

Group, including workers off-site from various states in the United States reporting to Armonk, New York, Armonk, New York (TA-W-73,218), and all workers of International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), Web Strategy and Enablement Organization, including workers off-site from various states in the United States reporting to Armonk, New York, Armonk, New York (TA-W-73,218A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 6, 2009, through May 14, 2012, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on May 14, 2010 through May 14, 2012, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.”

Signed in Washington, DC this 25th day of July, 2011.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19579 Filed 8-2-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-71,450]

**Hewlett Packard Company, Imaging and Printing Group, World Wide Product Data Management Operations, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Manpower Professional, Now Known As Experis, Boise, IH; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 11, 2010, applicable to workers of Hewlett Packard Company, Imaging and Printing Group, World Wide Products Data Management Operations, Boise, Idaho and Fort Collins, Colorado. The workers developed, prototyped and tested hardware, firmware, and software. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 28, 2010 (75 FR 30067).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that workers leased from Manpower Professional, now known as Experis, were employed on-site at the Boise, Idaho location of Hewlett Packard Company, Imaging and Printing Group, World Wide Product Data Management Operations. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the

control of Hewlett Packard Company, Imaging and Printing Group, World Wide Product Data Management Operations to be considered leased workers.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from Manpower Professional, now known as Experis, working on-site at the Boise, Idaho location of Hewlett Packard Company, Imaging and Printing Group, World Wide Product Data Management Operations.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-71,450 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Hewlett Packard Company, Imaging and Printing Group, World Wide Product Data Management Operations, including on-site leased workers from Manpower Professional, now known as Experis, Boise, Idaho (TA-W-71,450), and Hewlett Packard Company, Imaging and Printing Group, World Wide Product Data Management Operations, Fort Collins, Colorado (TA-W-71,450A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after June 24, 2008, through May 11, 2012, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through May 11, 2012, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC this 22nd day of July, 2011.

**Michael W. Jaffe,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19578 Filed 8-2-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-75,090]

**Wausau Daily Herald, Advertising Production Division, a Subsidiary of Gannett Co., Inc., Wausau, WI; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration**

On March 18, 2011, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Wausau Daily Herald, Advertising Production Division, a Subsidiary of Gannett Co., Inc., Wausau, Wisconsin (subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 29, 2011 (76 FR 17446). Workers were

engaged in employment related to the supply of graphic design services for newspaper advertisements.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that, during the relevant period, the subject firm did not shift to acquire from a foreign country services like or directly competitive with the graphic design work supplied at the subject firm, or import these services from a foreign country. The Department collected information that revealed that worker group separations at the subject firm were attributable to a domestic shift of operations.

In the March 1, 2011 request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleged that “ads submitted to 2SdPro, India” demonstrate that “Gannett is outsourcing ads in order to reduce the workforce.” The petitioner also asserts that attachments to the petition support the allegation of a shift of services to India. Several of the attachments are printouts of articles from Gannettoid.com, which is a Web site that is not affiliated with Gannett Company, Inc.

The petition attachments consist of:

- A January 4, 2011 letter from the petitioner that states, in part, “in April of 2010” a portion of all online ads were sent to 2Adpro, that the “consolidation enables Gannett to eliminate all graphic artist positions at all Gannett daily newspapers (10 sites in Wisconsin) by outsourcing ads, and that the “ad centers in Des Moines, IA and Indianapolis, IN are centers in which all the ads flow through \* \* \* then \* \* \* sent to 2AdPro in India”;
- An August 5, 2010 separation notification letter;
- A document titled “Articles explaining Gannett action in reducing Ad Services Staff in all Gannett sites in the U.S.”;
- A November 23, 2009 “Gannettoid” article titled “Tentative rollout schedule set for GPCs”;
- An August 20, 2009 “Gannettoid” article titled “Ad Centers lead to cuts, big savings”;
- A September 2, 2009 “Gannettoid” article titled “Company confirms RABC reports”;
- An August 27, 2009 “Gannettoid” article titled “When will GCI confirm consolidation?”;
- An August 17, 2009 “Gannettoid” article titled “Ad production plans include layoffs”;
- A December 8, 2010 press release from Gannett;
- A June 6, 2008 article on <http://ashvegas.squarespace.com> titled “Citizen-Times outsourcing jobs to India?”;

- Screenshots of a “job order list” from “Zapro Jobdirect” with a handwritten note “ads sent to 2AdPro India while still working at Wausau Daily Herald. From Wausau to India” (referenced in the January 4, 2011 letter);

- A list of newspapers or Gannett affiliates, with contact information (referenced in the January 4, 2011 letter); and

- An undated “Gannettoid” article titled “Tracking layoffs” with a handwritten note “See page 10 for Wisconsin.”

