Group, Western Service Center, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, WA 98057; telephone (425) 203–4537.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History
On May 19, 2011, the FAA published in the Federal Register a notice of proposed rulemaking to amend controlled airspace at Alturas, CA (76 FR 28915). Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments were received.

Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6005, of FAA Order 7400.9U dated August 18, 2010, and effective September 15, 2010, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR Part 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in that Order.

The Rule
This action amends Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) Part 71 by creating additional Class E surface airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface, at Alturas, CA, to accommodate IFR aircraft executing RNAV (GPS) standard instrument approach procedures at the airport. This action is necessary for the safety and management of IFR operations.

The FAA has determined this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the U.S. Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 discusses the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it establishes additional controlled airspace at Alturas Municipal Airport, Alturas, CA.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71
Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment
In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR Part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR Part 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9U, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 18, 2010, and effective September 15, 2010 is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005  Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

AWP CA E5 Alturas, CA [Modified]
Alturas Municipal Airport, CA
(Lat. 41°28′59″ N., long. 120°33′55″ W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface beginning at lat. 41°34′00″ N., long. 120°46′24″ W.; to lat. 41°36′20″ N., long. 120°30′19″ W.; to lat. 41°14′20″ N., long. 120°23′49″ W.; to lat. 41°11′35″ N., long. 120°39′34″ W., thence to the point of beginning. That airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface beginning at lat. 41°31′00″ N., long. 121°02′00″ W.; to lat. 41°41′00″ N., long. 120°41′04″ W.; to lat. 41°41′00″ N., long. 120°20′00″ W.; to lat. 41°14′00″ N., long. 120°15′00″ W., to lat. 41°02′00″ N., long. 120°39′30″ W.; to lat. 41°05′00″ N., long. 121°03′00″ W.; to lat. 41°22′00″ N., long. 121°15′00″ W., thence to the point of beginning.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on July 19, 2011.

John Warner,
Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2011–18949 Filed 7–27–11; 8:45 am]
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RIN No. 2105–AD92
Enhancing Airline Passenger Protections: Limited Delay of Effective Date for Certain Provisions

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary (OST), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final Rule, limited extension of effective date for certain provisions.

SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation is delaying the effective date for certain requirements adopted in an April 25, 2011 final rule on enhancing airline passenger protections. Specifically, the Department is delaying the effective date from August 23, 2011 to January 24, 2012, for requirements pertaining to baggage fees, post purchase price increases, flight status changes and holding a reservation without payment for twenty-four hours. The Department is also delaying the effective date from October 24, 2011 to January 24, 2012 for requirements pertaining to full fare advertising. The effective date remains August 23, 2011 for all the other requirements in the April 25, 2011 final rule, including the requirement not to permit an international flight to remain on the tarmac at a U.S. airport for more than four hours without allowing passengers to deplane, the requirement increasing the denied boarding compensation airlines must pay to passengers bumped from flights, and the requirement to disclose prominently all fees for optional aviation services on carriers’ Web sites.

DATES: This rule is effective on July 28, 2011. The effective date of the final rule published at 76 FR 23110, April 25, 2011, continues to be August 23, 2011, except for the amendments relating to 14 CFR 399.84, 399.85(b) and (c), 399.87, 399.88, 399.89, 259.8 and 259.5(b)(4) which become effective on January 24, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 25, 2011, the Department of Transportation published a final rule in the Federal Register (76 FR 23110), titled “Enhancing Airline Passenger Protections,” containing many new requirements to improve the air travel environment for consumers, expanding upon the improved passenger rights included in a rule published on December 30, 2009. More specifically, the April 25, 2011, rule (1) Increases the number of carriers that are required to adopt tarmac delay contingency plans and includes additional airports at which they must adhere to the plan’s terms; (2) increases the number of carriers that are required to report tarmac delay information to the Department; (3) expands the group of carriers that are required to adopt, follow, and audit customer service plans and establishes minimum standards for the subjects all carriers must cover in such plans; (4) adds carriers to those required to include their contingency plans and customer service plans on their Web sites; (5) increases the number of carriers that must respond to consumer complaints; (6) enhances protections afforded passengers in oversales situations, including increasing the denied boarding compensation airlines must pay to passengers bumped from flights; (7) strengthens, clarifies and codifies the Department’s enforcement policies concerning air transportation price advertising practices; (8) requires carriers to notify consumers of optional fees related to air transportation and of increases in baggage fees; (9) prohibits post-purchase price increases; (10) requires carriers to provide passengers timely notice of flight status changes such as delays and cancellations; and (11) prohibits carriers from imposing unfair contract of carriage choice-of-forum provisions. As published, the effective date of the rule is August 23, 2011, except for the full fare advertising amendments which become effective on October 24, 2011.

