this date, but, cannot guarantee their availability. The conference call will be accessible to individuals with disabilities.

Electronic Access to this Document: You may view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the internet at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister/index.html. To use PDF, you must have Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free at 1–866–512–1800; or in the Washington, DC area at 202–512–0000.

Dated: September 28, 2011.
Alexa Posny,
Assistant Secretary, Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Paducah

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), Paducah. The Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. No. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770) requires that public notice of this meeting be announced in the Federal Register.

DATES: Thursday, October 20, 2011, 6 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Barkley Centre, 111 Memorial Drive, Paducah, Kentucky 42001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Reinhard Knerr, Deputy Designated Federal Officer, Department of Energy Paducah Site Office, Post Office Box 1410, MS–103, Paducah, Kentucky 42001. Phone (270) 441–6825.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

Purpose of the Board: The purpose of the Board is to make recommendations to DOE–EM and site management in the areas of environmental restoration, waste management, and related activities.

Tentative Agenda

• Call to Order, Introductions, Review of Agenda
• Deputy Designated Federal Officer’s Comments
• Federal Coordinator’s Comments
• Liaisons’ Comments
• Administrative Issues:
  ○ Presentation by Swift & Staley: Environmental Information Center.
• Subcommittee Chairs’ Comments
• Public Comments
• Final Comments
• Adjourn

Breaks Taken As Appropriate.

Public Participation: The EM SSAB, Paducah, welcomes the attendance of the public at its advisory committee meetings and will make every effort to accommodate persons with physical disabilities or special needs. If you require special accommodations due to a disability, please contact Reinhard Knerr as soon as possible in advance of the meeting at the telephone number listed above. Written statements may be filed with the Board either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact Reinhard Knerr at the telephone number listed above. Requests must be received as soon as possible prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Deputy Designated Federal Officer is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Individuals wishing to make public comments will be provided a maximum of five minutes to present their comments. The EM SSAB, Paducah, will hear public comments pertaining to its scope [clean-up standards and environmental restoration; waste management and disposition; stabilization and disposition of non-stockpile nuclear materials; excess facilities; future land use and long-term stewardship; risk assessment and management; and clean-up science and technology activities]. Comments outside of the scope may be submitted via written statement as directed above.

Minutes: Minutes will be available by writing or calling Reinhard Knerr at the address and phone number listed above. Minutes will also be available at the following Web site: http://www.pgdpcab.energy.gov/2011Meetings.html.

Issued at Washington, DC on September 28, 2011.
LaTanya R. Butler,
Acting Deputy Committee Management Officer.

BILLING CODE 4450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

DOE Response to Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board’s Request for Clarification on Recommendation 2011–1, Safety Culture at the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On August 12, 2011, the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) requested clarification on DOE’s response to Recommendation 2011–1, Safety Culture at the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant. In accordance with section 315(b) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2286d(b), the following represents the Secretary of Energy’s clarification response to the DNFSB’s request.

ADDRESSES: Send comments, data, views, or arguments concerning the Secretary’s response to: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20004 within thirty (30) days from the date of this publication.


Issued in Washington, DC, on September 19, 2011.

Mari-Josette Campagnone,

September 19, 2011
The Honorable Peter S. Winokur Chairman
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20004–2901

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This letter responds to your August 12, 2011 letter, which requested clarification on four areas identified in our original June 30, 2011, response to your Recommendation 2011–1, Safety Culture at the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP). As you know, because this issue is of such great importance to the Department of Energy (DOE), I have designated Deputy Secretary Poneman as the Responsible Manager for this Recommendation, and he has already begun our efforts to address the issues our staff have discussed. The Department appreciates the opportunity to provide further
clarification and believes that keeping avenues of communication open will help improve our safety culture. In our previous correspondence, the Department conveyed its acceptance of the Recommendation 2011–1 and now offers the following clarification in the areas requested:

1. DOE’s present assessment of the safety culture at WTP in light of the additional sources of supporting information now available to DOE.

The Department has reviewed the incoming public comments and additional WTP safety culture-related information. On one hand, we are pleased that individuals have felt encouraged to step forward and express their concerns, to the extent that indicates that our broad message welcoming such input is being heard. On the other hand, the content of many of these messages shows that we need to continue to improve WTP’s safety culture. The Department will also continue to evaluate the efficacy of applicable DOE and contractor policies and procedures, including the procedures for resolving differing professional opinions and other employee concerns.

