

within 120 days of publication of the preliminary results. The assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by this review and future deposits of estimated duties shall be based on the final results of this review.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we are calculating importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for the merchandise subject to this review. Where the respondent has reported reliable entered values, we calculate importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer). Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is greater than *de minimis*, we will apply the assessment rate to the entered value of the importers'/ customers' entries during the POR, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

Where we do not have entered values for all U.S. sales to a particular importer/customer, we calculate a per-unit assessment rate by aggregating the antidumping duties due for all U.S. sales to that importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer).⁶⁵ To determine whether the duty assessment rates are *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we calculated importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* ratios based on the estimated entered value. Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.⁶⁶

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section

751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, the cash deposit will be zero); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Vietnam and non-Vietnam exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all Vietnam exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the Vietnam-wide rate of \$2.11 per kilogram; and (4) for all non-Vietnam exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Vietnam exporters that supplied that non-Vietnam exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 31, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-23154 Filed 9-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

National Institute of Standards and Technology; Performance Review Board Membership

The National Institute of Standards and Technology Performance Review Board (NIST PRB) reviews performance appraisals, agreements, and recommended actions pertaining to employees in the Senior Executive Service and ST-3104 employees. The Board makes recommendations to the

appropriate appointing authority concerning such matters so as to ensure the fair and equitable treatment of these individuals.

This notice lists the membership of the NIST PRB and supersedes the list published in *Federal Register* Vol. 75, No. 95, page 27708, on May 18, 2010.

Delwin Brockett (C), Chief Information Officer, National Institute of Standards & Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, *Appointment Expires: 12/31/13*.

Robert Dimeo (C), Director, NIST Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards & Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, *Appointment Expires: 12/31/12*.

Stella Fiotes (C) (alternate), Chief Facilities Management Officer, National Institute of Standards & Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, *Appointment Expires: 12/31/12*.

Ellen Herbst (C), Senior Advisor for Policy and Program Integration, Office of the Deputy Secretary, Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, *Appointment Expires: 12/31/2012*.

Nancy Potok (NC), Deputy Under Secretary for Economic Affairs, Economics and Statistics Administration, Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, *Appointment Expires: 12/31/2012*.

Sivaraj Shyam-Sunder (C) (alternate), Director, Engineering Laboratory, National Institute of Standards & Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, *Appointment Expires: 12/31/12*.

Dated: September 1, 2011.

Willie E. May,

Associate Director for Laboratory Programs.

[FR Doc. 2011-23117 Filed 9-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Recording Assignments

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before November 8, 2011.

⁶⁵ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

⁶⁶ See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *E-mail:*

InformationCollection@uspto.gov.

Include "0651-0027 comment" in the subject line of the message.

- *Mail:* Susan K. Fawcett, Records Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

- *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information should be directed to Joyce R. Johnson, Manager, Assignment Division, Mail Stop 1450, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450; by telephone at 703-756-1265; or by e-mail to Joyce.Johnson@uspto.gov. Additional information about this collection is also available at <http://www.reginfo.gov> under "Information Collection Review."

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

I. Abstract

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 261 and 262 for patents and 15 U.S.C. 1057 and 1060 for trademarks. These statutes authorize the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) to record patent and trademark assignment documents, including transfers of properties (*i.e.* patents and trademarks), liens, licenses, assignments of interest, security interests, mergers, and explanations of transactions or other documents that record the transfer of ownership of a particular patent or trademark property from one party to another. Assignments are recorded for applications, patents, and trademark registrations.

The USPTO administers these statutes through 37 CFR 2.146, 2.171, and 37 CFR part 3. These rules permit the public, corporations, other federal

agencies, and Government-owned or Government-controlled corporations to submit patent and trademark assignment documents and other documents related to title transfers to the USPTO to be recorded. In accordance with 37 CFR 3.54, the recording of an assignment document by the USPTO is an administrative action and not a determination of the validity of the document or of the effect that the document has on the title to an application, patent, or trademark.

Once the assignment documents are recorded, they are available for public inspection. The only exceptions are those documents that are sealed under secrecy orders according to 37 CFR 3.58 or related to unpublished patent applications maintained in confidence under 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. The public uses these records to conduct ownership and chain-of-title searches. The public may view these records either at the USPTO Public Search Facilities or at the National Archives and Records Administration, depending on the date they were recorded. The public may also search patent and trademark assignment information online through the USPTO Web site.

In order to file a request to record an assignment, the respondent must submit an appropriate cover sheet along with copies of the assignment documents to be recorded. The USPTO provides two paper forms for this purpose, the Patent Recordation Form Cover Sheet (PTO-1595) and the Trademark Recordation Form Cover Sheet (PTO-1594), which capture all of the necessary data for accurately recording various assignment documents. These forms may be downloaded in PDF format from the USPTO Web site.

Customers may also submit assignments online by using the Electronic Patent Assignment System

(EPAS) and the Electronic Trademark Assignment System (ETAS), which are available through the USPTO Web site. These systems allow customers to fill out the required cover sheet information online using web-based forms and then attach the electronic assignment documents to be submitted for recordation.

II. Method of Collection

By mail, facsimile, hand delivery, or electronically to the USPTO.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0651-0027.

Form Number(s): PTO-1594 and PTO-1595.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; businesses or other for-profits; not-for-profit institutions; the Federal Government; and State, local or tribal governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 481,826 responses per year.

Estimated Time per Response: The USPTO estimates that it will take the public approximately 30 minutes (0.5 hours) to prepare and submit a patent or trademark assignment recordation request.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours: 240,914 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden: \$55,651,134 per year. The USPTO expects that the information in this collection will be prepared by both attorneys and paralegals. Using the estimated rates of \$340 per hour for attorneys in private firms and \$122 per hour for paraprofessionals, the USPTO estimates that the average rate for respondents will be approximately \$231 per hour. Therefore, the estimated total respondent cost burden for this collection will be approximately \$55,651,134 per year.

Item	Estimated time for response (minutes)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
Patent Recordation Form Cover Sheet (PTO-1595)	30	100,115	50,058
Trademark Recordation Form Cover Sheet (PTO-1594)	30	18,443	9,222
Electronic Patent Assignment System (EPAS) (PTO-1595)	30	330,390	165,195
Electronic Trademark Assignment System (ETAS) (PTO-1594)	30	32,878	16,439
Totals	481,826	240,914

Estimated Total Annual Non-hour Respondent Cost Burden: \$37,829,474. This information collection has annual (non-hour) costs in the form of filing fees and postage costs.

This collection has filing fees associated with submitting patent and

trademark assignment documents to be recorded. The filing fees for recording patent and trademark assignments are the same for both paper and electronic submissions. However, the filing cost for recording patent or trademark assignments varies according to the

number of properties involved in each submission.

The filing fee for submitting a patent assignment as indicated by 37 CFR 1.21(h) is \$40 per property for recording each document, while the filing fee for submitting a trademark assignment as

indicated by 37 CFR 2.6(b)(6) is \$40 for recording the first property in a document and \$25 for each additional property in the same document. The

USPTO estimates that the average fee for a patent assignment recordation request is approximately \$80 and that the average fee for a trademark assignment

recordation request is approximately \$65. Therefore, this collection has an estimated total of \$37,776,265 in filing fees per year.

Item	Estimated annual responses	Average fee amount	Estimated annual filing costs
Patent Recordation Form Cover Sheet (PTO-1595)	100,115	\$80.00	\$8,009,200
Trademark Recordation Form Cover Sheet (PTO-1594)	18,443	65.00	1,198,795
Electronic Patent Assignment System (EPAS) (PTO-1595)	330,390	80.00	26,431,200
Electronic Trademark Assignment System (ETAS) (PTO-1594)	32,878	65.00	2,137,070
Totals	481,826	37,776,265

Customers may incur postage costs when submitting a patent or trademark assignment request to the USPTO by mail. The USPTO expects that some assignment requests will be submitted by fax but that approximately 60,465 (51%) of the 118,558 paper assignment requests per year will be submitted by mail. The USPTO estimates that the average first-class postage cost for a mailed Patent or Trademark Recordation Form Cover Sheet submission is 88 cents, resulting in a total postage cost of \$53,209 per year for this collection.

The total (non-hour) respondent cost burden for this collection in the form of filing fees and postage costs is estimated to be \$37,829,474 per year.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, e.g., the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 6, 2011.

Susan K. Fawcett,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011-23078 Filed 9-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Procurement List; Addition

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

ACTION: Addition to the Procurement List.

SUMMARY: This action adds a product to the Procurement List that will be furnished by the nonprofit agency employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

DATES: *Effective Date:* 10/10/2011.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 10800, 1421 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3259.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia Briscoe, Telephone: (703) 603-7740, Fax: (703) 603-0655, or e-mail CMTEFedReg@AbilityOne.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Additions

On 6/17/2011 (76 FR 35415-35417), the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice of proposed addition to the Procurement List.

The Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled (Committee) operates pursuant to statutory and regulatory requirements. The Committee regulation at 41 CFR 51-2-4 states that for a commodity or service to be suitable for addition to the Procurement List each of the following criteria must be reviewed and determined satisfactory under Committee practice and procedure: Employment potential; nonprofit agency qualifications, capability, and level of impact on the current contractor for the commodity or service. The Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) Act requires that projects added to the Procurement List

must be provided by qualified nonprofit agencies that employ people who are blind or severely disabled for not less than 75% of the direct hours required for the production or provision of products or services during each fiscal year.

Comments were received from the incumbent contractor that currently provides powder laundry detergent to the Government. The incumbent contractor indicated that it has a long established policy of employing people with disabilities. He indicated that two of the eight individuals employed in fulfillment of this product are people with disabilities. The contractor advises that loss of this project could jeopardize the continued employment of the two employees with disabilities. Comments were also received from two other sources. Both sources voiced support for the contractor's practice of hiring people with disabilities and asserted that the contractor should retain the opportunity to supply the product to the Government.

The Committee applauds and encourages the actions of this contractor to hire people with disabilities who deserve the same opportunity as all Americans to work, earn income and be productive members of society. The AbilityOne Program, which the Committee administers, exists to provide employment for people who are blind or whose significant disabilities make them unable to obtain or maintain employment on their own. In the case of the specific project under consideration, people who are blind will provide the labor associated with filling the detergent containers, as well as packaging the product for sale and delivery. This will maximize employment for individuals with the most barriers to competitive employment. As the product offered under the AbilityOne Program exceeds recent biobased standards, it is also more likely to be purchased by Federal agencies in compliance with the