

(1) limit or guide a contractor's exercise of discretion and retain control of government operations by both—

(i) establishing in the contract specified ranges of acceptable decisions and/or conduct; and

(ii) establishing in advance a process for subjecting the contractor's discretionary decisions and conduct to meaningful oversight and, whenever necessary, final approval by an agency official;

(2) assign a sufficient number of qualified government employees, with expertise to administer or perform the work, to give special management attention to the contractor's activities, in particular, to ensure that they do not expand to include inherently governmental functions, are not performed in ways not contemplated by the contract so as to become inherently governmental, do not undermine the integrity of the government's decision-making process as provided by subsections 5–1(a)(1)(ii)(b) and (c), and do not interfere with Federal employees' performance of the closely-associated inherently governmental functions (see subsection 5–2(b)(2) for guidance on steps to take where a determination is made that the contract is being used to fulfill responsibilities that are inherently governmental);

(3) ensure that the level of oversight and management that would be needed to retain government control of contractor performance and preclude the transfer of inherently governmental responsibilities to the contractor would not result in unauthorized personal services as provided by FAR 37.104;

(4) ensure that a reasonable identification of contractors and contractor work products is made whenever there is a risk that Congress, the public, or other persons outside of the government might confuse contractor personnel or work products with government officials or work products, respectively; and

(5) take appropriate steps to avoid or mitigate conflicts of interest, such as by conducting pre-award conflict of interest reviews, to ensure contract performance is in accordance with objective standards and contract specifications, and developing a conflict of interest mitigation plan, if needed, that identifies the conflict and specific actions that will be taken to lessen the potential for conflict of interest or reduce the risk involved with a potential conflict of interest.

[FR Doc. 2011–23165 Filed 9–9–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

THE NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Meetings of Humanities Panel

AGENCY: The National Endowment for the Humanities, The National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

ACTION: Cancellation of panel meeting.

Notice is hereby given of the cancellation of the following meeting of the Humanities Panel at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506 which was published in the **Federal Register** on August 23, 2011, 76 FR 52698.

Dates: September 27, 2011.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Request for Proposals for A Cooperative Agreement with NEH to Support Bridging Cultures at Community Colleges, submitted to the Division Education Programs at the August 23, 2011 deadline.

Michael P. McDonald,

Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011–23264 Filed 9–9–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–397–LR; ASLBP No. 11–912–03–LR–BD01]

Energy Northwest; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 FR 28,710 (1972), and the Commission's regulations, *see, e.g.*, 10 CFR 2.104, 2.105, 2.300, 2.309, 2.313, 2.318, and 2.321, notice is hereby given that an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

Energy Northwest (Columbia Generating Station)

This proceeding involves an application by Energy Northwest to renew for twenty years its operating license for Columbia Generating Station, which is located near Richland, Washington. The current operating license expires on December 20, 2023. In response to a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing, published in the **Federal Register** on March 11, 2010 (75 FR 11,572), a request for hearing was submitted by Nina Bell, Executive

Director, Northwest Environmental Advocates. The request, entitled “Petition for Hearing and Leave to Intervene in Operating License Renewal for Energy Northwest's Columbia Generating Station,” was received via E-Filing on August 22, 2011.¹

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

Alan S. Rosenthal, Chair, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Dr. Gary S. Arnold, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Dr. William H. Reed, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed in accordance with the NRC E-Filing rule, which the NRC promulgated in August 2007 (72 FR 49,139).

Issued at Rockville, Maryland this 6th day of September 2011.

E. Roy Hawkens,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 2011–23199 Filed 9–9–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52–018–COL, 52–019–COL, 52–025–COL, 52–026–COL; ASLBP No. 11–913–01–COL–BD01]

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC; Southern Nuclear Operating Company; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 FR 28,710 (1972), and the Commission's regulations, *see, e.g.*, 10 CFR 2.104, 2.105, 2.300, 2.309, 2.313, 2.318, and 2.321, notice is hereby given that an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) is being established to preside over this proceeding, which involves the following captioned cases:

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, (William States Lee III Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 52–018–COL & 52–019–COL;

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant,

¹ On August 22, 2011, petitioner, Ms. Bell, also filed a petition for rulemaking, coupled with a request to suspend licensing decision. Those requests are under review by Commission advisers as a separate action.