

placement of FHA lenders on Credit Watch status (an evaluation period). In the May 17, 1999 notice, HUD advised that it would publish in the **Federal Register** a list of mortgagees, which have had their Approval Agreements terminated. On January 21, 2010 HUD issued Mortgagee Letter 2010-03 which advised the extended procedures for terminating Underwriting Authority of Direct Endorsement mortgagees.

Termination of Direct Endorsement Approval: Approval of a DE mortgagee by HUD/FHA authorizes the mortgagee to underwrite single family mortgage loans and submit them to FHA for insurance endorsement. The Approval may be terminated on the basis of poor performance of FHA-insured mortgage loans underwritten by the mortgagee. The termination of a mortgagee's DE Approval is separate and apart from any action taken by HUD's Mortgagee Review Board under HUD's regulations at 24 CFR part 25.

Cause: HUD's regulations permit HUD to terminate the DE Approval with any mortgagee having a default and claim rate for loans endorsed within the preceding 24 months that exceeds 200 percent of the default and claim rate within the geographic area served by a HUD field office, and also exceeds the national default and claim rate. For the quarterly review period ending March 31, 2011, HUD is terminating the DE Approval of mortgagees whose default

and claim rate exceeds both the national rate and 200 percent of the field office rate.

Effect: Termination of the DE Approval precludes the mortgagee from underwriting FHA-insured single-family mortgages within the area of the HUD field office(s) listed in this notice. Mortgagees authorized to purchase, hold, or service FHA-insured mortgages may continue to do so.

Loans that closed or were approved before the Termination became effective may be submitted for insurance endorsement. Approved loans are those already underwritten and approved by a DE underwriter, and cases covered by a firm commitment issued by HUD. Cases at earlier stages of processing cannot be submitted for insurance by the terminated mortgagee; however, the cases may be transferred for completion of processing and underwriting to another mortgagee with DE Approval in that area. Mortgagees are obligated to continue to pay existing insurance premiums and meet all other obligations associated with insured mortgages.

A terminated mortgagee may apply for reinstatement of the DE Approval if the DE Approval for the affected area or areas has been terminated for at least six months and the mortgagee continues to be an approved mortgagee meeting the requirements of 24 CFR 202.5, 202.6, 202.7, 202.10 and 202.12. The mortgagee's application for

reinstatement must be in a format prescribed by the Secretary and signed by the mortgagee. In addition, the application must be accompanied by an independent analysis of the terminated office's operations as well as its mortgage production, specifically including the FHA-insured mortgages cited in its termination notice. This independent analysis shall identify the underlying cause for the mortgagee's high default and claim rate. The analysis must be prepared by an independent Certified Public Accountant (CPA) qualified to perform audits under Government Auditing Standards as provided by the Government Accountability Office. The mortgagee must also submit a written corrective action plan to address each of the issues identified in the CPA's report, along with evidence that the plan has been implemented. The application for a new Agreement should be in the form of a letter, accompanied by the CPA's report and corrective action plan. The request should be sent to the Director, Office of Lender Activities and Program Compliance, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Room B133-P3214, Washington, DC 20410-8000 or by courier to 490 L'Enfant Plaza, East, SW., Suite 3214, Washington, DC 20024-8000.

Action: The following mortgagees have had their DE Approvals terminated by HUD:

Mortgagee name	Mortgagee home office address	HUD office jurisdictions	Termination effective date	Homeownership centers
AmericaHomeKey, Inc	3838 Oak Lawn Ave., Ste 1050 Dallas, TX 75219.	Greensboro	7/18/11	Atlanta.
Sydion Financial LLC	5329 Park Rd., East Lake Tapps, WA 98391.	Seattle	7/18/11	Santa Ana.

Dated: August 9, 2011.

Carol J. Galante,

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Housing—
Federal Housing Commissioner.*

[FR Doc. 2011-21720 Filed 8-24-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R9-MB-2011-N148; 91300-1234-0000]

North American Waterfowl Management Plan

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of document availability for review and public comment.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service, announce the availability of the draft North American Waterfowl Management Plan Revision (draft Plan Revision) for public review. We request review and comment on the draft Plan Revision from local, State, and Federal agencies; nongovernment conservation organizations; and the public. The draft Plan Revision, which was developed in close consultation with the waterfowl management community, provides a framework for waterfowl management in the 21st century.

DATES: To ensure that we are able to consider your comments, please submit them on or before September 26, 2011.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to review the draft Plan Revision, you may obtain a copy on our Web site at <http://www.nawmprevision.org>.

