River, and Bounded on the West by the Missouri River north to the Big Sioux River; the Big Sioux River north to the northern Iowa State line.

- In Minnesota: Yellow Medicine, Renville, Lincoln, Lyon, Redwood, Pipestone, Murray, Cottonwood, Rock, Nobles, Jackson, and Martin Counties. In Nebraska: Cedar, Dakota, Dixon, Pierce (north of U.S. Route 20), and Thurston Counties.

- In South Dakota: Bounded on the North by State Route 44 (U.S. 18) east to State Route 11; State Route 11 south to A54B; A54B east to the Big Sioux River; Bounded on the East by the Big Sioux River; and Bounded on the South and West by the Missouri River.

The following grain elevators, located outside of the above contiguous geographic area, are part of this geographic area assignment: West Central Coop, Boxholm, Boone County (located inside Central Iowa Grain Inspection Service, Inc.’s, area); and West Bend Elevator Co., Alguna, Kossuth County; Stateline Coop., Burt, Kossuth County; Gold-Eagle, Goldfield, Wright County; and North Central Coop, Holmes, Wright County (located inside D. R. Schaal Agency’s area).

Opportunity for Designation

Interested persons or governmental agencies may apply for designation to provide official services in the geographic areas specified above under the provisions of section 7(f) of the USGSA and 7 CFR 800.196(d). Designation in the specified geographic areas is for the period beginning April 1, 2012, and ending March 31, 2015. To apply for designation or for more information, contact William A. Ashley at the above address above or visit GIPSA’s Web site at http://www.gipsa.usda.gov.

Request for Comments

We are publishing this notice to provide interested persons the opportunity to comment on the quality of services provided by the Jamestown, Lincoln, Midsouth, and Sioux City official agencies. In the designation process, we are particularly interested in receiving comments citing reasons and pertinent data supporting or objecting to the designation of the applicants. Submit all comments to William A. Ashley at the above address or at http://www.regulations.gov.

We consider applications, comments, and other available information when determining which applicants will be designated.


Alan Christian,
Acting Administrator, Grain Inspection, Packers, and Stockyards Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1780]

Reorganization of Foreign-Trade Zone 41 Under Alternative Site Framework Milwaukee, WI

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board adopted the alternative site framework (ASF) (74 FR 1170, 01/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09; 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10) as an option for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones;

Whereas, the Port of Milwaukee, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 41, has an application before the Board (FTZ Docket 23–2011, filed 3/21/2011) for authority to reorganize under the ASF with a service area of Kenosha, Milwaukee and Racine Counties, Wisconsin, in and adjacent to the Milwaukee Customs and Border Protection port of entry, FTZ 41’s existing Sites 2–4 would be categorized as magnet sites, existing Sites 1 and 5 would be categorized as usage-driven sites and the application also proposes four additional usage-driven sites (Sites 6–9);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register (76 FR 16726–16727, 3/25/2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner’s report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board’s regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize FTZ 41 under the alternative site framework is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.28, to the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for the overall general-purpose zone project, to a five-year ASF sunset provision for magnet sites that would terminate authority for Sites 2 and 4 if not activated by August 31, 2016, and to a three-year ASF sunset provision for usage-driven sites that would terminate authority for Sites 1 and 5–9 if no foreign-status merchandise is admitted for a bona fide customs purpose by August 31, 2014.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of August 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Survey of International Air Travelers

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before November 21, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Richard Champley or Ron Erdmann, ITA’s Office of Travel & Tourism Industries (OTTI), Phone: (202) 482–0140, and fax: (202) 482–2887. E-mail: Richard.Champley@trade.gov or Ron.Erdmann@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The “Survey of International Air Travelers” (Survey) program,
Survey questions relating to a traveler’s general impression of their airline carrier, flight connections, several airline rating attributes, their baggage delivery wait time, fewer activities, number of trips in the last five years, and whether they had personal safety concerns have been eliminated from the new Survey questionnaire.

II. Method of Collection
Within the “Survey of International Air Travelers (Survey)” program, the majority of the passenger surveys are collected in U.S. departure gate areas of U.S. airports. There is a small portion of all passenger surveys that are collected on-board flights which have departed the United States (except to Canada). U.S. and foreign flag airlines that voluntarily participate in the Survey program enable this collection in either U.S. departure gate areas or on-board flights.

III. Data
OMB Control Number: 0625–0227.
Form Number(s): None.
Type of Review: Regular submission (extension and revision of a currently approved information collection).
Affected Public: Individuals or households.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 99,400.
Estimated Time per Response: 15 minutes.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 24,850.
Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: $0.

IV. Request for Comments
Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 14, 2011.
Gwellnar Banks, Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
[FIR Doc. 2011–24050 Filed 9–19–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–533–824]
Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review
AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
DATES: Effective Date: September 20, 2011.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum or Toni Page, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0197 or (202) 482–1398, respectively.
Background
On July 1, 2011, the Department of Commerce (Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from India covering the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 76 FR 38609, 38610 (July 1, 2010). The Department received a timely request for an AD administrative review from Petitioners 1 for five companies: Ester Industries Limited, Garware Polyester Ltd., Jindal Poly Films Limited of India, Polypex Corporation Ltd., and SRF Limited. The Department also received timely requests for an AD administrative review from Vacmet India Ltd. (Vacnet) and Polypacks Industries of India (Polypacks). On August 26, 2011, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review with respect to Ester Industries Limited, Garware Polyester Ltd., Jindal Poly Films Limited of India, Polypex Corporation Ltd., and SRF Limited, Vacmet and Polypacks. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty

1 Petitioners are DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc. and Toray Plastics (America), Inc.