).

Toledo certifies that its projected annual revenues as a result of this transaction will not exceed $5 million annually and will not result in it becoming a Class I or Class II rail carrier.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Stay petitions must be filed no later than October 21, 2011 (at least 7 days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35555, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on John D. Heffner, John D. Heffner, PLLC, 1750 K Street, NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at http://www.stb.dot.gov.

Decided: October 11, 2011.

By the Board.

Joseph H. Dettmar, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

Jeffrey Herzig, Clearance Clerk.
[FR Doc. 2011–26632 Filed 10–13–11; 8:45 am]