

Programs at the September 15, 2011 deadline.

**Michael P. McDonald,**  
*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*  
[FR Doc. 2011-24012 Filed 9-16-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7536-01-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee #13883; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following Astronomy and Astrophysics Advisory Committee (#13883) meeting:

*Date and Time:* October 13-14, 2011, 8:30 a.m.-5 p.m.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, Room 555, Stafford II Building, 4121 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230

*Type of Meeting:* Open.

*Contact Person:* Dr. Jim Ulvestad, Division Director, Division of Astronomical Sciences, Suite 1045, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: 703-292-7165.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations to the National Science Foundation (NSF), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) on issues within the field of astronomy and astrophysics that are of mutual interest and concern to the agencies.

*Agenda:* To hear presentations of current programming by representatives from NSF, NASA, DOE and other agencies relevant to astronomy and astrophysics; to discuss current and potential areas of cooperation between the agencies; to formulate recommendations for continued and new areas of cooperation and mechanisms for achieving them.

Dated: September 14, 2011.

**Susanne E. Bolton,**  
*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-23922 Filed 9-16-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Notice of Permit Applications Received Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-541)

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice of Permit Applications Received under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978, Public Law 95-541.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permit applications received to conduct activities regulated under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978.

NSF has published regulations under the Antarctic Conservation Act at Title 45 part 670 of the Code of Federal Regulations. This is the required notice of permit applications received.

**DATES:** Interested parties are invited to submit written data, comments, or views with respect to this permit application by October 19, 2011. This application may be inspected by interested parties at the Permit Office, address below.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to Permit Office, Room 755, Office of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Polly A. Penhale at the above address or (703) 292-7420.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Science Foundation, as directed by the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-541), as amended by the Antarctic Science, Tourism and Conservation Act of 1996, has developed regulations for the establishment of a permit system for various activities in Antarctica and designation of certain animals and certain geographic areas requiring special protection. The regulations establish such a permit system to designate Antarctic Specially Protected Areas.

The applications received are as follows:

Applicant	Permit application
1. Sam Feola, Director, Raytheon Polar Services Company, 7400 S. Tucson Way, Centennial, CO 80112. ....	2012-008.

### Activity for Which Permit is Requested

Enter Antarctic Specially Protected Areas. The applicant plans to enter the Antarctic Specially Protected Areas at Beaufort Island (ASP 105), New College Valley, Cape Bird (ASP 116), Cape Royds (ASP 121), Arrival Heights (ASP 122), Cape Crozier (ASP 124), Cape Evans (ASP 155), and Backdoor Bay, Cape Royds (ASP 157) for the purpose of gathering professional video footage, still photographs, and to interview scientists. Footage, pictures, interviews, and information gathered during site visits to the ASPA's could potentially be used in outreach videos, archived for future use, or be published in *the Antarctic Sun*, the official online news publication of the U.S. Antarctic Program.

### Location

ASP 105-Beaufort Island, ASPA 116-New College Valley, Cape Bird, ASPA 121-Cape Royds, ASPA 122-Arrival Heights, ASPA 124-Cape Crozier, ASPA 155-Cape Evans, ASPA 157-Backdoor Bay, Cape Royds.

### Dates

October 15, 2011 to March 31, 2012.

**Nadene G. Kennedy,**  
*Permit Officer, Office of Polar Programs.*  
[FR Doc. 2011-23852 Filed 9-16-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-P**

## NEIGHBORHOOD REINVESTMENT CORPORATION

### Special Board of Directors Meeting: Sunshine Act

**TIME AND DATE:** 2 p.m., Thursday, July 21, 2011.

**PLACE:** 1325 G Street, NW., Suite 800, Boardroom, Washington, DC 20005.

**STATUS:** Open.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Erica Hall, Assistant Corporate Secretary, (202) 220-2376; [ehall@nw.org](mailto:ehall@nw.org).

### AGENDA:

- I. Call to Order
- II. Theory of Change
- III. Strategic Plan, July 7 and February 23 Drafts
- IV. Next Steps
- V. Adjournment

**Erica Hall,**  
*Assistant Corporate Secretary.*  
[FR Doc. 2011-24078 Filed 9-15-11; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7570-02-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 40-3392-MLA; ASLBP No. 11-910-01-MLA-BD01]

### Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; Honeywell International, Inc.; Metropolis Works Uranium Conversion Facility; Notice of Hearing

September 13, 2011.

*Before Administrative Judges:* Paul S. Ryerson, Chairman; E. Roy Hawkens; Paul B. Abramson.

On July 27, 2011, the Board granted <sup>1</sup> Honeywell International, Inc.'s

<sup>1</sup> LBP-11-19, 74 NRC \_\_, \_\_ (slip op. at 4) (July 27, 2011).

(Honeywell) request for a hearing<sup>2</sup> concerning a NRC Staff decision<sup>3</sup> denying Honeywell's license amendment request for the use of an alternate method for demonstrating decommissioning funding assurance for its Metropolis Works uranium conversion facility in Metropolis, Illinois.

