Manhattan, N.A., both in El Segundo, California.


Robert deV. Frierson, Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2011–25514 Filed 10–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Notice—R03–2011–01; Docket 2011–0006; Sequence 18]

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Foreign Affairs Security Training Center (FASTC) and To Announce Public Scoping Meetings

AGENCY: U.S. General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 1500–1508), the GSA announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to analyze and assess the environmental impacts of site acquisition and development of the United States Department of State (DOS), Bureau of Diplomatic Security, the Foreign Affairs Security Training Center (FASTC) at the Virginia Army National Guard’s Maneuver Training Center at Fort Pickett and Pickett Park in Nottoway County, Virginia. DOS, United States Army Corps of Engineers, United States Environmental Protection Agency and National Guard Bureau are cooperating agencies in this EIS.

DATES: A public scoping meeting in open house format will be held on October 18, 2011 from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. to provide the public with an opportunity to provide comments, ask questions, and discuss concerns regarding the scope of the EIS with GSA and DOS representatives.

ADDRESSES: GSA will hold a public scoping meeting at the Blackstone Armory, 1006 Darvills Rd., Blackstone, VA 23824 from 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.

Written comments concerning the scope of the EIS may be mailed to Abigail Low, GSA Project Manager, 20 N 8th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 446–4815, FASTC.info@gsa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Abigail Low, GSA Project Manager; 20 N 8th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 446–4815, FASTC.info@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The purpose of the proposed FASTC at Fort Pickett is to consolidate existing dispersed training functions into a single suitable location to improve training efficiency and enhance training operations. The proposed FASTC is needed to establish a facility from which DOS Bureau of Diplomatic Security may conduct a wide array of law enforcement and security training to meet the increased demand for well trained personnel.

The proposed FASTC is expected to train 8,000–10,000 students per year and include both hard skills training, such as driving tracks, firing ranges, mock urban environmental, and explosives ranges; soft skills training, such as classrooms, simulation labs, and a fitness center; and support facilities such as administrative offices, dormitories, a dining hall, and emergency response facilities.

During the initial planning process, GSA conducted a comprehensive site evaluation process that identified and evaluated 41 candidate sites in the vicinity of the Washington, DC area. GSA identified land at Fort Pickett and Pickett Park in Nottoway County, Virginia, as the only potentially suitable location for the proposed FASTC facility.

GSA is focusing the proposed development of the FASTC on two adjacent available parcels, an approximately 750 acre Fort Pickett Local Reuse Authority (LRA) parcel 9 owned by Nottoway County, and an approximately 900 acre Virginia Army National Guard parcel referred to as Maneuver Area 21/20. The proposed project would be constructed in phases.

Possible action alternatives that will be evaluated in the EIS are alternative layouts for construction of facilities for hard skills training, soft skills training, life support and infrastructure on the LRA parcel 9 and 21/20 parcels at Fort Pickett. A “No Action Alternative”, in which DOS would continue their training programs as currently conducted without constructing FASTC, will also be evaluated.

Resource areas to be addressed in the FASTC EIS will include, but not be limited to: air quality, noise, land use, socioeconomic, traffic, infrastructure and community services, natural resources, biological resources, cultural resources and safety and environmental hazards. The analysis will evaluate direct, indirect and cumulative impacts. Relevant and reasonable measures that could avoid or mitigate environmental effects will also be analyzed.

Additionally, GSA will undertake any consultations required by applicable laws or regulations, including the National Historic Preservation Act.

This notice announces the initiation of the scoping process to identify community concerns and issues that should be addressed in the FASTC EIS. Federal, state, local agency representatives and interested parties or persons are encouraged to provide comments on the proposed action during the 30-day scoping period October 4, 2011 through November 3, 2011. These comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics of environmental concern that the commenter believes GSA should consider.

No decision will be made to implement any alternative until the NEPA process is completed and a Record of Decision is signed.

Dated: September 22, 2011.

