

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1757 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 2, 2011, the Department published the notice of initiation of the third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Japan¹ pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 76 FR 24459 (May 2, 2011) (*Notice of Initiation*).

The Department received notice of intent to participate in this third sunset review from the domestic interested party, Committee for Fairly Traded Japanese Cement (domestic interested party), within the 15-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested party claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(E) of the Act as a trade or business association, a majority of whose members manufacture, produce or wholesale a domestic like product in the United States.

The Department received a complete substantive response to the *Notice of Initiation* from the domestic interested party within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received no responses from any respondent interested parties. In accordance with section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department is conducting an expedited (120-day) third sunset review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Japan.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are cement and cement clinker from Japan. Cement is a hydraulic cement and the primary component of concrete. Cement clinker, an intermediate material produced when manufacturing cement, has no use other than grinding into finished cement. Microfine cement was specifically excluded from the antidumping duty order. Cement is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 2523.29 and cement clinker is currently classifiable under HTS item number 2523.10. Cement has also been

entered under HTS item number 2523.90 as "other hydraulic cements." The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written product description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product covered by the order.²

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Japan" from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice (I&D Memo), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the I&D Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail if the order was revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the I&D Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average dumping margins:

Company	Weighted-Average dumping margin (percent)
Onoda Cement Company, Ltd ...	70.52
Nihon Cement Company, Ltd	69.89
All Other Manufacturers/Producers/Exporters	70.23

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Order and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Gray Portland Cement and Clinker From Japan*, 56 FR 21658 (May 10, 1991), and *Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Order: Gray Portland Cement and Clinker From Japan*, 60 FR 39150 (August 1, 1995).

² The Department has made two scope rulings regarding subject merchandise. See *Scope Rulings*, 57 FR 19602 (May 7, 1992) (classes G and H of oil well cement are within the scope of the order), and *Scope Rulings*, 58 FR 27542 (May 10, 1993) ("Nittetsu Super Fine" cement is not within the scope of the order).

Notification Regarding APO

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 18, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-22334 Filed 8-30-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-822]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Italy: Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 2, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate in coils (SSPC) from Italy. See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 75 FR 30777 (June 2, 2010) (Initiation). Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the International Trade Commission (ITC) determined that revocation of this order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See *Stainless Steel Plate From Belgium, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 76 FR 50495 (August 15, 2011) (ITC Final). Therefore, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(iii), the Department is revoking the antidumping duty order on SSPC from Italy.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 18, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hector Rodriguez or Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International

Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone*: (202) 482-0629 or (202) 482-3874.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 2010, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on SSPC from Belgium, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation.

On July 20, 2011, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of this order would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See ITC Final and Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium, Italy, Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan (Inv. Nos. 701-TA-379 and 731-TA-788, 790-793 (Second Review), USITC Publication 4248 (Aug. 2011)).

Scope of the Order

Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (*e.g.*, cold-rolled, polished, *etc.*) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of the order are the following: (1) Plate not in coils, (2) plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (3) sheet and strip, and (4) flat bars.

The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.81, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Revocation

As a result of the determination by the ITC that revocation of the order is not likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department, pursuant to section 751(d) of the Act, is revoking the antidumping duty order on SSPC from Italy. Pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the effective date of revocation is July 18, 2010 (*i.e.*, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of continuation of the antidumping duty order). The Department will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to discontinue suspension of liquidation and collection of cash deposits on entries of SSPC from Italy entered or withdrawn from warehouse on or after July 18, 2010, the effective date of revocation of the antidumping duty order. The Department will further instruct CBP to refund with interest any cash deposits on entries made on or after July 18, 2010.

This revocation and notice are issued in accordance with section 751(d)(2) of the Act and published pursuant to section 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2).

Dated: August 22, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-22153 Filed 8-30-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* August 31, 2011.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("Department") has determined that a request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation. The period of review

("POR") for the new shipper review is January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick O'Connor or Rebecca Pandolph, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0989 or (202) 482-3627 respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC was published on January 4, 2005. See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 329 (January 4, 2005). On August 1, 2011, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), and 19 CFR 351.214(c), the Department received a timely request for a new shipper review from Marvin Furniture (Shanghai) Co. Ltd. ("Marvin"). On August 11, 2011, and August 19, 2011, the Department issued supplemental questionnaires to Marvin. On August 19, 2011, Marvin submitted its response to the August 11, 2011 supplemental questionnaire. On August 19, 2011, the Department placed entry data received from U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") on the record of this proceeding and provided interested parties with an opportunity to comment on the data. On August 24, 2011, Marvin commented on the CBP data and requested an extension to file its response to the August 19, 2011 supplemental questionnaire.

Marvin stated that it is both the exporter and producer of the subject merchandise upon which its request for a new shipper review is based. Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), Marvin certified that it did not export wooden bedroom furniture to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"). In addition, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), Marvin certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any PRC exporter or producer who exported wooden bedroom furniture to the United States during the POI, including those not individually examined during the investigation. As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), Marvin also certified that its export activities were not controlled by the central government of the PRC. See