review your case if it finds that the ALJ’s action, findings, or conclusion is contrary to the weight of the evidence currently of record. Id.

If the new and material evidence that relates to the period on or before the date of the hearing decision shows a critical or disabling condition, the Appeals Council will expedite its review of your pending claim.

When the additional evidence does not relate to the period on or before the date of your hearing decision, the Appeals Council will return the additional evidence to you. 20 CFR 404.976(b), 416.1476(b). The notice returning the additional evidence will explain why the Appeals Council did not accept the evidence and inform you that, under certain circumstances, we will consider the date you filed the request for Appeals Council review as the filing date for your new claim. If you originally filed for disability benefits under title II, and you file a new application for title II disability benefits within six months of the date of this notice, we will use the date of your request for Appeals Council review as the filing date. If both applications are for Supplemental Security Income payments based on disability benefits under title XVI, and you file the new application within 60 days from the date of the notice, we will use the date you requested Appeals Council review as the filing date for the new claim. We will permit the filing of a new disability claim after the Appeals Council completes its action on the request for review of the pending claim.

If the additional evidence that does not relate to the period on or before your hearing decision shows a new critical or disabling condition, and you tell us that you want to file a new claim based on this evidence, the Appeals Council may permit you to file a new disability claim before it completes its action on your request for review of the pending claim.

If You Choose To Decline Further Review of Your Pending Disability Claim and Instead File a New Claim Under the Same Title and of the Same Type

If, on the other hand, you decide to decline to pursue further administrative review on the pending disability claim and file a new application, we will assess your eligibility for any other benefits and take applications for these benefits. When you received an unfavorable or partially favorable decision from us on your pending claim, we explained the effect that not pursuing an appeal might have on your possible entitlement to benefits.

If Your Subsequent Claim Does Not Involve the Same Title or Type of Benefit

This Ruling does not change the procedure we currently follow when you file a subsequent claim under a different title or for a different benefit type than a pending claim. When a subsequent claim under a different title or for a different benefit type shares a common issue with the pending claim, we will usually consolidate it with the pending claim through the hearing level. When you file a subsequent claim that is under a different title or is for a different benefit type and your prior claim is pending review at the Appeals Council, we will process the subsequent claim in accordance with our current procedures.
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DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 7537]

International Joint Commission Public Hearings on Binational Management of Lake of the Woods and Rainy River Watershed

The International Joint Commission (IJC) will hold public hearings on the final report of its International Lake of the Woods and Rainy River Watershed Task Force (Task Force).

The Task Force report to the IJC makes recommendations on how the United States and Canada could work together to better manage water quality, water quantity, and related issues in the international Lake of the Woods and Rainy River watershed.

Task Force recommendations address five themes to improve bi-national governance for priority issues in the watershed. These include a summit convened by the IJC to encourage the development of a watershed vision, common goals and objectives; combining two existing IJC Boards into a single International Watershed Board with a water quality mandate; encompassing all boundary waters in the watershed (including Lake of the Woods); increased support for the existing International Multi-Agency Arrangement’s work on water quality science efforts in the watershed; increased local participation in watershed management governance; and a review of water-level regulation on Lake of the Woods.

In June 2010, the Governments of Canada and the United States asked the IJC to examine and make recommendations regarding the bi-national management of the Lake of the Woods and Rainy River system and the IJC’s potential role in this management. The IJC established the Task Force to review the ways that Canada and the United States work together to manage water quality, water quantity, and related issues in the watershed, to identify gaps in the current approach, to identify key existing or emerging issues that require attention, and to recommend any new or adjusted governance mechanisms that would help address the identified issues.

The submission of the report marks the completion of the Task Force’s work. The IJC is now inviting public comment on the report. Comments will be received at public meetings held August 15–20 at the following dates and times:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Meeting location</th>
<th>Central time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday, August 15</td>
<td>Fort Frances, ON</td>
<td>La Place Rendez Vous, 1201 Idlywild Dr ...................</td>
<td>7 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, August 16</td>
<td>International Falls, MN</td>
<td>Rainy River Community College Theatre, 1501 Highway 71.</td>
<td>6 p.m.—Board Meeting; 7:30 p.m.—IJC Hearing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, August 17</td>
<td>Cook, MN</td>
<td>Cook Ranger Station, 320 North Highway 53 55723 ......</td>
<td>7 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

you from submitting the evidence earlier. 20 CFR 405.373.
The International Joint Commission will also accept written comments on the Task Force report via its IJC Web site (http://www.ijc.org), e-mail or regular mail at the addresses below until August 31, 2011.

