

solicit feedback on how the process can be improved.

#### How to Obtain Copies

You can get an electronic copy of the SOP #: AIR-100-001; Standard Operating Procedure—Aircraft Certification Service Project Sequencing, via the Internet at [http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/draft\\_docs/](http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/draft_docs/), and then select Policy, or by contacting the person named in the paragraph **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 26, 2011.

**Susan J.M. Cabler,**

*Assistant Manager, Aircraft Engineering Division, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2011-22360 Filed 8-31-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Notice To Rescind a Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement: San Francisco County, CA

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice to rescind a Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA, on behalf of the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), announces this notice to advise the public of the rescinding of the Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for improvements that were proposed for the Bayview Transportation Improvements Project. The NOI was published in the **Federal Register** on June 2, 2004. This rescission is based on major changes in the scope of the project.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Melanie Brent, Office Chief, California Department of Transportation, District 4, Office of Environmental Analysis, P.O. Box 23660, MS-8B, Oakland, California 94623-0660, *Telephone:* (510) 286-5231, *E-mail:* [melanie\\_brent@dot.ca.gov](mailto:melanie_brent@dot.ca.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Effective July 1, 2007, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned, and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) assumed, environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327. Caltrans as the delegated National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) agency is rescinding the NOI to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for proposed roadway improvements in the

southeast sector of San Francisco, California to facilitate truck traffic from U.S. Highway 101 to planned industrial development in the former Hunters Point Shipyard. The NOI is being rescinded because the land use plans for the former Hunters Point Shipyard have evolved to a broader mix of residential, commercial, research and development, and industrial activities and there is a need to connect Hunters Point with Candlestick Point, and other parts of the Bayview District and beyond. The rescope project will: Emphasize transit, bikes, pedestrians, and autos rather than trucks; conform to the City's "transit first policy" as a means to reduce vehicular traffic on streets as well as on U.S. 101 and its interchanges; connect neighborhoods within the Bayview District through a new bus rapid transit (BRT) route (the "spine" of the proposed project); provide transfer points between the BRT line and other transit routes; construct a new transit center; facilitate bicycle and pedestrian movement on streets that are in need of improvement; and create a more sustainable and viable community. Given the changes in scope of the proposed action, Caltrans intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment to determine if the project has the potential to significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Dated: August 25, 2011.

**Gary Sweeten,**

*Acting Director, Local Programs, Federal Highway Administration, Sacramento, CA.*

[FR Doc. 2011-22349 Filed 8-31-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327, and Other Federal Agencies.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is issuing this notice to announce actions taken by Caltrans, and other Federal agencies, that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). The actions relate to proposed highway improvements in Santa Clara County at the connection of the State Route 17, Interstate 280 and Interstate 880 freeways in the City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, State of California.

Those actions grant licenses, permits, and approvals for the project.

**DATES:** By this notice, the FHWA, on behalf of Caltrans, is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before February 28, 2012. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For Caltrans: Melanie Brent, Office Chief, Office of Environmental Analysis, Caltrans District 4, 111 Grand Avenue, MS 8B, Oakland, CA 94612, (510) 286-5231, [Melanie\\_Brent@dot.ca.gov](mailto:Melanie_Brent@dot.ca.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Effective July 1, 2007, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned, and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) assumed, environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327. Notice is hereby given that the Caltrans has taken final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1) by issuing licenses, permits, and approvals for the following highway project in the State of California: Highway Improvements to modify the State Route 17/Interstate-280/Interstate-880 freeway-to-freeway interchange as well as the adjacent interchange at Interstate 88R0/Stevens Creek Boulevard. The purpose of the project is to improve operations and safety on the freeways and local roadways in the vicinity of these interchanges and to provide additional access between the Interstate 280/ Interstate 880 freeway corridors and nearby land uses.

The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the project. A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was approved on July 8, 2011. The FEA, FONSI, and other project records are available by contacting Caltrans at the addresses provided above. The Caltrans FEA and FONSI can be viewed and downloaded from the project Web site at <http://www.dot.ca.gov/dist4/envdocs.htm>.

This notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321-4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109] and its regulations 23 CFR 772

2. Antiquities Act of 1906 [16 U.S.C. 431–433]; Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1935 [20 U.S.C. 78]
3. Clean Air Act [42 U.S.C. 7401–7671(q)]
4. Clean Water Act [33 U.S.C. 1344]

**Authority:** 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1)

Issued on: August 25, 2011.

**Gary Sweeten,**

*Acting Director, Local Programs, Federal Highway Administration, Sacramento, California.*

[FR Doc. 2011–22350 Filed 8–31–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-RY-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–1998–4334; FMCSA–2003–14504; FMCSA–2005–20560; FMCSA–2007–27897; FMCSA–2009–0121]

#### Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision

**AGENCY:** Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of renewal of exemptions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** FMCSA announces its decision to renew the exemptions from the vision requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations for 32 individuals. FMCSA has statutory authority to exempt individuals from the vision requirement if the exemptions granted will not compromise safety. The Agency has concluded that granting these exemption renewals will provide a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level of safety maintained without the exemptions for these commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers.

**DATES:** This decision is effective September 13, 2011. Comments must be received on or before October 3, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments bearing the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) numbers: FMCSA–1998–4334; FMCSA–2003–14504; FMCSA–2005–20560; FMCSA–2007–27897; FMCSA–2009–0121, using any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility; U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140,

1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

- *Fax:* 1–202–493–2251.

*Instructions:* Each submission must include the Agency name and the docket number for this notice. Note that DOT posts all comments received without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information included in a comment. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time or Room W12–140 on the ground level of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year. If you want acknowledgment that we received your comments, please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope or postcard or print the acknowledgement page that appears after submitting comments on-line.

*Privacy Act:* Anyone may search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or of the person signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's Privacy Act Statement for the FDMS published in the **Federal Register** on January 17, 2008 (73 FR 3316), or you may visit <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2008/pdf/E8-785.pdf>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Elaine M. Papp, Chief, Medical Programs, 202–366–4001, [fmcsamedical@dot.gov](mailto:fmcsamedical@dot.gov), FMCSA, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Room W64–224, Washington, DC 20590–0001. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may renew an exemption from the vision requirements in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), which applies to drivers of CMVs in interstate commerce, for a two-year period if it finds “such exemption would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level that would be achieved absent such exemption.” The

procedures for requesting an exemption (including renewals) are set out in 49 CFR part 381.

#### Exemption Decision

This notice addresses 32 individuals who have requested renewal of their exemptions in accordance with FMCSA procedures. FMCSA has evaluated these 32 applications for renewal on their merits and decided to extend each exemption for a renewable two-year period. They are the following:

Daniel F. Albers  
John A. Bridges  
Eddie M. Brown  
Edwin L. Bupp  
Clifford D. Carpenter  
Duane C. Conway  
Brian W. Curtis  
Roger D. Davidson, Sr.  
Robin C. Duckett  
Marco A. Esquivel  
Tomie L. Estes  
Raymond L. Herman  
Jesse R. Hillhouse, Jr.  
Billy R. Holdman  
Ray C. Johnson  
Terry R. Jones  
Randall H. Keil  
James J. Mitchell  
Andrew M. Nurnberg  
Kenneth R. Pedersen  
Joshua R. Perkins  
Eligio M. Ramirez  
Victor C. Richert  
Craig R. Saari  
Jerry L. Schroder  
Gerald J. Shamlu  
William C. Smith  
Larry D. Steiner  
Scott C. Teich  
Anthony T. Truiolo  
Gregory A. VanLue  
Kevin W. Wunderlin

The exemptions are extended subject to the following conditions: (1) That each individual has a physical examination every year (a) By an ophthalmologist or optometrist who attests that the vision in the better eye continues to meet the standard in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), and (b) by a medical examiner who attests that the individual is otherwise physically qualified under 49 CFR 391.41; (2) that each individual provides a copy of the ophthalmologist's or optometrist's report to the medical examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (3) that each individual provide a copy of the annual medical certification to the employer for retention in the driver's qualification file and retains a copy of the certification on his/her person while driving for presentation to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official. Each exemption