April 30, 2011. On May 27, and May 31, 2011, the Department also received timely administrative review requests from Huvis and Woongjin, respectively. On June 10, 2011, we informed Petitioners, Huvis, and Woongjin that their submissions did not conform to the Department’s revised 19 CFR 351.303(g) certification language as announced in Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011). Petitioners, Huvis, and Woongjin submitted the correct certification language in a timely manner.


Scope of the Order
Polyester staple fiber covered by the scope of the order is defined as synthetic staple fibers, not carded, combed or otherwise processed for spinning, of polyesters measuring 3.3 decitex (3 denier, inclusive) or more in diameter. This merchandise is cut to lengths varying from one inch (25 mm) to five inches (127 mm). The merchandise subject to the order may be coated, usually with a silicon, or other finish, or not coated. Polyester staple fiber is generally used as stuffing in sleeping bags, mattresses, ski jackets, comforters, cushions, pillows, and furniture. Merchandise of less than 3.3 decitex (less than 3 denier) currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) at subheading 5503.20.00.25 is specifically excluded from the order. Also, specifically excluded from the order are polyester staple fibers of 10 to 18 denier that are cut to lengths of 6 to 8 inches (fibers used in the manufacture of carpeting). In addition, low-melt polyester staple fiber is excluded from the order. Low-melt polyester staple fiber is defined as a bi-component fiber with an outer sheath that melts at a significantly lower temperature than its inner core.

The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable in the HTSUS at subheadings 5503.20.00.45 and 5503.20.00.65. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the merchandise covered by the scope of the order is dispositive.

Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review
Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party who requested the administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested administrative review. On June 28, and July 11, 2011, Petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review of Huvis and Woongjin, respectively. On July 7, and July 11, 2011, Woongjin and Huvis, respectively, withdrew their requests for an administrative review.

As Petitioners, Huvis, and Woongjin withdrew their requests for an administrative review within the 90-day period, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

Assessment Instructions
The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties at the cash deposit rate in effect at the time of entry or withdrawal from warehouse for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice of rescission of administrative review.

Notification to Importers
This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order
This notice serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).
Germany, Italy, and Japan. See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, and Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Administrative and Changed Circumstances Reviews

BACKGROUND

On April 21, 2011, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, and Italy. See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative and Changed-Circumstances Reviews, 76 FR 22372 (April 21, 2011) (Preliminary Results).1

We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. We received case and rebuttal briefs from various parties to the proceedings. No hearing was requested for the administrative reviews.

The Department has conducted these administrative reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

SCOPE OF THE ORDERS

The products covered by the orders are ball bearings and parts thereof. These products include all antifriction bearings that employ balls as the rolling element. Imports of these products are classified under the following categories: Antifriction balls, ball bearings with integral shafts, ball bearings (including radial ball bearings) and parts thereof, and housed or mounted ball bearing units and parts thereof.

Imports of these products are classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 3926.90.45, 4016.93.10, 4016.93.50, 6909.19.50.10, 8414.90.41.75, 8431.20.00, 8431.39.00.10, 8482.10.10, 8482.10.50, 8482.80.00, 8482.91.00, 8482.99.05, 8482.99.35, 8482.99.25.80, 8482.99.65.95, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.40, 8483.30.80, 8483.50.90, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, 8483.90.70, 8708.50.50, 8708.60.50, 8708.60.80, 8708.90.30, 8708.93.60.00, 8708.99.06, 8708.99.31.00, 8708.99.94.00, 8708.99.49.60, 8708.99.58, 8708.99.80.15, 8708.99.90.80, 8803.10.00, 8803.20.00, 8803.30.00, 8803.90.30, 8803.90.90, 8803.90.90, 8803.90.50.90, 8803.90.75.70, 8803.90.75.80, 8803.90.79.00, 8803.90.89.00, 8803.90.91.50, 8803.90.99.00, 8803.90.99.90, 8803.90.60.60, 8803.90.60.80, 8803.90.60.90, 8803.90.75.00, 8803.90.75.10, 8803.90.95.00, 8803.90.95.50, 8803.90.99.50, 8708.99.68, and 8708.99.81.80.

Although the HTSUS item numbers above are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written descriptions of the scope of the orders remain dispositive.

The size or precision grade of a bearing does not influence whether the bearing is covered by one of the orders. The orders cover all the subject bearings and parts thereof (inner race, outer race, cage, rollers, balls, seals, shields, etc.) outlined above with certain limitations. With regard to finished parts, all such parts are included in the scope of the orders. For unfinished parts, such parts are included if they have been heat-treated or if heat treatment is not required to be performed on the part. Thus, the only unfinished parts that are not covered by the orders are those that will be subject to heat treatment after importation. The ultimate application of a bearing also does not influence whether the bearing is covered by the orders. Bearings designed for highly specialized applications are not excluded. Any of the subject bearings, regardless of whether they may ultimately be utilized in aircraft, automobiles, or other equipment, are within the scope of the orders.

FOR A list of scope determinations which pertain to the orders, see the “Memorandum to Laurie Parkhill” regarding scope determinations for the 2009/2010 reviews, dated April 14, 2011, which is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU) of the main Commerce building, Room 7046, in the General Issues record (A–100–001).

ANALYSIS OF THE COMMENTS RECEIVED

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to these administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” (Decision Memorandum) from Gary Taverner, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Kim Glas, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Textiles and Apparel, dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded is in the Decision Memorandum and attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Decision Memorandum, which is a public document, is on file in the CRU of the main Commerce building, room 7046, and is accessible on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/fnn/index.html. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

FINAL RESULTS OF CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES REVIEW

In the Preliminary Results, we preliminarily determined that Schaeffler Technologies is the successor-in-interest to Schaeffler KG and invited interested parties to comment. We received no

1 The Department has revoked the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan and the United Kingdom and discontinued all administrative reviews of those orders. See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From Japan and the United Kingdom: Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders, 76 FR 47961 (July 15, 2011).