Leonard Purzycki, Director, Facilities Management & Services Programs, U.S. GSA, Mid-Atlantic Region.

[FR Doc. 2011–25458 Filed 10–3–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6202–AE–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICE

Office of the Secretary

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC), HHS.

ACTION: 30-Day notice of submission of information collection approval from the Office of Management and Budget and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of a Federal Government-wide effort to streamline the process to seek feedback from the public on service delivery, the Office of the Secretary, Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC), HHS has submitted a Generic Information Collection Request (Generic ICR): “Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery” to OMB for approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et. seq.).

DATES: Comments must be submitted November 3, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted to
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Title: FedStrive Employee Wellness Program Social Media Survey.
Abstract: The information collection activity will garner qualitative customer and stakeholder feedback in an efficient, timely manner, in accordance with the Administration’s commitment to improving service delivery. By qualitative feedback we mean information that provides useful insights on perceptions and opinions, but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences and expectations, provide an early warning of issues with service, or focus attention on areas where communication, training or changes in operations might improve delivery of products or services. These collections will allow for ongoing, collaborative and actionable communications between the Agency and its customers and stakeholders. It will also allow feedback to contribute directly to the improvement of program management.
Feedback collected under this generic clearance will provide useful information, but it will not yield data that can be generalized to the overall population. This type of generic clearance for qualitative information will not be used for quantitative information collections that are designed to yield reliably actionable results, such as monitoring trends over time or documenting program performance. Such data uses require more rigorous designs that address: the target population to which generalizations will be made, the sampling frame, the sample design (including stratification and clustering), the precision requirements or power calculations that justify the proposed sample size, the expected response rate, methods for assessing potential non-response bias, the protocols for data collection, and any testing procedures that were or will be undertaken prior to the study. Depending on the degree of influence the results are likely to have, such collections may still be eligible for submission for other generic mechanisms that are designed to yield quantitative results.
The Agency received 0 comments were received in response to the 60-day notice published in the Federal Register of December 22, 2010 (75 FR 80542).
Below we provide the Department of Health and Human Services, projected average estimates for the next three years:
Type of Review: New Collection.
Affected Public: Individuals and Households, Businesses and Organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government.
Average Expected Annual Number of Activities
Respondents: 3000.
Annual Responses: 3000.
Frequency of Response: Once per Request.
Average Minutes per Response: 5.
Burden Hours: 250 total.
An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.
Keith Tucker,
Office of the Secretary, Paperwork Reduction Act Clearance Officer.
[FR Doc. 2011–25143 Filed 10–3–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Office of the Secretary
Findings of Research Misconduct
AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HHS.
ACTION: Notice.
SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Office of Research Integrity (ORI) has taken final action in the following case:
Scott Weber, Ed.D., MSN, University of Pittsburgh: Based on the letters from the Research Integrity Officer at the University of Pittsburgh (UP), ORI’s oversight review, and an admission by the Respondent, ORI found that Dr. Scott Weber, former Assistant Professor, Health and Community Systems, School of Nursing, UP, engaged in research misconduct by (1) plagiarizing text and falsifying data from two publications supported by U.S. Public Health Service (PHS) funding (P30 MH60570; HS5 SM52671; PHS employee generated article) in two unpublished manuscripts, and (2) including significant portions of that plagiarized text in two grant applications to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (1 L30 NR010444–01; 1 R03 HD062761–01).
Furthermore, the Respondent plagiarized approximately 66 percent of the text from Cho et al. 1993 in a manuscript entitled “Assessing the diagnostic predictive power of a screening tool for depression: Concordance between the CES–D and DIS in the Parent Identity Survey” and submitted to the Journal of GLBT Family Studies (JGMS MS).
In both manuscripts, the Respondent falsified and fabricated tables and figures by using all or nearly all of the data in tables and graphs from the plagiarized articles while altering numbers and changing text to represent data as if from another subject population; he also copied most of the original bibliographic references but...