You may submit comments on the draft Plan Revision through the <http://www.nawmprevision.org> Web site, via e-mail to info@nawmprevision.org, or by U.S. Mail to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service—Division of Bird Habitat Conservation, Attn: Draft NAWMP Revision, 4401 North Fairfax Drive MS4075, Arlington, VA 22203.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Johnson at the above address, at 703-358-1784, or at mike_johnson@fws.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The North American Waterfowl Management Plan (NAWMP or Plan), first signed in 1986, has remained a leading model for other international conservation plans. In large measure, this is because it is a living and evolving

document that is updated periodically with engagement of the broad waterfowl conservation community. This important work is under way again, with a target date of mid-2012 for completion.

The Plan Committee formed the NAWMP Revision Steering Committee (RSC) to serve as a focal point for gathering, vetting, and synthesizing ideas from the waterfowl management community and to advise the Plan Committee on the content of the Plan Revision.

At its August 2009 meeting, the Plan Committee agreed to engage stakeholders in generating initial fundamental goals for the Plan Revision using a facilitated process to ensure consistency in approach.

Plan Revision Development

To achieve broad consensus, the Plan Committee used an iterative, highly transparent, and well documented process that included waterfowl conservation stakeholders.

The process began with two rounds of workshops in Canada and the United States aimed at eliciting goals and objectives for waterfowl management and identifying broad-scale alternative strategies for achieving objectives.

During 6 Round One workshops, participants identified 3 fundamental objectives for waterfowl management from a list of 31 candidate objectives. During Round Two, participants clarified the meaning of the fundamental objectives identified in Round One.

A total of 266 people participated in 13 Round One and Round Two workshops in the United States and Canada. Several people submitted input via the <http://www.nawmprevision.org> website. The RSC synthesized Round One and Round Two workshop results and website feedback, and a writing team prepared the draft Plan Revision document.

Request for Public Comments

We invite written comments on the draft Plan Revision. We will consider all comments we receive by the date specified in **DATES**. Methods of submitting comments are in **ADDRESSES**.

Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying

information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Comments and materials we receive will be available, by appointment, for public inspection during normal business hours at our office (see **ADDRESSES**).

Authority: Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 661–667e)

Dated: July 26, 2011.

James J. Slack,

Acting Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–21719 Filed 8–24–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[AA–8103–05; LLAk965000–L1410000–KC0000–P]

Alaska Native Claims Selection

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of decision approving lands for conveyance.

SUMMARY: As required by 43 CFR 2650.7(d), notice is hereby given that the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will issue an appealable decision to Doyon, Limited The decision approves conveyance of the surface and subsurface estates in the lands described below pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*). The lands are in the vicinity of Anvik, Alaska, and are located in:

Seward Meridian, Alaska

T. 29 N., R. 59 W.,

Sec. 36.

Containing 597.36 acres.

Notice of the decision will also be published four times in the *Fairbanks Daily News-Miner*.

DATES: Any party claiming a property interest in the lands affected by the decision may appeal the decision within the following time limits:

1. Unknown parties, parties unable to be located after reasonable efforts have been expended to locate, parties who fail or refuse to sign their return receipt, and parties who receive a copy of the decision by regular mail which is not certified, return receipt requested, shall have until September 26, 2011 to file an appeal.

2. Parties receiving service of the decision by certified mail shall have 30 days from the date of receipt to file an appeal.

3. Notices of appeal transmitted by electronic means, such as facsimile or e-

mail, will not be accepted as timely filed.

Parties who do not file an appeal in accordance with the requirements of 43 CFR part 4, subpart E, shall be deemed to have waived their rights.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the decision may be obtained from: Bureau of Land Management, Alaska State Office, 222 West Seventh Avenue, #13, Anchorage, Alaska 99513–7504.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The BLM by phone at 907–271–5960 or by e-mail at ak.blm.conveyance@blm.gov. Persons who use a Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the BLM during normal business hours. In addition, the FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the BLM. The BLM will reply during normal business hours.

Linda L. Keskitalo,

Land Law Examiner, Land Transfer Adjudication II Branch.

[FR Doc. 2011–21764 Filed 8–24–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–JA–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[AA–10169, AA–10170; LLAk–965000–L1410000–HY0000–P]

Alaska Native Claims Selection

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of decision approving lands for conveyance.

SUMMARY: As required by 43 CFR 2650.7(d), notice is hereby given that the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will issue an appealable decision to Calista Corporation. The decision will approve the conveyance of the surface and subsurface estates in certain lands pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 *et seq.*). The lands are located south west of Mountain Village, Alaska, and contain 9.04 acres. Notice of the decision will also be published four times in the *Anchorage Daily News*.

DATES: Any party claiming a property interest in the lands affected by the decision may appeal the decision within the following time limits:

1. Unknown parties, parties unable to be located after reasonable efforts have been expended to locate, parties who fail or refuse to sign their return receipt, and parties who receive a copy of the decision by regular mail which is not