Panel Decision: On April 14, 2010, the initial decision was issued by this panel remanding to the U.S. Department of Commerce to: (1) Recalculate Merox in’s dumping margins without zeroing, and (2) to recalculate the indirect selling expense ratio (ISE) in a manner not inconsistent with the panel’s opinion and affirming Commerce’s determinations on all other issues being contested. The Department’s decision in the final results of the 2004/2005 antidumping review was, in all other respects, upheld.

On August 19, 2011, with two dissenting views, the panel majority remanded to Commerce its Remand Determination to comply with its instructions in the April 2010 initial decision. The panel directed Commerce to issue its Final Re-determination on this second remand within thirty (30) days from the date of this panel decision.

Dated: September 6, 2011.
Ellen M. Bobo,
U.S. Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Brandeis University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 105–218; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.


Docket Number: 11–046. Applicant: University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095. Instrument: Luminescence Reader. Manufacturer: Technical University of Denmark, Riso National Laboratory, Denmark. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 48803, August 9, 2011. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: The instrument is a highly specialized system for studying a wide range of materials used in very high cycle, high temperature applications, such as light metals, composite metal/ceramics, titanium alloys and superalloys.

Docket Number: 11–044. Applicant: University of Chicago, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439. Instrument: Magneto-optical Kerr Microscope System. Manufacturer: Evico Magnetics GmbH, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 47148, August 4, 2011. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: The instrument will be used for real-time imaging of magnetic domains, as well as provide Kerr effect magnetic hysteresis loops, thereby providing important information on the reversal behavior in ferromagnetic films.

Docket Number: 11–045. Applicant: University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106–5050. Instrument: Ultrasonic Fatigue Testing Equipment. Manufacturer: University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences, Austria. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 48803, August 9, 2011. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: The instrument is a highly specialized system for studying a wide range of materials used in very high cycle, high temperature applications, such as light metals, composite metal/ceramics, titanium alloys and superalloys.

Docket Number: 11–047. Applicant: University of Chicago, Institute for Genomic Systems and Biology, Chicago, IL 60637. Instrument: Digital Scanned Laser Microscope. Manufacturer: Emblem GMBH, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 76 FR 48803, August 9, 2011. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: The instrument will be used to study the age of rock and sediment samples using thermoluminescence, optically stimulated luminescence and infrared luminescence.
extension of time limits for final results
The Department has determined that completion of the final results of this review within the original time period (i.e., by October 6, 2011) is not practicable. We are currently verifying the responses of the respondents, and will require time to write verification reports and allow interested parties adequate time to comment. Also, in the instant review, the Department needs additional time to conduct a post-preliminary analysis of lending programs, the respondents’ creditworthiness, and inputs supplied for less than adequate remuneration. See Preliminary Results, 76 FR at 33236. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results to not later than December 5, 2011, which is 180 days from the date of publication of the Preliminary Results, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 6, 2011.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
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BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–552–802]
Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 4, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published the Preliminary Results of the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (“shrimp”) from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (“Vietnam”).¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Results and, based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results of this review. The final weighted-average margins are listed below in the “Final Results of the Review” section of this notice. The period of review (“POR”) is February 1, 2009, through January 31, 2010.

DATES: Effective Date: September 12, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Pulongbarit, Paul Walker, or Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4013, (202) 482–0413, or (202) 482–4047, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Case History
As noted above, on March 4, 2011, the Department published the Preliminary Results of this administrative review. Between March 9, 2011, and March 31, 2011, the Department requested that Camimex, Minh Phu Seafood Corporation (and its affiliates Minh Qui Seafood Co., Ltd., and Minh Phat Seafood Co., Ltd.) (collectively “the Minh Phu Group”), and Nha Trang Seaprodco (and its affiliates, NT Seafoods Corporation, Nha Trang Seafoods—F.89 Joint Stock Company, and NTSF Seafoods Joint Stock Company) (collectively, “Nha Trang Seafoods Group”) (hereinafter collectively “mandatory respondents”), submit publicly ranged quantities of their reported U.S. transactions. On March 14, 2011, through April 1, 2011, the mandatory respondents submitted the publicly ranged quantities of their reported U.S. transactions to the Department.

On March 24, 2011, the Department received post-Preliminary Results surrogation value information to value factors of production (“FOP”) for the final results from the Petitioners,² the Processors,³ and the Respondents.⁴


² This includes the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee (“Petitioners”).
³ This includes the American Shrimp Processors Association (“ASPA”) and Louisiana Shrimp Association (“LSA”) (collectively, “Processors”).