

meetings tab and then the "View" button for the meeting dated November 15, 2011, to access the information for this meeting. Minutes will be available approximately 30 days after this meeting. Both minutes and documents applicable for this meeting can also be found at an alternative site using the following web address: <https://homeport.uscg.mil> and use these key strokes: Missions>Port and Waterways>Safety Advisory Committee>NOSAC and then use the event key.

The meeting will be recorded by a court reporter. A transcript of the meeting and any material presented at the meeting will be made available through the <https://www.fido.gov> Web site.

The committee will review the information presented on each issue, deliberate on any recommendations presented in the subcommittees' reports, and formulate recommendations for the Department's consideration. The committee will also receive tasking from CDR Rob Smith, Designated Federal Officer, on evaluating the various requirements for licensing mariners who will man and operate large OSVs, and to make recommendations on same.

Dated: October 3, 2011.

**F. J. Sturm,**

*Deputy Director of Commercial Regulations and Standards.*

[FR Doc. 2011-26126 Filed 10-7-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Transportation Security Administration

#### Extension of Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review: Critical Facility Information of the Top 100 Most Critical Pipelines

**AGENCY:** Transportation Security Administration, DHS.

**ACTION:** 30-day Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) has forwarded the Information Collection Request (ICR), Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number 1652-0050, abstracted below to OMB for review and approval of an extension of the currently approved collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden. TSA published a **Federal Register** notice, with a 60-day comment period, soliciting comments of the

following collection of information on June 16, 2011, 76 FR 35229. The 9/11 Act required TSA to develop and implement a plan to inspect critical pipeline systems.

**DATES:** Send your comments by November 10, 2011. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB. Comments should be addressed to Desk Officer, Department of Homeland Security/TSA, and sent via electronic mail to [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov) or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Joanna Johnson, TSA PRA Officer, Office of Information Technology (OIT), TSA-11, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6011; telephone (571) 227-3651; e-mail [TSAPRA@dhs.gov](mailto:TSAPRA@dhs.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The ICR documentation is available at <http://www.reginfo.gov>. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including using appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Information Collection Requirement**

*Title:* Critical Facility Information of the Top 100 Most Critical Pipelines.

*Type of Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 1652-0050.

*Form(s):* Critical Facility Security Review (CFSR).

*Affected Public:* Pipeline companies.

*Abstract:* Section 1557(b) of the Implementing the Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 specifically tasked TSA to develop and implement a plan for inspecting critical facilities of the 100 most critical pipeline systems. See Public Law 110-53, 121 Stat. 266, 475 (Aug. 3, 2007). Operators determined their critical facilities based on guidance and criteria set forth in the Department of Transportation's (DOT) September 5, 2002, "Pipeline Security Information Circular" and June 2002 "Pipeline Security Contingency Planning Guidance." With OMB approval (OMB Control Number 1652-0050), TSA reached out to the operators of the top 125 critical pipeline systems and requested they submit a listing of their critical facilities to TSA. This critical facility information was submitted to TSA between November 2008 and August 2009. In April 2011, TSA updated the "Pipeline Security Guidelines" in consultation with stakeholders and DOT. TSA is now seeking to renew its OMB approval to request critical facility information from the top 125 pipeline operators. TSA anticipates that each operator will report, on average, a total of 5 critical facilities on their system, for a total of approximately 600 critical facilities across the top 125 operators.

Once updated critical facility information is obtained, TSA intends to visit critical pipeline facilities and collect site-specific information from pipeline operators on facility security policies, procedures, and physical security measures. Information obtained on the visits will be collected on a Critical Facility Security Review (CFSR) Form. The CFSR will differ from TSA's Corporate Security Review (CSR) in that a CSR looks at corporate or company-wide security management plans and practices while the CFSR will look at individual pipeline facility security measures and procedures. TSA is seeking OMB approval to utilize the CFSR document during critical facility reviews in order to collect facility security information. Information collected from the reviews would be analyzed and used to determine strengths and weaknesses at the nation's critical pipeline facilities, areas to target for risk reduction strategies, pipeline industry implementation of the voluntary guidelines, and the need for regulations in accordance with Section 1557(d) of the Implementing the Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007. TSA anticipates visiting 120 critical facilities each year.

As part of this collection process, TSA intends to follow-up with pipeline operators on their implementation of security improvements and recommendations made during facility visits. During critical facility visits, TSA documents and provides recommendations to improve the security posture of the facility. TSA intends to follow-up with pipeline operators via email on their status toward implementation of the recommendations made during the critical facility visits. The follow-up will be conducted between approximately 12 and 24 months after the facility visit.