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department carefully reviewed information previously submitted from Gannett Co., Inc. and the petitioning worker group as well as information provided in the request for reconsideration and additional information submitted by the State of Wisconsin Dislocated Worker Program case manager assigned to the petitioner.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department also collected new information from Gannett Co., Inc. regarding domestic and foreign operations in order to address the allegations and obtained clarification of previously-submitted information.

A careful review of previously-submitted information revealed that 2AdPro (an advertising firm located in India) handled an insignificant amount of overflow work for the subject firm and that the work that was transferred from the subject worker group in October 2010 was shifted to the Gannett Production Center and not to 2AdPro and that the plan to create the Gannett Production Center (GPC) dates back to October 2007.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that workers and former workers of Wausau Daily Herald, Advertising Production Division, a Subsidiary of Gannett Co., Inc., Wausau, Wisconsin (subject worker group) performed advertising production work on computers for print and on-line publications, that advertising publication at the subject firm was consolidated into a Gannett corporate operation located in Des Moines, Iowa and Indianapolis, India in October 2010, that the corporate operation is known as the Gannett Production Center (GPC), and that workers who chose not to transfer to the GPC were separated.

New information obtained during the reconsideration investigation revealed that the contract between Gannett Co., Inc. and 2AdPro existed from September 2006 through March 2011 and that 2AdPro handled an insignificant amount of advertising production work

outsourced from the subject facility prior to the consolidation of operations to the GPC.

Based on a careful review of information obtained during the initial and reconsideration investigations, the Department determines that neither a shift to a foreign country by the subject firm of services like or directly competitive with the graphic design work supplied by workers at the subject firm nor a foreign acquisition by the subject firm of such services, contributed importantly to subject worker group separations. Additionally, the subject firm did not increase imports of services like or directly competitive with those supplied by the subject worker group. Rather, worker separations at the subject firm in the period under investigation were attributable to a consolidation of domestic operations.

### Conclusion

After careful reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Wausau Daily Herald, Advertising Production Division, a Subsidiary of Gannett Co., Inc., Wausau, Wisconsin.

Signed in Washington, DC this 20th day of July, 2011.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2011-19582 Filed 8-2-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** NARA is giving public notice that the agency proposes to request extension of a currently approved information collection used by individuals applying for a research card which is needed to use original archival records in a National Archives and Records Administration facility. The public is invited to comment on the proposed information collection pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before October 3, 2011 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be sent to: Paperwork Reduction Act Comments (NHP), Room 4400, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Rd, College Park, MD 20740-6001; or faxed to 301-713-7409; or electronically mailed to [tamee.fechhelm@nara.gov](mailto:tamee.fechhelm@nara.gov).

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the proposed information collection and supporting statement should be directed to Tamee Fechhelm at telephone number 301-837-1694, or fax number 301-713-7409.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), NARA invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed information collections. The comments and suggestions should address one or more of the following points: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NARA; (b) the accuracy of NARA's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of information technology; and (e) whether small businesses are affected by this collection. The comments that are submitted will be summarized and included in the NARA request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. In this notice, NARA is soliciting comments concerning the following information collection:

*Title:* Researcher Application.

*OMB number:* 3095-0016.

*Agency form number:* NA Form 14003.

*Type of review:* Regular.

*Affected public:* Individuals or households, business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, Federal, State, Local or Tribal Government.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 18,487.

*Estimated time per response:* 8 minutes.

*Frequency of response:* On occasion.

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 2,465 hours.

*Abstract:* The information collection is prescribed by 36 CFR 1254.8. The collection is an application for a research card. Respondents are individuals who wish to use original archival records in a NARA facility. NARA uses the information to screen