We received requests from U.S. carrier associations, foreign carrier associations and a travel agent association to delay the effective date of certain provisions in this rule. The Air Transport Association of America (ATA), the Regional Airline Association (RAA) and the Air Carrier Association of America (ACAA) requested that the Department of Transportation delay by 180 days the compliance time for the full fare advertising amendments in 14 CFR 399.85(b). The denial boarding compensation amendments in 14 CFR part 250, the requirement to disclose baggage fees in e-ticket confirmations in 14 CFR 399.85(c), and the requirement in 14 CFR 399.87 for the same baggage allowances and fees to apply to a passenger throughout an itinerary. These U.S. carrier associations state that they have limited their request to the four provisions that require deployment of additional IT resources, development of new protocols and the training of many employees. The National Air Carrier Association (NACA) joined the request to delay the effective date and stated that it also believes compliance cannot be achieved within the time contemplated by the regulation without undue cost to the airlines and confusion to the traveling public.

According to the U.S. carrier associations, it will take more than the time allotted by the final rule to comply with the amendments to the denied boarding compensation rule because of the need to make additional systems and programming changes and the need to ensure the appropriate offices and employees are aware of and trained on the changes to this rule. The carrier associations also ask for additional time to comply with the requirement to disclose baggage fees in e-ticket confirmations if detailed baggage fee information individualized to a particular passenger is required and if the notice of applicable baggage information must be in text form and a hyperlink is not allowed. In addition, the U.S. carrier associations assert that it is not possible to comply with the requirement to apply the same baggage allowances and fees to a passenger throughout an itinerary without an additional 180 days as no central repository for carrier baggage policies and fees currently exists. They note that carriers are working to develop an industry solution to comply with this requirement but more time is needed.

The U.S. carrier associations are particularly concerned about the full fare advertising requirements, which they contend they cannot meet by the published effective date of October 24, 2011. They state that this aspect of the final rule requires the greatest IT investment and that carriers are preparing to reprogram and reconfigure their online search engines to incorporate the new advertising requirements but that carriers would need at least an additional 180 days to create, modify and test these changes. The associations ask that the Department delay the effective date for not only online advertising but also print advertising so that consumers receive consistent advertising of fares through all advertising channels.

The foreign air carrier associations have indicated their strong support of the request by the U.S. carrier associations and have asked that the 180-day extension be expanded to cover all the requirements being imposed for the first time on non-U.S. airlines. These associations are the International Air Transport Association (IAT), Association of Asia Pacific Airlines (AAPA), Association of European Airlines (AEA), and Latin and Caribbean Air Transport Association (ALTA). They have stated that they believe investments and changes are needed to meet the new DOT requirements. They also state that the ability of the non-U.S. airlines to meet these requirements in a timely fashion is impacted by constraints on existing operations, staffing, IT support, local laws and regulations, labor practices and resources.

In addition to the airlines, the American Society of Travel Agents (ASTA) has requested an extension of the effective date of the final rule. ASTA requests that the Department delay the effective date of the requirement in section 399.85(b) to disclose baggage fee information on Web sites when a fare quotation for a specific itinerary is selected by a consumer and the requirement in section 399.85(c) to disclose baggage fee information on all e-ticket confirmations. ASTA’s request differs from the requests of the U.S. and foreign air carrier associations in that ASTA is not requesting a specific amount of additional time to implement the requirements. Rather, ASTA is asking that the Department defer the effective date of these two requirements until the Department concludes its upcoming rulemaking on disclosure of fees for ancillary services. ASTA, like the U.S. carriers, notes its uncertainty as to whether the requirement to provide specific information to passengers about baggage allowances and baggage fees means providing individualized information about those matters. ASTA also asserts that the two methods the rule describes for agents to provide baggage information to consumers are not feasible. It calls the first method (providing a link to an airline Web site) “an act of commercial suicide” and believes the second method (referring consumers to its own site if it displays airlines’ baggage fees) impractical because of the labor cost to achieve it initially and to monitor airline Web sites constantly for updates.

In addition to the requests to delay the effective date of the rule, we also received a request of the propellant Air and Spirit Airlines as well as Southwest Airlines to postpone or stay the effective
request for an extension of the effective date of all the consumer protection requirements in the rule. This individual identified the provisions pertaining to denied boarding compensation and baggage fees as ones that should not be delayed based on his belief that airlines can easily comply with these provisions and their importance to consumers.

After carefully considering all the requests and comments provided, the Department has decided to delay the effective date of the requirements pertaining to full fare advertising (section 399.84) by an additional three months to January 24, 2012, and delay the effective date of certain specific requirements pertaining to baggage fees (sections 399.85(b) and (c) and 399.87), post-purchase price increases (sections 399.88 and 399.89), flight status notifications (section 259.8) and holding a reservation without payment (section 259.5(b)(4)) to the same date. We are denying the request of U.S. carrier associations to delay the effective date of denied boarding amendments and the request of the foreign carrier associations to delay the effective date of the entire rule.

