amount certificate company. Applicants also state that to the best of their knowledge, none of the current directors, officers, or employees of the Applicants that are involved in providing services as investment adviser or sub-adviser of the Funds (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) or principal underwriter for any registered open-end company (or any other persons in such roles during the time period covered by the Compliant) participated in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provide a basis for the Injunction. Applicants further represent that the personnel at J.P. Morgan Securities who participated in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provided a basis for the Injunction have had no, and will not have any, involvement in providing advisory, depositary (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) to the Funds or principal underwriting services to any registered open-end company, registered unit investment trust, or registered face-amount certificate company on the behalf of the Applicants or other Covered Persons. Applicants also represent that because the personnel of the Applicants (other than those at J.P. Morgan Securities) did not participate in the conduct alleged in the Complaint to have constituted the violations that provide a basis for the Injunction, the shareholders of those Funds were not affected any differently than if those Funds had received services from any other non-affiliated investment adviser or principal underwriter. Applicants state that the alleged conduct did not involve any Fund or the assets of any Fund.

5. Applicants state that their inability to continue to provide investment advisory and subadvisory services to the Funds (including as general partner providing investment advisory services to ESCs) and principal underwriting services to any registered open-end company would result in potential hardship for the Funds and their shareholders. Applicants state that they will, as soon as reasonably practical, distribute written materials, including an offer to meet in person to discuss the materials, to the boards of directors of the Funds (“Boards”) (excluding, for this purpose, the ESCs) for which the Applicants act as investment adviser, investment sub-adviser or principal underwriter, including the directors who are not “covered persons,” as defined in section 2(a)(19) of the Act, of such Funds, and their independent legal counsel, if any, describing the circumstances that led to the Injunction and any impact on the Funds, and the application. Applicants state they will provide the Boards with the information concerning the Injunction and the application that is necessary for the Funds to fulfill their disclosure and other obligations under the Federal securities laws.

6. Applicants also state that, if they were barred from providing services to the Funds, the effect on their businesses and employees would be severe. Applicants state that they have committed substantial resources to establishing expertise in providing advisory and distribution services to Funds. Applicants further state that prohibiting them from providing such services would not only adversely affect their businesses, but would also adversely affect about 940 employees who are involved in those activities. Applicants also state that disqualifying certain Applicants from continuing to provide investment advisory services to the ESCs is not in the public interest or in the furtherance of the protection of investors. Because the ESCs have been formed for certain key employees, officers and directors of JPMC and its affiliates, it would not be consistent with the purposes of the ESC provisions of the Act or the terms and conditions of the ESC orders to require another entity not affiliated with JPMC to manage the ESCs. In addition, participating employees of JPM and its affiliates likely subscribed for interests in the ESCs with the expectation that the ESCs would be managed by an affiliate of JPMC.

7. Certain of the Applicants previously have applied for and received exemptions under section 9(c) as the result of conduct that triggered section 9(a) of the Act, as described in greater detail in the application. Applicants’ Condition:

Applicants agree that any order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition:

Any temporary exemption granted pursuant to the application shall be without prejudice to, and shall not limit the Commission’s rights in any manner with respect to, any Commission investigation of, or administrative proceedings involving or against, Covered Persons, including, without limitation, the consideration by the Commission of a permanent exemption from the provisions of section 9(a), solely with respect to the Injunction, subject to the condition in the application, from June 29, 2011, until the Commission takes final action on their application for a permanent order.

By the Commission.
Elizabeth M. Murphy,
Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94–409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold a Closed Meeting on Thursday, July 7, 2011 at 2 p.m. Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary to the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the Closed Meeting. Certain staff members who have an interest in the matters also may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(c)(3)(i), (5), (7), (9)(B) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(3), (5), (7), (9)(ii) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at the Closed Meeting.

Commissioner Casey, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the Closed Meeting in a closed session.

The subject matter of the Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, July 7, 2011 will be:

- Institution and settlement of injunctive actions;
- Institution and settlement of administrative proceedings;
- An adjudicatory matter; and
- Other matters relating to enforcement proceedings.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items.

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact:
The Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

Dated: June 30, 2011.

Elizabeth M. Murphy,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–16951 Filed 7–1–11; 11:15 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc. To Amend the BOX Fee Schedule

June 29, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on June 28, 2011, NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc. (the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Exchange filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,3 and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder,4 which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Fee Schedule of the Boston Options Exchange Group, LLC (“BOX”).5 While changes to the BOX Fee Schedule pursuant to this proposal will be effective upon filing, the changes will become operative on July 1, 2011. The text of the proposed rule change is available from the principal office of the Exchange, at the Commission’s Public Reference Room, on the Exchange’s Internet Web site at http://nasdaqomxbx.chwallstreet.com/NASDAQOMXBX/ Filings and on the Commission’s Web site at http://www.sec.gov.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Section 8 of the BOX Fee Schedule currently imposes a fee of $0.50 per contract for all Eligible Orders sent to Away Exchanges in excess of 10,000 contracts per month for each BOX Options Participant.6 Additionally, BOX currently exempts outbound Eligible Orders sent to Away Exchanges, up to a maximum of 10,000 contracts per month, from the fees and credits of Section 7 of the BOX Fee Schedule, as these transactions are deemed to neither ‘add’ nor ‘take’ liquidity from the BOX Book. The Exchange proposes an amendment to Section 8 of the BOX Fee Schedule to eliminate the $0.50 per contract fee on Eligible Orders sent to Away Exchanges. Additionally, the Exchange proposes a corresponding change to Section 7 so that all Eligible Orders sent to Away Exchanges are exempt from Section 7 of the BOX Fee Schedule. Therefore, Eligible Orders sent to Away Exchanges will be subject only to the applicable transaction fees listed in Sections 1 through 3 of the BOX Fee Schedule.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act,7 in general, and Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,8 in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among BOX Participants and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes that it is equitable to permit BOX Participants to have orders routed to away exchanges without being assessed a fee. The Exchange believes that BOX Options Participants may send additional order flow to BOX, to the benefit of all market participants, if there is no fee assessed when Participant orders may be sent to an Away Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed change is an equitable allocation of fees because the order routing fee structure applies to all BOX Participants.

Further, the Exchange believes the proposed change and the resulting order routing fee structure are fair and reasonable and must be competitive with similar fees in place on other exchanges. BOX operates within a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to any of eight other competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. The change to allow BOX Participants to have more orders routed away at no cost is intended to attract order flow to BOX and provide BOX Participants additional flexibility in their execution decisions. The Exchange believes all market participants can benefit from greater liquidity on BOX and that it is appropriate to provide a fee structure intended to attract additional order flow. In particular, the proposed change will allow BOX to remain competitive with other exchanges, and allow BOX to maintain a fee structure which is equitable among all BOX Participants. The Exchange believes that this competitive marketplace impacts the fees present on BOX today and influences this proposal.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act9 and Rule 19b–

5 The BOX Fee Schedule can be found on the BOX Web site at http://bostonoptions.com/pdf/BOX_Fee_Schedule.pdf.