• Demographic information (e.g., age, gender, income, education, and occupation).
• Information on hunting experiences (e.g., hunter type, distance traveled to hunt, type of ammunition, frequency of hunting, and positive and negative aspects).
• Perceived problems with nontoxic shot.
• Indirect influences with nontoxic shot.

Comments: On August 18, 2009, we published in the Federal Register (74 FR 41739) a notice soliciting public comment on this information collection for 60 days. The comment period ended on October 19, 2009. We received the following comments:

One comment protested the entire migratory bird hunting regulations process, surveys and monitoring programs, and the killing of all migratory birds. Response: Our long-term objectives continue to include providing opportunities to harvest portions of certain migratory game bird populations and limit harvest to levels compatible with each population’s ability to maintain healthy, viable numbers. Our surveys are integral parts of the Service’s monitoring programs that provide the information we need to ensure harvest levels are commensurate with current status of migratory game bird populations and long-term population goals.

Five comments stated that there was no biological basis or scientific evidence to warrant any type of nontoxic shot regulations on mourning doves. Response: This proposed information collection request does not presume anything one way or another about the quality or quantity of the scientific evidence for or against the use of lead shot for dove hunting. We simply express concern about the issue and recognize hunters are an important constituency. The whole purpose of this information collection is to better understand the hunting constituency.

One comment requested that we be objective in any future decision regarding the implementation of any nontoxic shot regulations. Response: We have a long history of making informed decisions based on the best available science to ensure protection of migratory birds for future generations. If any future decisions regarding the implementation of nontoxic shot regulations for migratory birds are deemed necessary, they will be objective, based on the best available science, and follow all guidelines under the National Environmental Protection Act.

Two comments requested that we consider banning the use of lead shot for mourning doves and other wildlife species. Response: Again, we do not presume anything one way or another about the quality or quantity of the scientific evidence for or against the use of lead shot for dove hunting.

Furthermore, we are not assuming that future nontoxic regulations will be necessary or inevitable. We again invite comments concerning this information collection on:
• Whether or not the collection of information is necessary, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
• The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information;
• Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
• Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask OMB in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that it will be done.

Dated: September 21, 2011.

Tina A. Campbell,
Chief, Division of Policy and Directives Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
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Proposed Information Collection; Alaska Guide Service Evaluation

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) will ask the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve the information collection (IC) described below. As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and as part of our continuing efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, we invite the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on this IC. This IC is scheduled to expire on March 31, 2012. We may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: To ensure that we are able to consider your comments on this IC, we must receive them by November 25, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments on the IC to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, Fish and Wildlife Service, MS 2042–PDM, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203 (mail); or INFOCOL@fws.gov (e-mail). Please include “1018–0141” in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this IC, contact Hope Grey at INFOCOL@fws.gov (e-mail) or 703–358–2482 (telephone).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Abstract. We collect information on FWS Form 3–2349 (Alaska Guide Service Evaluation) to help us evaluate commercial guide services on our national wildlife refuges in the State of Alaska (State). The National Wildlife Refuge Administration Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. 668dd–ee), authorizes us to permit uses, including commercial visitor services, on national wildlife refuges when we find the activity to be compatible with the purposes for which the refuge was established. With the objective of making available a variety of quality visitor services for wildlife-dependent recreation on National Wildlife Refuge System lands, we issue permits for commercial guide services, including big game hunting, sport fishing, wildlife viewing, river trips, and other guided activities. We use FWS Form 3–2349 as a method to:
(1) Monitor the quality of services provided by commercial guides.
(2) Gauge client satisfaction with the services.
(3) Assess the impacts of the activity on refuge resources.

The client is the best source of information on the quality of commercial guiding services. We collect:
(1) Client name.
(2) Guide name(s).
(3) Type of guided activity.
(4) Dates and location of guided activity.
(5) Information on the services received such as the client’s expectations, safety, environmental...
impacts, and client’s overall satisfaction.

We will encourage respondents to provide any additional comments that they wish regarding the guide service or refuge experience, and ask whether or not they wish to be contacted for additional information.

The above information, in combination with State-required guide activity reports and contacts with guides and clients in the field, provides a comprehensive method for monitoring permitted commercial guide activities. A regular program of client evaluation helps refuge managers detect potential problems with guide services so that we can take corrective actions promptly. In addition, we use this information during the competitive selection process for big game and sport fishing guide permits to provide a quality guiding service.

We will provide the evaluation form to clients by one of several methods:

1. The refuge may mail the form to the clients.
2. On Web sites of refuges where guide services are permitted.
3. Upon request.

II. Data

OMB Control Number: 1018–0141.
Title: Alaska Guide Service Evaluation.
Service Form Number(s): 3–2349.
Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.
Description of Respondents: Clients of permitted commercial guide service providers.
Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.
Frequency of Collection: One time following use of commercial guide services.
Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 396.
Estimated Total Annual Responses: 396.
Estimated Time per Response: 15 minutes.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 99.

III. Comments

We invite comments concerning this information collection on:

• Whether or not the collection of information is necessary, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
• The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information;
• Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
• Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this IC. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: September 21, 2011.
Tina A. Campbell,
Chief, Division of Policy and Directives Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
[FR Doc. 2011–24632 Filed 9–23–11; 8:45 am]
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III. Comments

We invite comments concerning this information collection on:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this IC, contact Hope Grey at INFOCOL@fws.gov (e-mail) or 703–358–2482 (telephone).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) (16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.) prohibits the unauthorized take of migratory birds and authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to regulate take of migratory birds in the United States. Under this authority, we control the hunting of migratory game birds through regulations in 50 CFR part 20. On January 1, 1991, we banned lead shot for hunting waterfowl and coots in the United States.

The regulations at 50 CFR 20.134 outline the application and approval process for new types of nontoxic shot. When considering approval of a candidate material as nontoxic, we must ensure that it is not hazardous in the environment and that secondary exposure (ingestion of spent shot or its components) is not a hazard to migratory birds. To make that decision, we require each applicant to provide information about the solubility and toxicity of the candidate material. Additionally, for law enforcement purposes, a noninvasive field detection device must be available to distinguish candidate shot from lead shot. This information constitutes the bulk of an application for approval of nontoxic shot. The Director uses the data in the application to decide whether or not to approve a material as nontoxic.

II. Data

OMB Control Number: 1018–0067.
Title: Approval Procedures for Nontoxic Shot and Shot Coatings
Service Form Number(s): None.
Type of Request: Extension of currently approved collection.
Description of Respondents: Businesses that produce and/or market approved nontoxic shot types or nontoxic shot coatings.
Respondent’s Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.
Frequency of Collection: On occasion.
Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 1.
Completion Time per Response: 3,200 hours.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 3,200 hours.
Estimated Annual Nonhour Cost Burden: $25,000.

III. Comments

We invite comments concerning this information collection on: