with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to
govern business proprietary
information in this segment of the
proceeding. Timely written notification
of the return/destruction of APO
materials or conversion to judicial
protective order is hereby requested.
Failure to comply with the regulations
and terms of an APO is a violation
which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this
determination in accordance with
section 777(i) of the Act, 19 CFR
351.214(h) and 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 14, 2011.
Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping
and Countervailing Duty Operations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT :

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping
and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011–18293 Filed 7–19–11; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration
[A–570–928]

Uncovered Innerspring Units From the
People’s Republic of China: Extension of
Preliminary Results of Antidumping
Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the “Department”) is extending the
time limit for the preliminary results of the new shipper review of uncovered
innerspring units (“innersprings”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”).
The period of review for this review is February 1, 2010, through August 4,
2010.

DATES: Effective Date: July 20, 2011.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9,
Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department
of Commerce, 14th Street and
Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0413.

Background

On October 7, 2010 the Department published a notice of initiation in the
Federal Register of the new shipper review in the antidumping duty order
on innersprings from the PRC for Foshan Nanhai Jujiang Quan Li Spring
Hardware Factory (“Quan Li”) and Foshan Yongnuo Import & Export Co.,
Ltd. (“Yongnuo”).1 On March 28, 2011, the Department extended the deadline
for the preliminary results of this review to June 1, 2011.2 On June 13, 2011, the
Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review
to July 15, 2011.3

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff
Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”),
and section 351.214(i)(1) of the
Department’s regulations require the
Department to issue the preliminary
results of a new shipper review within
180 days after the date on which the
new shipper review was initiated and
final results within 90 days after the
date on which the preliminary results
are issued. However, the Department
may extend the deadline for completion
of the preliminary results of a new
shipper review to 300 days if it

determines that the case is
extraordinarily complicated.4

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary
Results of Review

The Department has determined that the
review is extraordinarily complicated as the Department must
analyze the responses to supplemental questionnaires concerning Quan Li’s
and Yongnuo’s sales practices and factors of production. Moreover, the
Department needs additional time to analyze the bona fide nature of Quan
Li’s and Yongnuo’s sales, which includes gathering data from U.S.
Customs and Border Protection. Based on the timing of the case and the
additional information that must be gathered, the preliminary results of this
new shipper review cannot be completed within the current time
limits.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of
the preliminary results of this new shipper review by an additional 11 days
from the July 15, 2011, deadline. As a result, the preliminary results will now
be due no later than July 26, 2011. The final results continue to be due 90 days
after the issuance of the preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing this
notice in accordance with sections
751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

1 See Uncovered Innerspring Units from the
People’s Republic of China: Initiation of
Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review, 75 FR
82107 (October 7, 2010).

2 See Uncovered Innerspring Units from the
People’s Republic of China: Extension of
Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New

3 See Uncovered Innerspring Units from the
People’s Republic of China: Extension of
Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New
Shipper Review, 76 FR 34207 (June 13, 2011).

4 See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act; see also
section 351.214(i)(1) of the Department’s
regulations.

Dated: July 7, 2011.
Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping
and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of
Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the
Educational, Scientific and Cultural
L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–
36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we
invite comments on the question of
whether instruments of equivalent
scientific value, for the purposes for
which the instruments shown below are
intended to be used, are being
manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR
301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and
be postmarked on or before August 9,
2011. Address written comments to
Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room
3720, U.S. Department of Commerce,
Washington, DC 20230. Applications
may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and
5 p.m. at the U.S. Department of
Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 11–039. Applicant:
Virginia Polytechnic Institute,
Department of Engineering Science and
Mechanics, 225 Norris Hall MC 0219,
Blacksburg, VA 24061. Instrument:
Nanotest platform. Manufacturer:
Micro Materials Ltd., UK. Intended Use:
The instrument will be used to study the
mechanical behavior of metals (steel,
aluminum, brass, copper), ceramics,
and polymers (polyethylene, epoxies) under
different loadings such as compression,
fatigue, creep, impact, scratch and
friction. Justification for Duty-Free
Entry: No instruments of the same
general category being manufactured in
the United States support the technical
requirements for high temperature
nanoindentations, nanoimpact,
nanocreep, and wet stage
nanoindentation. Application accepted
by Commissioner of Customs: June 28,
2011.

Docket Number: 11–040. Applicant:
University of Colorado at Boulder, Attn:
Stuart Littlefield, Procurement Service
Center, 1800 Grant Street, Suite 500,
Denver, CO 80202. Instrument:
Low-temperature atomic force microscope.
Manufacturer: attocube systems AG.
Intended Use: The instrument will be
used to study nano-scale domain
formation associated with phase
transitions, transition temperatures, and domain size and order, of transition metal oxides, especially magnetoelectric multiferroics, manganites, vanadates, and superconductors. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: No instruments of the same general category, or comparable instruments that could otherwise be used for the intended purpose, are being manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 28, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–041. Applicant: Washington University, 660 South Euclid Avenue, Saint Louis, MO 63110–1093. Instrument: Transmission electron microscope. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to provide dependable ultrastructural analysis to NIH-sponsored investigators, extending their capacity to ask disease-related and basic science questions with a more sophisticated approach to analyzing the relationship of subcellular elements. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: No instruments of the same general category, or comparable instruments that could otherwise be used for the intended purpose, are being manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 30, 2011.

Dated: July 12, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell, Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Office of Policy, Import Administration. [FR Doc. 2011–18298 Filed 7–19–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Wichita State University, et al.; Notice of Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. Reasons: We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument described below, for the intended purposes, that were being manufactured in the United States at the time of their order.


Dated: July 12, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell, Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Office of Policy, Import Administration. [FR Doc. 2011–18296 Filed 7–19–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration


This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. Reasons: We know of no instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument described above, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order.

Dated: July 12, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell, Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Office of Policy, Import Administration. [FR Doc. 2011–18295 Filed 7–19–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; NIST MEP Client Impact Survey

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before September 19, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Christopher Carbone, (301) 975–2952, ccarbone@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

Sponsored by the National Institute of Standards and technology (NIST), the Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) is a national network of locally-based manufacturing extension centers working with small manufacturers to assist them to improve their productivity, profitability, and enhance their economic competitiveness. The information collected will provide the MEP with information regarding MEP Center performance in the delivery of technology, and business solutions to U.S.-based manufacturers. The collected information will assist in determining the performance of the MEP Centers at both local and national levels, provide information critical to monitoring and reporting on MEP programmatic performance, and assist management in policy decisions. Responses to the collection of information are mandatory per the regulations governing the operation of the MEP Program (15 CFR Parts 290, 291, 292, and H.R. 1274—section 2). The information collected will include MEP customer inputs regarding their sales, costs, investments,