

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2011).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 13, 2011, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain coenzyme Q10 products and methods of making same that infringe one or more of claims 1–45 of the '340 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Kaneka Corporation, 3–2–4 Nakanoshima, Kita-ku, Osaka 530–8288, Japan.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Zhejiang Medicine Co., Ltd., No. 268 Dengyun Road, Gongshu District, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310011, China. ZMC–USA, L.L.C., 1776 Woodstead Court Suite 215, The Woodlands, TX 77380.

Xiamen Kingdomway Group Company, No. 33–35 Xinchang Road, Haicang, Xiamen 361022, China.

Pacific Rainbow International Inc., 19905 Harrison Avenue, City of Industry, CA 91789.

Mitsubishi Gas Chemical Company, Inc., Mitsubishi Building, 5–2, Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100–8324, Japan.

Maypro Industries, Inc., 2975 Westchester Avenue, Purchase, NY 10577.

Shenzhou Biology & Technology Co., Ltd., No. 61 Zhichun Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100190, China.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 14, 2011.

**James R. Holbein,**  
*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2011–18070 Filed 7–18–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–663 (Third Review)]

### Paper Clips From China

#### Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted this review on January 3, 2011 (76 F.R. 171

<sup>1</sup>The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

and determined on April 8, 2011, that it would conduct an expedited review.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on July 12, 2011. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4242 (July 2011), entitled *Paper Clips from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–663 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 14, 2011.

**James R. Holbein,**  
*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2011–18087 Filed 7–18–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–788]

### In the Matter of Certain Universal Serial Bus (“USB”) Portable Storage Devices, Including USB Flash Drives and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 14, 2011, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Trek 2000 International Ltd., of Singapore; Trek Technology (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore; and S–Com System (S) Pte. Ltd. of Singapore. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain universal serial bus (“USB”) portable storage devices, including USB flash drives and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,880,054 (“the ‘054 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,039,759 (“the ‘759 patent”); U.S. Patent No. D463,426 (“the ‘426 patent”) and U.S. Patent No. 7,549,161 (“the ‘161 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained