responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

**Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

**Technical Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

**Environment**

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a determination that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. An environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. This rule involves the establishment, disestablishment, and changing of security zones, and thus, paragraph 34(g) of figure 2–1 in Commandant Instruction M16475.1D applies.

**List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165**

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

**PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS**

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:


2. Amend § 165.904 by revising paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 165.904 Lake Michigan at Chicago Harbor & Burnham Park Harbor—Safety and Security Zone.

(a) Location. All waters of Lake Michigan within Burnham Park Harbor shoreward of a line across the entrance of the harbor connecting coordinates 41°51′09″ N, 87°36′36″ W and 41°51′11″ N, 87°36′22″ W.

3. In § 165.910 revise paragraph (a)(1) heading and paragraph (a)(1)(i), and add paragraphs (a)(10) and (a)(11) to read as follows:

§ 165.910 Security Zones; Captain of the Port Lake Michigan.

(a) * * *

(1) Jardine Water Filtration Plant. (i) Location. All waters of Lake Michigan within the arc of a 100-yard radius with its center located on the north wall of Jardine Water Filtration Plant, approximate position 41°53′46″ N, 87°36′23″ W; (NAD 83)

(10) Wilson Avenue Intake Crib. All waters of Lake Michigan within the arc of a circle with a 100-yard radius of the Wilson Avenue Crib with its center in approximate position 41°58′00″ N, 87°35′30″ W; (NAD 83)

(11) Four Mile Intake Crib. All waters of Lake Michigan within the arc of a circle with a 100-yard radius of the Four Mile Crib with its center in approximate position 41°52′40″ N, 087°32′45″ W. (NAD83)

Dated: September 27, 2011.

M.W. Sibley,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Lake Michigan.
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Fisheries of the Exclusive Economic Zone Off Alaska; Reallocation of Yellowfin Sole in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Temporary rule; reallocation.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS is reallocating the projected unused amount of the 2011 yellowfin sole total allowable catch (TAC) assigned to the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands trawl limited access sector to the Amendment 80 cooperative in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands management area (BSAI). This action is necessary to allow the 2011 total allowable catch of yellowfin sole to be fully harvested.

**DATES:** Effective October 6, 2011, through 2400 hrs, Alaska local time (A.L.T.), December 31, 2011.


**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NMFS manages the groundfish fishery in the BSAI according to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area (FMP) prepared by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council under authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Regulations governing fishing by U.S. vessels in accordance with the FMP appear at subpart H of 50 CFR part 600 and 50 CFR part 679.

The 2011 yellowfin sole TAC assigned to the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands trawl limited access sector is 34,153 metric tons (mt) and to the Amendment 80 cooperative is 138,875 mt as established by the final 2011 and 2012 harvest specifications for groundfish in the BSAI (76 FR 11139, March 1, 2011). The Administrator, Alaska Region, NMFS, has determined that 2,000 mt of the yellowfin sole TAC assigned to the BSAI trawl limited access sector will not be harvested. Therefore, in accordance with § 679.91(f), NMFS reallocates 2,000 mt of yellowfin sole from the BSAI trawl limited access sector to the Amendment 80 cooperatives in the BSAI. In accordance
The harvest specifications for yellowfin sole included in the harvest specifications for groundfish in the BSAI (76 FR 11139, March 1, 2011) are revised as follows: 32,153 mt to the BSAI trawl limited access sector and 140,875 mt to the Amendment 80 cooperatives in the BSAI. Table 7a is correctly revised and republished in its entirety as follows:

### Table 7a—Final 2011 Community Development Quota (CDQ) Reserves, Incidental Catch Amounts (ICAs), and Amendment 80 Allocations of the Aleutian Islands Pacific Ocean Perch, and BSAI Flathead Sole, Rock Sole, and Yellowfin Sole TACS

[Amounts are in metric tons]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Pacific ocean perch</th>
<th>Flathead sole</th>
<th>Rock sole</th>
<th>Yellowfin sole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastern Aleutian District</td>
<td>Central Aleutian District</td>
<td>Western Aleutian District</td>
<td>BSAI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC</td>
<td>5,660</td>
<td>4,960</td>
<td>8,370</td>
<td>41,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDQ</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>4,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSAI trawl limited access</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendment 80</td>
<td>4,459</td>
<td>3,919</td>
<td>7,315</td>
<td>32,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Groundfish Cooperative</td>
<td>2,364</td>
<td>2,078</td>
<td>3,879</td>
<td>6,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Seafood Cooperative</td>
<td>2,095</td>
<td>1,841</td>
<td>3,436</td>
<td>25,833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Sector apportionments may not total precisely due to rounding.

This will enhance the socioeconomic well-being of harvesters dependent upon yellowfin sole in this area. The Regional Administrator considered the following factors in reaching this decision: (1) The current catch of yellowfin sole by the BSAI trawl limited access sector and, (2) the harvest capacity and stated intent on future harvesting patterns of the Amendment 80 cooperative that participates in this BSAI fishery.

**Classification**

This action responds to the best available information recently obtained from the fishery. The Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, NOAA (AA), finds good cause to waive the requirement to provide prior notice and opportunity for public comment pursuant to the authority set forth at 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B) as such requirement is impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This requirement is impracticable and contrary to the public interest as it would prevent NMFS from responding to the most recent fisheries data in a timely fashion and would delay the reallocation of yellowfin sole from the BSAI trawl limited access sector to the Amendment 80 cooperative in the BSAI. Since the fishery is currently open, it is important to immediately inform the industry as to the revised allocations. Immediate notification is necessary to allow for the orderly conduct and efficient operation of this fishery, to allow the industry to plan for the fishing season, and to avoid potential disruption to the fishing fleet as well as processors. NMFS was unable to publish a notice providing time for public comment because the most recent, relevant data only became available as of September 29, 2011.

The AA also finds good cause to waive the 30-day delay in the effective date of this action under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3). This finding is based upon the reasons provided above for waiver of prior notice and opportunity for public comment.

This action is required by § 679.91 and is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

**Dated:** October 6, 2011.

**Steven Thur,**

*Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

*FR Doc. 2011–26304 Filed 10–6–11; 4:15 pm*
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