The Department took a number of factors into consideration in deciding to delay certain provisions of the rule until January 24, 2011, including the fact that there are limited objections to the requests for an extension of time. We are persuaded that additional time is needed to comply with the full fare advertising amendments as they relate to online advertising as they may require the deployment of IT resources, and to allow maximum flexibility to make alterations to Web sites with minimal disruption. We also believe that we should apply the same effective date to print advertising so that consumers do not see different advertising displays in different media which could result in consumer confusion.

With regard to baggage fees, there appears to be some confusion regarding what the Department meant by the requirement in section 399.85(b) that “specific baggage fee information” must be disclosed on Web sites when a fare quotation for a specific itinerary is selected by a consumer and by the requirement in section 399.85(c) that carriers must provide information on all e-ticket confirmations regarding the free baggage allowance and fee for a carry-on bag and the first and second checked bag. Of course, carriers are free and $50 for those passengers who pay at the airport. Of course, carriers are free to provide individualized baggage fee information to passengers but this is not required by the rule.

Although individualized baggage fee information is not required by the final rule, the Department still sees merit in delaying the effective date of the requirements in §399.85(b) and (c) as they are not permitted under the rule.

The Department still sees merit in delaying the effective date of the newly adopted baggage fee requirements in §399.85(b) and (c) as they are not permitted under the rule.
additional time to overcome any technical difficulties in implementing the rules.

In delaying the effective date for these requirements, the Department is balancing the benefit of having these protections in place for consumers as soon as practical with the capability of airlines to comply with the additional requirements being imposed upon them in a reasonable timeframe. We believe the January 24, 2012, date will provide the airlines adequate time to comply with the requirements.

Regulatory Analyses and Notices
A. Administrative Procedure Act

Section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") generally requires an agency to publish notice of a proposed rule making in the Federal Register. This requirement does not apply, however, if the agency "for good cause finds that notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." Because August 23, 2011 (the effective date for the April 2011 final rule) is fast approaching, the Department finds good cause that this action delaying the effective date should take effect immediately. Today’s final rule makes no substantive changes to the rule, but simply delays the effective date of certain provisions until January 24, 2012.

B. Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review) and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures

This rulemaking action is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866 and the Department of Transportation’s Regulatory Policies and Procedures. Accordingly, this action has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to section 605 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 605(b), as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement and Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), DOT certifies that this action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action imposes no duties or obligations on small entities.

D. Executive Order 13132 (Federalism)

This action will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, and therefore will not have federalism implications.

E. Executive Order 1304

This notice has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 1304 ("Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments"). Because the provisions for which we are delaying the effective date would not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of the Indian tribal governments or impose substantial direct compliance costs on them, the funding and consultation requirements of Executive Order 1304 do not apply.

F. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) requires that DOT consider the impact of paperwork and other information collection burdens imposed on the public and, under the provisions of PRA section 3507(d), obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information it conducts, sponsors, or requires through regulations. DOT has determined that there are no new information collection requirements associated with this action. The action merely postpones the effective date of a regulatory provision whose paperwork impact has already been analyzed by the Department, and consequently no additional OMB approval is necessary.

G. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Department has determined that the requirements of Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 do not apply to this rulemaking.

Issued this 20th day of July 2011, in Washington, DC.

Susan Kurland, Assistant Secretary for Aviation and International Affairs.
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20 CFR Parts 404 and 416

[Docket No. SSA–2010–0025]  
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Revisions to Direct Fee Payment Rules

AGENCY: Social Security Administration.

ACTION: Interim final rules with request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are revising our rules to implement amendments to the Social Security Act (Act) made by the Social Security Disability Applicants’ Access to Professional Representation Act of 2010 (PRA). We are making permanent the direct fee payment rules for eligible non-attorney representatives under titles II and XVI of the Act and for attorney representatives under title XVI of the Act. We also are revising some of our eligibility policies for non-attorney representatives under titles II and XVI of the Act.

DATES: These rules are effective August 29, 2011.

Comment Date: To ensure we consider your comments, we must receive them by September 26, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any one of three methods—Internet, fax, or mail. Do not submit the same comments multiple times or by more than one method. Regardless of which method you choose, please state that your comments refer to Docket No. SSA–2010–0025 so that we may associate your comments with the correct regulation.

Caution: You should be careful to include in your comments only information that you wish to make publicly available. We strongly urge you not to include in your comments any personal information, such as Social Security numbers or medical information.

1. Internet: We strongly recommend that you submit your comments via the Internet. Please visit the Federal eRulemaking portal at http://www.regulations.gov. The Search function to find docket number SSA–2010–0025. The system will issue a tracking number to confirm your submission. You will not be able to view your comment immediately because we must post each comment manually. It may take up to a week for your comment to be viewable.

2. Fax: Fax comments to (410) 965–6716. For information on professional representation act of 2010, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235–6401.

Comments are available for public viewing on the Federal eRulemaking portal at http://www.regulations.gov or in person, during regular business hours, by arranging with the contact person identified below.


For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1–800–772–