Accordingly, the Board will conduct an evidentiary hearing on Honeywell's request beginning at 9 a.m. Eastern Standard Time (E.S.T.) on Thursday, December 15, 2011 in the Atomic and Safety Licensing Board Panel's Hearing Room, located on the third floor of Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20352. The hearing will resume at 9 a.m. E.S.T. on Friday, December 16, 2011, if necessary.

The Board intends to conduct a conference call with the parties at a later date to discuss further administrative details concerning the hearing.

*It is so ordered.*

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

Dated: September 13, 2011 in Rockville, Maryland.

**Paul S. Ryerson,**

*Chairman, Administrative Judge.*

[FR Doc. 2011-23939 Filed 9-16-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. 50-438; NRC-2009-0093]

### **Tennessee Valley Authority, Bellefonte Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has prepared this Environmental Assessment (EA) associated with a request by the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) to extend the construction permit (CP) CPPR-122 for the Bellefonte Nuclear Plant (BLN), Unit 1 pursuant to Title 10 of *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.55(b). Based on information provided in TVA's letter, dated October 8, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML102870233), and the

<sup>2</sup> Request for Hearing on Denial of Decommissioning License Amendment Request (June 22, 2011).

<sup>3</sup> Letter from U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to Honeywell International, Inc., Denial of Exemption Request from 10 CFR part 30, Appendix C, Regarding Decommissioning Financial Assurance Requirements, Honeywell Metropolis Works, Material License No. SUB-526 (TAC No. L32718) (Dec. 11, 2009) (ADAMS Accession No. ML093170604).

NRC staff's independent review of references, the NRC staff did not identify any significant impact associated with the extension of the BLN Unit 1 CP. The NRC staff is documenting its environmental review in this EA.

### **Environmental Assessment**

#### *Plant Site and Environs*

BLN Unit 1 is a pressurized-water reactor site that has been partially completed. The unit is located on a peninsula between Town Creek and the Tennessee River at River Mile 392 on the west shore of Guntersville Reservoir near Hollywood, Alabama. Most of the 1,600 acres of the site have been previously impacted by construction for both BLN Units 1 and 2.

#### *Identification of the Proposed Action*

TVA has requested extension of the CP for BLN Unit 1 from October 1, 2011, to October 1, 2020. The Atomic Energy Commission (now the NRC) issued the Final Environmental Statement (FES) in June 1974 for BLN Units 1 and 2 (1974 FES). On December 24, 1974, CPs were issued by the NRC. Much of the construction work for BLN Units 1 and 2 was subsequently completed.

#### *The Need for the Proposed Action*

The extension of the CP for BLN Unit 1 would enable TVA to complete construction of BLN Unit 1.

#### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

This EA summarizes the radiological and nonradiological impacts to the environment that may result from the proposed extension of the CP for BLN Unit 1. Operational impacts are addressed in the TVA's May 2010 Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, "Single Nuclear Unit at the Bellefonte Plant Site" (2010 FSEIS), attached to its letter of October 8, 2010. Therefore, operational impacts are not further discussed in this EA for the purposes of evaluating TVA's CP extension request.

### **Non-Radiological Impacts**

#### *Land Use and Aesthetic Impacts*

Land use and aesthetic impacts from the proposed extension of the CP include impacts from completing the construction of BLN Unit 1. TVA states in its 2010 FSEIS that BLN Unit 1 is estimated to be 55-percent complete with most of the plant physical infrastructure work completed.

Remaining construction- and refurbishment-related activities at BLN Unit 1 include the need to: Rebuild the

power stores warehouse building; replace the auxiliary boiler building; replace auxiliary boiler; replace two steam generators; replace the existing analog and solid state instrumentation and controls systems with digital technology; replace the turbine rotating assemblies; replace major pumps, motors, heat exchangers, tanks, and piping; refurbish major equipment, such as reactor coolant pumps, diesel generators, and plant electrical breakers; upgrade plant barge unloading dock; remove silt from the intake structure; replace electric transmission system equipment utilized for plant operation; upgrade a cooling tower; update the plant control room; build a new simulator; install an intrusion barrier for the intake pumping station and intake channel; construct security upgrades; construct nonplant-related administrative building; construct maintenance building; build construction building; construct fabrication building; construct training building; and to potentially realign the southern entrance road to a point 1,200 feet east of its existing location. Additionally, clay borrow pits may be dug in wooded areas immediately east of the main buildings. The above construction and refurbishment activities would not involve significant new land disturbing work. The work would largely be done within existing buildings and land areas previously disturbed during initial construction for the BLN units. The construction activities would use best management practices to limit the impacts from excavation including air pollutant emissions from earthwork (*i.e.*, fugitive dust), construction equipment, and workers' vehicles.

Based on the available information, the NRC concludes that there would be no significant impact on land use and aesthetic resources in the vicinity of BLN Unit 1. Land use would not change and additional work to complete BLN Unit 1 would either be confined to, or occur adjacent to, areas previously disturbed by construction activities. The majority of these impacts were assessed and documented in the 1974 FES.

#### *Impacts on Air Quality*

Main sources of potential air quality impacts from extension of the CP for BLN Unit 1 would be fugitive dust from construction activities, including exhaust emissions from motorized equipment and workers' vehicles commuting to and from the BLN site. The 1990 Clean Air Act amendments include a provision that no Federal agency shall support any activity that does not conform to a state