2. DOE’s current understanding of the conclusions of the HSS report.

The Health, Safety and Security (HSS) report, like all reports based on interviews, captured a snapshot in time. The report reflected the views of the interviewees as they perceived the existing situation, as interpreted by the report’s authors. As your letter implies, given our steadfast commitment to safety we must continually update data and refresh conclusions based on what we learn. We have done that by reviewing the incoming comments we have received during the Deputy Secretary’s July visit to Hanford and subsequently through other channels; as noted above, these have made clear that we have more work to do. That is why we have asked HSS to conduct a follow-on safety culture review at WTP as part of its broader extent-of-condition review across the DOE complex. These reviews are scheduled to begin later this month, and we will apply what we learn in those reviews to continue our efforts to improve the safety culture at Hanford.

3. DOE’s present understanding and response to Sub-recommendation 3.

DOE understands the distinction being made by the Board that there is a difference between judging the merits of a particular case between opposing parties still in dispute, and the effect that the perceptions of that controversy—regardless of the merits of the underlying case—may have on a community. We also agree with the Board that such perceptions can have a material effect on the safety culture at a site and in a community. In developing our Implementation Plan on Recommendation 2011–1, the DOE therefore will continue to work to establish a strong safety culture that takes the power of perceptions fully into account.

4. The independence, public stature, and leadership experience of the implementation team that will be called upon to provide safety culture insights and assessments to the Secretary and Senior DOE leadership.

We accept the implicit premise of the request; i.e., that the independence, stature, and leadership experience of the implementation team that will be called upon to provide safety culture insights and assessments to the Secretary and Senior DOE leadership is of crucial importance. In this regard, the review team members are selected based on their technical competence, objectivity, experience in safety management, executive leadership, and a clear understanding of corporate culture. DOE recognizes the heightened need to include “knowledgeable others” in the safety culture review process. The Department will therefore engage independent industry safety culture experts to evaluate the Implementation Plan (IP), and also to evaluate the quality of major IP deliverables.

Both DOE and Bechtel National Incorporated (BNI) will be performing safety culture reviews at WTP. The Department welcomes BNI’s initiative in engaging qualified industry experts. DOE will monitor and cooperate with—but not partner in—the BNI review in order to gauge the validity of the BNI process. DOE will also examine the results of the review for relevant findings.

Of course, BNI’s activities are not a substitute for DOE-directed reviews, which is why we are undertaking our own assessment concurrently. The HSS review will also help update our understanding of the current status of nuclear safety culture at WTP. The results of the HSS review will, of course, be shared with the Board upon its completion.

I hope this clarification is helpful. We are enthusiastic about our work toward the shared goal of safety excellence throughout the DOE complex. Given the importance of this issue, I hope you will continue to work closely with Deputy Secretary Poneman as we strengthen our efforts to promote a strong safety culture at WTP and across the DOE complex.

Sincerely,

Steven Chu

[FR Doc. 2011–25523 Filed 10–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric rate filings:

Applicants: Public Service Company of New Mexico.
Description: Public Service Company of New Mexico submits tariff filing per 35: ANPP HVS Part. Agreement Rate Schedule 117 to be effective 9/7/2011.
Filed Date: 09/23/2011.
Accession Number: 20110923–5002.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Friday, October 14, 2011.
Description: New York Independent System Operator, Inc. submits tariff filing per 35: NYISO Compliance Filing Regarding ICAP Demand Curves to be effective 9/15/2011.
Filed Date: 09/22/2011.
Accession Number: 20110922–5121.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, October 3, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–4606–000.
Applicants: Dragon Energy, LLC.
Description: Dragon Energy, LLC submits tariff filing per 35.1: FERC Electric MBR Baseline Tariff Filing to be effective 9/22/2011.
Filed Date: 09/22/2011.
Accession Number: 20110922–5107.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, October 13, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–4607–000.
Applicants: Energy Cooperative of New York, Inc.
Description: Energy Cooperative of New York, Inc submits tariff filing per 35.1: ECNY MBR Re-File to be effective 9/22/2011.
Filed Date: 09/22/2011.
Accession Number: 20110922–5113.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, October 13, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–4608–000.
Applicants: New York Industrial Energy Buyers, LLC.
Description: New York Industrial Energy Buyers, LLC submits tariff filing per 35.1: NYIEB MBR Re-File to be effective 9/22/2011.
Filed Date: 09/22/2011.
Accession Number: 20110922–5117.