

merchandise upon which the request was based. The notice initiating the NSR was published on March 23, 2011.² The preliminary results are currently due no later than September 10, 2011.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), provides that the Department will issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order within 180 days after the day on which the review was initiated. *See also* 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1). The Act further provides that the Department may extend that 180-day period to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. *See also* 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

The Department determines that this new shipper review involves extraordinarily complicated methodological issues, including Thong Thuan's multiple production stages for subject merchandise and the need to evaluate the *bona fide* nature of Thong Thuan's sales. The Department finds that these extraordinarily complicated issues require additional time to evaluate. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2), the Department is extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 60 days, until no later than November 9, 2011. The final results continue to be due 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: August 31, 2011.

Susan H. Kuhbach,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-22852 Filed 9-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-899]

Artist Canvas from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: On May 2, 2011, the Department of Commerce ("Department") initiated the first sunset review of the antidumping duty order on artist canvas from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"). *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 76 FR 24459 (May 2, 2011) ("*Sunset Initiation*"); *see also Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Artist Canvas from the People's Republic of China*, 71 FR 31154 (June 1, 2006) ("*Order*"). On May 17, 2011, Tara Materials, Inc. ("Tara Materials"), the petitioner in the artist canvas investigation, notified the Department that it intended to participate in the sunset review. The Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent party. Based on the notice of intent to participate and adequate response filed by the domestic interested party, and the lack of response from any respondent interested party, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the *Order* pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice, *infra*.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 7, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brooke Kennedy; AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* 202-482-3818.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 2, 2011, the Department initiated a sunset review of the order on artist canvas pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Sunset Initiation*. On May 17, 2011, the Department received a timely notice of intent to participate

in the sunset review from Tara Materials, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii)(A), Tara Materials claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer of domestic like product.

On June 1, 2011, Tara Materials filed an adequate substantive response in the sunset review, within the 30-day deadline as specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party in the sunset review. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the *Order*.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are artist canvases regardless of dimension and/or size, whether assembled or unassembled, that have been primed/coated, whether or not made from cotton, whether or not archival, whether bleached or unbleached, and whether or not containing an ink receptive top coat. Priming/coating includes the application of a solution, designed to promote the adherence of artist materials, such as paint or ink, to the fabric. Artist canvases (*i.e.*, pre-stretched canvases, canvas panels, canvas pads, canvas rolls (including bulk rolls that have been primed), printable canvases, floor cloths, and placemats) are tightly woven prepared painting and/or printing surfaces. Artist canvas and stretcher strips (whether or not made of wood and whether or not assembled) included within a kit or set are covered by the order.

Artist canvases subject to the order are currently classifiable under subheadings 5901.90.20.00 and 5901.90.40.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Specifically excluded from the scope of the order are tracing cloths, "paint-by-number" or "paint-it-yourself" artist canvases with a copyrighted preprinted outline, pattern, or design, whether or not included in a painting set or kit.¹ Also excluded are stretcher strips, whether or not made from wood, so long as they are not incorporated into artist canvases or sold as part of an artist canvas kit or set. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

¹ Artist canvases with a non-copyrighted preprinted outline, pattern, or design are included in the scope, whether or not included in a painting set or kit.

² *See Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 76 FR 16384 (March 23, 2011).

Analysis of Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review is addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. See the Department's memorandum entitled, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Artist Canvas from the People's Republic of China," dated August 31, 2011 ("I&D Memo"). The issues discussed in the accompanying I&D Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the dumping margin likely to prevail if the Order is revoked. Parties can obtain a public copy of the I&D Memo on file in the Central Records Unit, room 7046, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete public copy of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the Order on artist canvas would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The Department also determines that the dumping margins likely to prevail if the Order was revoked are as follows:

Exporters/producers	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Jiangsu By-products/Su Yang Yinying Stationery and Sports Products Co. Ltd. Corp.	77.90
PRC-Wide Entity	264.09

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 30, 2011.
Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-22864 Filed 9-6-11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

the review with respect to two additional companies.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 7, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dena Crossland (Maquilacero), Brian Davis (Regiopytsa), or Edythe Artman, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-3362, (202) 482-7924, or (202) 482-3931, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Period of Review

The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2010.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise that is the subject of this order is certain welded carbon-quality light-walled steel pipe and tube, of rectangular (including square) cross section, having a wall thickness of less than 4 mm.

The term carbon-quality steel includes both carbon steel and alloy steel which contains only small amounts of alloying elements. Specifically, the term carbon-quality includes products in which none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity by weight respectively indicated: 1.80 percent of manganese, or 2.25 percent of silicon, or 1.00 percent of copper, or 0.50 percent of aluminum, or 1.25 percent of chromium, or 0.30 percent of cobalt, or 0.40 percent of lead, or 1.25 percent of nickel, or 0.30 percent of tungsten, or 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or 0.10 percent of niobium, or 0.15 percent vanadium, or 0.15 percent of zirconium. The description of carbon-quality is intended to identify carbon-quality products within the scope. The welded carbon-quality rectangular pipe and tube subject to this order is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 7306.61.50.00 and 7306.61.70.60. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Background

On August 5, 2008, the Department published the antidumping duty order on LWR pipe and tube from Mexico in the **Federal Register**. See *Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico, the People's Republic of China, and the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Orders; Light-Walled Rectangular*

Exporters/producers	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Ningbo Conda/Jinhua Universal	264.09
Ningbo Conda/Wuxi Silver Eagle Cultural Goods Co. Ltd.	264.09
Conda Painting/Wuxi Pegasus Cultural Goods Co. Ltd.	264.09
Jinhua Universal/Jinhua Universal	264.09
Phoenix Materials/Phoenix Materials	77.90
Phoenix Materials/Phoenix Stationary	77.90
Phoenix Materials/Shuyang Phoenix	77.90
Phoenix Stationary/Phoenix Materials	77.90
Phoenix Stationary/Phoenix Stationary	77.90
Phoenix Stationary/Shuyang Phoenix	77.90
Jiangsu By-products/Wuxi Yinying Stationery and Sports Products Co. Ltd. Corp.	77.90

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-836]

Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube From Mexico: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: In response to requests for an administrative review, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on light-walled rectangular pipe and tube (LWR pipe and tube) from Mexico. The review covers imports of subject merchandise of two respondent companies during the period August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2010. For these preliminary results, we have found that both respondents made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review. In addition, we have rescinded