

0352. The OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title of Collection: Attestation by Employers Using Crewmembers for Longshore Activities at Locations in the State of Alaska.

OMB Control Number: 1205-0352.

Affected Public: Private Sector—Businesses or other for-profits.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 20.

Total Estimated Number of Responses: 20.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 60.

Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden: \$0.

Dated: September 19, 2011.

Michel Smyth,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011-24481 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FP-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-75,151]

Navistar Truck Development and Technology Center, a Subsidiary of Navistar International Corporation Truck Division, Fort Wayne, IN; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 31, 2011, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers

and former workers of Navistar Truck Development and Technology Center, a Subsidiary of Navistar International Corporation, Truck Division, Fort Wayne, Indiana (subject firm). The negative determination was issued on April 13, 2011. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2011 (76 FR 24536). The workers are engaged in activities related to the supply of truck body engineering and design services.

The negative determination was based on the findings that, with respect to Section 222(a) of the Act, Criterion II has not been met because imports of engineering and design services have not increased and there has not been a shift of engineering and design services by the workers' firm to a foreign country. Further, Criterion III has not been met because the worker separations are not attributable to increased imports or a shift of services to a foreign country. Rather, the investigation confirmed that the worker separations are attributable to a consolidation and shift of engineering and design services to another facility located within the United States.

With respect to Section 222(c) of the Act, the investigation revealed that Criterion (2) has not been met because the firm is not a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm with a TAA-certified worker group.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner stated that "Navistar has not only increased the amount of work that they outsource, they have increased the number of countries that they outsource that work to." The petitioner referenced multiple attachments and stated that the subject firm has joint ventures with China, India, Brazil, and Europe. The petitioner also stated that "This chart shows Fort Wayne employees doing export work under the heading of Mexico, Brazil, and Export Engineering * * *. The work is now clearly outsourced to India, Brazil, and China according to the organizational chart."

The petitioner also referenced an attachment and stated "two job postings for Chief Engineers to work in China to oversee Engineering and Design work." The petitioner also referenced an attachment and stated "shows new work being sent to a Company in Romania * * * shows the name of the on-site coordinator, whose primary responsibility is to prepare and send work via the internet for his counterparts in Romania to perform * * * shows a listing of work that has been transferred to Romania for completion." The petitioner also referenced an attachment and stated

"shows the increasing amount of work being sent to Brazil."

The petitioner also referenced an attachment and stated "shows an email with an employee break down of the increase in the amount of work being sent to India from a single department. This department sent out 4 jobs to India in 2010, and has already sent nine jobs to India in the first four months of 2011." The petitioner also referenced an attachment and stated "details how IT Services group was partially replaced by a call center/support staff in India."

The petitioner also referenced an attachment and stated "Navistar answered the Community's questions about their intentions for the property they were acquiring for the move. * * * This is a headcount reduction across the nation, made possible by the Global Outsourcing. * * *" The petitioner also referenced an attachment and stated "Earlier Exhibits detailed that these countries are doing their own engineering and development work, they not simply 'points of sale.'"

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of September, 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011-24478 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,089]

Parkdale America, LLC, a Division of Parkdale Mills, Inc., Plant #22, Galax, VA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 22, 2011, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment

Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Parkdale America, LLC, a division of Parkdale Mills, Inc., Plant #22, Galax, Virginia (subject firm). The determination was issued on June 8, 2011. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on June 24, 2011 (76 FR 37155). Workers are engaged in activities related to the production of yarn.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that the subject firm did not shift production of yarn to a foreign country; the subject firm did not import yarn during the relevant period; and increased U.S. aggregate imports of articles like or directly competitive with yarn produced at the subject firm did not contribute importantly to the subject workers' separation because the imports coincide with increases in sales and production at the subject firm.

Further, the investigation revealed that the subject firm is not a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a).

The determination stated that the workers' separations were attributable to a change of product line.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner stated that "Parkdale #22 Galax plants only customer is in China, the company lowered the production, which eliminated our jobs because the customer lowered the orders * * *."

The Department has carefully reviewed the petitioner's request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of September, 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011-24479 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,207]

Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration; Tecumseh Products Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI

By application dated August 18, 2011, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the termination of investigation regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Tecumseh Products Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan (subject firm). The termination of investigation was issued on July 1, 2011. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 20, 2011 (76 FR 43351). The workers are engaged in activities related to the production of refrigeration compressors.

The termination was based on the Department's findings that there was not a valid worker group at the subject firm.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner stated that "I do not know you are defining a 'worker group', but the three of us worked in the North American Engineering Organization and Greg Cowen and Trina Higgins reported to me as part of the 'Lead, Records, Standards and Systems Group.'" The petitioner also included an organizational chart and an "organization announcement" (dated December 20, 2010) regarding a realignment.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of September, 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011-24476 Filed 9-22-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,158; TA-W-73,158A]

Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., Oncology Care Systems Division, Concord, CA; Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., Global Services/Supply Chain Management Including Employees Working Off-Site Throughout the United States Reporting to Malvern, PA, Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor (Department) issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 11, 2010, applicable to workers and former workers of Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc. (Siemens), Oncology Care Systems Division, Concord, California (subject firm). The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 23, 2010 (75 FR 21355). The Department's Notice was amended on July 29, 2011 to include the Malvern, Pennsylvania location in support of the subject firm. The amended notice was published in the **Federal Register** on August 12, 2011 (76 FR 50269). The workers are engaged in employment related to the supply of administrative services.

At the request of workers, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm.

New information provided by company revealed that worker separations have occurred involving off-site area office employees located throughout the United States who report to the Malvern, Pennsylvania location of Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., Global Services/Supply Chain Management. These employees provided support for the supply of information technology services (such as help desk, application development and support, and data center operations) for the Malvern, Pennsylvania location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include employees of the subject firm who report to Malvern, Pennsylvania facility working at off-site locations throughout the United States.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by a shift in services to Germany.