Secretary, U.S. Section, International Joint Commission, 2000 L Street, NW., Suite 615, Washington, DC 20440,
Fax: 202–432–2006, E-mail: commission@washington.ijc.org.

Secretary, Canadian Section, International Joint Commission, 234 Laurier Ave. West, 22nd Floor, Ottawa, ON K1P 6K6, Fax: 613–993–5583, E-mail: commission@ottawa.ijc.org.

The International Joint Commission prevents and resolves disputes between the United States of America and Canada under the 1909 Boundary Waters Treaty and pursues the common good of both countries as an independent and objective advisor to the two governments.

Dated: July 22, 2011.

Charles A. Lawson,
Secretary, U.S. Section, International Joint Commission, Department of State.
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Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment, Notice of Public Meetings, and Notice To Request Public Scoping Comments for the Air Tour Management Plan Program at Golden Gate National Recreation Area, San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park and Point Reyes National Seashore

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment, Notice of Public Meetings, and Notice to Request Public Scoping Comments.

The FAA, with the National Park Service (NPS) as a cooperating agency, has initiated development of Air Tour Management Plans (ATMPs) for Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) and Point Reyes National Seashore (the Seashore). The ATMP for GGNRA will include Muir Woods National Monument and Fort Point National Historic Site, both directly managed by GGNRA, and the San Francisco Maritime National Historical Park (SF Maritime NHP), an independently managed national park unit adjacent to GGNRA.

The ATMPs are being developed pursuant to the National Parks Air Tour Management Act (NPATMA) of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–181) and its implementing regulations (14 Code of Federal Regulation [CFR] Part 136, Subpart B, National Parks Air Tour Management), per section 40128(b)(1)(B) of NPATMA, the objective of an ATMP shall be to develop acceptable and effective measures to mitigate or prevent significant adverse impacts, if any, of commercial air tour operations upon the natural and cultural resources, visitor experiences and tribal lands within or abutting GGNRA and the Seashore. It should be noted that an ATMP has no authorization over other non-air-tour operations such as military and general aviation. In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and FAA Order 1050.1E, an Environmental Assessment (EA) is being prepared.

The FAA has granted Interim Operating Authority (IOA) to two commercial air tour operators to conduct air tours over GGNRA, SF Maritime NHP, and the Seashore. The FAA and NPS are now inviting the public, agencies, tribes, and other interested parties to provide comments, suggestions, and input on the scope of issues and range of alternatives to be addressed in the environmental process. The FAA and NPS are also hosting public scoping meetings to inform the public and other interested parties about the ATMP program and to provide the opportunity for comments.

DATES: By this notice, the FAA is requesting comments on the scope of the EA for the individual ATMPs at GGNRA and SF Maritime NHP, and the Seashore. Comments must be submitted by September 28, 2011.

Meetings: The meetings will be held at the following location, dates, and times: August 16, 2011: 4:30–6:30 p.m.
GGNRA Headquarters, Fort Mason Building 201, San Francisco, CA.
August 17, 2011: 4:30–6:30 p.m.
Bay Model Visitor Center, 2100 Bridgeway, Sausalito, CA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Keith Lusk, Mailing address: P.O. Box 92007, Los Angeles, California 90009–2007. Telephone: (310) 725–3808. Street address: 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261. Written comments on the scope of the EA should be submitted electronically via the electronic public comment form on the NPS Planning, Environment and Public Comment Web site at: http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BayArea_ATMP, provided in writing at one of the public meetings/open houses, or sent to the mailing address above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A public scoping packet that describes the project in greater detail is available at:
• http://parkplanning.nps.gov/BayArea_ATMP.
• http://www.faa.gov/about/office_org/headquarters_offices/arc/programs/air_tour_management_plan/.

Notice Regarding FOIA: Individuals may request that their name and/or address be withheld from public disclosure. If you wish to do this, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. Commentators using the Web site can make such a request by checking the box “keep my contact information private.” Such requests will be honored to the extent allowable by law, but you should be aware that pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, your name and address may be disclosed. We will make all submissions from organizations, businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses available for public inspection in their entirety.

Issued in Hawthorne, CA on July 21, 2011.

Larry Tonish,
Program Manager, Special Programs Staff, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 2011–19050 Filed 7–27–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P