

methods and training to protect the trailing cable(s) against damage caused by overheating cable(s) due to excessive cable stored on the cable reel(s) and adjusting stored cable behind the cable anchor(s) as tramming distances change; and (d) proper procedures for examining the trailing cable(s) to ensure that the cable(s) are in safe operating condition by a visual inspection of the entirety of the cable(s), observing the insulation, the integrity of the splices, and observing for nicks and abrasions. (23) Within 60 days after this proposed decision and order becomes final, proposed revisions for the approved Part 48 training plan will be submitted to the District Manager. The petitioner asserts that the proposed alternative method will at all times provide no less than the same measure of protection afforded by the existing standard.

*Docket Number:* M-2011-015-C.

*Petitioner:* TK Mining Services, LLC, 12250 Hwy 12, Weston, Colorado 81091.

*Mine:* New Elk Mine, MSHA Mine I.D No. 05-00296, located in Las Animas County, Colorado.

*Regulation Affected:* 30 CFR 75.503 (Permissible electric face equipment; maintenance)

*Modification Request:* The petitioner requests a modification of the existing standard to permit the use of non-permissible survey, diagnostic, photographic and programming equipment throughout the entire mine. The petitioner proposes to use the non-permissible equipment to help with development, exploration of entries, and maintenance of mining equipment. The petitioner states that: (1) The equipment is very vital in keeping the entries going in the proper direction and maintaining equipment for the safety of the miners; (2) the equipment will be examined by a qualified person for defects prior to usage underground; (3) a qualified person will thoroughly examine for methane and other hazardous conditions prior to use and every 20 minutes or sooner if needed; and (4) all equipment and activity will stop immediately if the surrounding mine's atmosphere contains 1.0 percent or greater of methane, or if hazardous concentrations of coal dust or other hazards are observed. The petitioner asserts that every precaution will be taken to guarantee the safety of every miner working at the New Elk Mine. If the situation is not safe this equipment will not be used until the area is safe or made safe, and at no time will a miner be in danger.

Dated: June 22, 2011.

**Patricia W. Silvey,**  
*Certifying Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-16084 Filed 6-27-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-43-P**

## NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, July 12, 2011.

**PLACE:** NTSB Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20594.

**STATUS:** The two items are open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

8193A Marine Accident Report—Collision Between U.S. Coast Guard Vessel CG 33118 and Sea Ray Recreational Vessel CF 2607 PZ, San Diego Harbor, California, December 20, 2009.

8102A Aircraft Accident Report—Loss of Control While Maneuvering, Pilatus PC-12, N128CM, Butte, Montana, March 22, 2009.

*News Media Contact:* Telephone: (202) 314-6100.

The press and public may enter the NTSB Conference Center one hour prior to the meeting for set up and seating.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Rochelle Hall at (202) 314-6305 by Friday, July 8, 2011.

The public may view the meeting via a live or archived webcast by accessing a link under "News & Events" on the NTSB home page at <http://www.nts.gov>.

**FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT:** Candi Bing, (202) 314-6403 or by e-mail at [bingc@ntsb.gov](mailto:bingc@ntsb.gov).

Dated: June 24, 2010.

**Candi R. Bing,**

*Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-16297 Filed 6-24-11; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7533-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2011-0141; Docket No. 50-171]

### Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Related to Exemption for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit 1 License DPR-012, York and Lancaster Counties, PA

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Environmental assessment and finding of no significant Impact.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Hickman, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop T8F5, Washington, DC 20555-00001. Telephone: 301-415-3017; e-mail: [john.hickman@nrc.gov](mailto:john.hickman@nrc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is considering a request dated November 18, 2010, by Exelon Nuclear (Exelon, the licensee) requesting exemptions from the security requirements in 10 CFR part 73 and 10 CFR 50.54(p) for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS) Unit 1.

This Environmental Assessment (EA) has been developed in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 51.21.

##### II. Environmental Assessment

###### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would eliminate the security plan requirements from the 10 CFR part 50 licensed site because the PBAPS Unit 1 spent nuclear fuel has been removed from the site and the spent fuel pool is drained and decontaminated. There is no longer any special nuclear material (SNM) located within PBAPS Unit 1 other than that contained in plant systems as residual contamination.

Part of this proposed action meets the categorical exclusion provision in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25), as part of this action is an exemption from the requirements of the Commission's regulations and (i) there is no significant hazards consideration; (ii) there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite; (iii) there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure; (iv) there is no significant construction impact; (v) there is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents; and (vi) the requirements from which an exemption is sought involve safeguard plans. Therefore, this part of the action does not require either an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement. This environmental assessment was prepared for the part of the proposed action not involving safeguards plans.