TSA will use the information collected to determine to what extent the pipeline industry is implementing the 2011 guidance document and security improvement recommendations made during critical facility visits. The information provided by owners or operators for each information collection is Sensitive Security Information (SSI), and it will be protected in accordance with procedures meeting the transmission, handling and storage requirements of SSI set forth in 49 CFR parts 15 and 1520.

*Number of Respondents:* 125 for the renewal of the critical facility information and 590 for the critical facility security reviews and recommendations follow-up.

*Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* An estimated 2,730 hours in the first year and 1,080 hours annually in subsequent years.

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on October 4, 2011.

**Joanna Johnson,**

*TSA Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of Information Technology.*

[FR Doc. 2011-26188 Filed 10-7-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-05-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLCOF03000 L16100000.DU0000]

#### Notice of Intent To Amend the Resource Management Plan for the San Luis Resource Area, Colorado, and Associated Environmental Assessment

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended, and the Federal Land Policy and Management

Act of 1976 (FLPMA), as amended, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) San Luis Valley Public Lands Center, Monte Vista, Colorado, intends to prepare a Resource Management Plan (RMP) Amendment with an associated Environmental Assessment (EA) and by this notice is announcing the beginning of the scoping process to solicit public comments and identify issues.

**DATES:** This notice initiates the public scoping process for the RMP amendment and associated EA. Comments on issues and planning criteria may be submitted in writing by November 10, 2011. The date(s) and location(s) of any scoping meetings will be announced at least 15 days in advance through local media and newspapers. In order to be included in the RMP amendment and associated EA, all comments must be received prior to the close of the 30 day scoping period or 30 days after the last public meeting, whichever is later. We will provide additional opportunities for public participation upon publication of the Draft RMP amendment and associated EA.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments related to the proposed RMP amendment by any of the following methods:

- *E-mail:* [slvplc\\_comments@blm.gov](mailto:slvplc_comments@blm.gov).
- *Fax:* 719-852-6250
- *Mail:* BLM, La Jara Field Office, 15571, County Road T-5, La Jara, Colorado 81140-9579.

Documents pertinent to this plan amendment and associated EA may be examined at the La Jara Field Office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information and/or to have your name added to our mailing list, contact Jill Lucero, Interdisciplinary Team Lead, (719) 274-6327; see address above; e-mail [jlucero@blm.gov](mailto:jlucero@blm.gov). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document provides notice that the BLM La Jara Field Office La Jara, Colorado, intends to prepare an RMP amendment and associated EA for the San Luis Valley Public Lands Center, announces the beginning of the scoping process, and seeks public input on issues and planning criteria. The EA will analyze the BLM proposal to amend the San Luis Resource Area (SLRA) Resource

Management Plan (RMP) of September 1991, to expand the Blanca Wetlands Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) and to analyze the terms, along with the compatibility and suitability, of three grazing allotments—one allotment within the Blanca Wetlands ACEC (Blanca allotment) and two allotments adjacent to the current ACEC (Lakes and Dry Lakes allotments). The purpose of the public scoping process is to determine relevant issues that will influence the scope of the environmental analysis, including alternatives, and guide the process for amending the RMP. The RMP amendment and associated EA will specifically address resources in the project area that may be affected. The BLM welcomes public comments concerning the RMP amendment and associated EA and on the following proposed planning criteria:

1. The BLM intends to continue to manage the SLRA in accordance with FLPMA, (43 U.S.C. 1701. *et seq.*), other applicable laws and regulations, and all existing public land laws.

2. The BLM intends to use a collaborative, multi-jurisdictional approach with local, state, tribal and Federal agencies to jointly determine the desired future condition of public lands and provide consistency with existing plans and policies to the extent that those plans and policies are consistent with Federal law governing the administration of public land.

3. The BLM intends to limit its RMP amendment to enlarging the Blanca Wetlands ACEC and analyzing the three range allotments (Lakes, Dry Lakes and Blanca).

4. The BLM intends to address the socioeconomic impacts of the alternatives.

5. The amendment process will follow the NEPA planning process and will include an EA. If a Finding of No Significant Impact cannot be reached, an EIS will follow.

At present, the BLM has identified the following preliminary issues concerning the RMP amendment and associated EA. The BLM welcomes public comments on potential issues in addition to those identified here:

1. Compatibility of grazing with wetland habitat and waterbird production;

2. Need for restoration and connectivity of wetland habitat;

3. Impacts to cultural resources;

4. Impacts to mineral resource production;

5. Potential for recreational development and conflicts in priorities between recreation and wildlife.