The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.
TA–W–80,054; W.M. Glenn Construction, Durham, NC.

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.
None.

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.
None.

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(B)(2) has not been met. The workers’ firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier to or a downstream producer for a firm whose workers were certified eligible to apply for TAA.
None.

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the Federal Register and on the Department’s Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2217), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning group of workers are covered by Active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petition group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

TA–W–75,255; Cooper Standard Automotive, Bowling Green, OH.
TA–W–80,106; Delphi Corporation, El Paso, TX.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of June 13, 2011 through June 24, 2011. Copies of these determinations may be requested under the Freedom of Information Act. Requests may be submitted by fax, courier services, or mail to FOIA Disclosure Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance (FTA), U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 or tofiaarequest@dol.gov. These determinations also are available on the Department’s Web site at http://www.doleta.gov/tradeact under the searchable listing of determinations.

Dated: June 29, 2011.

Michael W. Jaffe,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

Michael W. Jaffe,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011–17090 Filed 7–7–11; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
TA–W–72,953

Matthews International Corporation, Bronze Division, Kingwood, WV; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On January 28, 2011, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for workers and former workers of Matthews International Corporation, Bronze
Division, Kingwood, West Virginia (subject firm). The Department’s Notice of determination was published in the Federal Register on February 10, 2011 (76 FR 7584). Workers were engaged in the production of cast bronze memorial products.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that, during the relevant period, the subject firm did not import articles like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm, or shift to/acquire from a foreign country the production of these articles. The Department’s survey of the subject firm’s major declining customers regarding their purchases of cast bronze memorial products (and like or directly competitive articles) in 2007, 2008, 2009, and during January through February 2010 revealed no imports.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleged that, during the relevant time period, the subject firm had transferred equipment from the subject facility to Mexico and that the subject worker group was impacted by customer imports.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department requested the subject firm to submit a new Confidential Data Request form, collected new information to address the allegations, and obtained clarification of previously-submitted information. The Department also obtained additional U.S. aggregate import data of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject worker group.

Based on a careful review of information obtained during the initial and reconsideration investigations, the Department determines that imports of articles like or directly competitive with the cast bronze memorial products manufactured at the subject firm did not contribute importantly to worker group separations and to subject firm sales/production declines. Therefore, the criteria set forth in Section 222(a) have not been met.

Conclusion

After careful reconsideration, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Matthews International Corporation, Bronze Division, Kingwood, West Virginia.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of June, 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011–17091 Filed 7–7–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
[TA–W–75,162]
Pisgah Yarn and Dyeing Company Including On-Site Leased Workers From Manpower, Inc., Old Fort, NC; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On May 16, 2011, the Department issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Pisgah Yarn & Dyeing Company, Old Fort, North Carolina (subject firm) to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance. The Department’s Notice was published in the Federal Register on May 25, 2011 (76 FR 30392). Workers are engaged in employment related to the production of cotton yarn. The worker group includes on-site leased workers from Manpower, Inc.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department received new information that revealed that there has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers’ firm of production of like or directly competitive articles.

Criterion I has been met because a significant number or proportion of workers at the subject firm have become totally or partially separated or are threatened with such separation.

Criterion II has been met because there has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers’ firm of production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm.

Criterion III has been met because the acquisition of cotton yarn contributed importantly to the workers’ separation or threat of separation at the subject firm.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I determine that workers and former workers of the subject firm, who are engaged in employment related to the production of cotton yarn, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

“All workers of Pisgah Yarn & Dyeing Company, including on-site leased workers from Manpower, Inc., Old Fort, North Carolina, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 28, 2010, through two years from the date of this revised certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.”

Signed in Washington, DC, this 28th day of June, 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011–17092 Filed 7–7–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–244; Docket No. 72–67]

R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC, R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, R.E. Ginna Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation; Notice of Consideration of Approval of Application Regarding Proposed Corporate Merger, and Opportunity for a Hearing

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of request for license transfer, opportunity to comment, opportunity to request a hearing.

DATES: Comments must be filed by August 8, 2011. A request for a hearing must be filed by July 28, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Please include Docket ID NRC–2009–0192 in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC Web site and on the Federal rulemaking Web site http://www.regulations.gov. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed.

The NRC requests that any party soliciting or aggregating comments received from other persons for submission to the NRC inform those persons that the NRC will not edit their comments to remove any identifying or contact information, and therefore, they should not include any information in