Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., STOP 0237, Washington, DC 20250–0237; Telephone: (202) 720–2491, Fax: (202) 720–8938, or E-mail: Laurel-May@ams.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title: Specified Commodities Imported Into the United States Exempt from Import Requirements.

OMB Number: 0581–0167.

Expiration Date of Approval: December 31, 2011.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently-approved information collection.

Abstract: Section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674; Act) requires that whenever the Secretary of Agriculture issues grade, size, quality, or maturity regulations under domestic marketing orders, the same or comparable regulations must be issued for imported commodities. Import regulations apply only during those periods when domestic marketing order regulations are in effect.

Currently, the following commodities are subject to section 8e import regulations: Avocados; grapefruit; kiwifruit; olives; oranges; fresh prunes; table grapes; potatoes; onions; tomatoes; dates (other than dates for processing); walnuts; dried prunes (suspended); raisins; and hazelnuts. Imports of these commodities are exempt from section 8e requirements if they are imported for such outlets as processing, charity, animal feed, seed, and distribution to relief agencies when those outlets are exempt under the applicable marketing orders. Safeguard procedures in the form of importer and receiver reporting requirements are used to ensure that the imported commodities are, in fact, shipped to authorized, exempt outlets. Reports required under the safeguard procedure are similar to the reports currently required by most domestic marketing orders, and are required of importers and receivers under the following import regulations: (1) Fruits; import regulations (7 CFR 944.350); (2) vegetables; import regulations (7 CFR 980.501); and (3) specialty crops; import regulations (7 CFR 999.500).

Under these regulations, importers wishing to import commodities for exempt purposes must complete form FV–6, the “Importer’s Exempt Commodity Form,” prior to importation, through the Marketing Order Online System (MOLS). Launched in August 2008, MOLS is an Internet-based application, managed by the USDA, which allows importers and receivers of fruit, vegetable, and specialty crops to review and search for FV–6 certificates online. If an importer correctly inputs his shipment data into MOLS, he will receive and be able to print a certificate that accompanies the shipment. Data are simultaneously transmitted to the receiver and to AMS, where it is reviewed for compliance purposes by Marketing Order Administration Branch (MOAB) staff, in the USDA’s Fruit and Vegetable Programs.

In rare instances a paper form FV–6 may be used. The hardcopy form has four parts, which are distributed as follows: Copy one is presented to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security; copy two is filed with MOAB within two days of the commodity entering the United States; copy three accompanies the exempt shipment to its intended destination, where the receiver certifies its receipt and that it will be used for exempt purposes, and files that copy with MOAB within two days of receipt; and copy four is retained by the importer. USDA utilizes this information to ensure that imported goods destined for exempt outlets are given no less favorable treatment that that afforded to domestic goods destined for the same exempt outlets. These exemptions are consistent with section 8e import regulations under the Act.

In addition to renewing the FV–6 form, this information collection package does the same for the FV–7 form, “Civil Penalty Stipulation Agreement.” Produce importers sign the FV–7 form, for which there is no burden associated because only a signature is required, to admit that they violated section 8e import requirements and are seeking a reduced fine or penalty. The information collected through this package is used primarily by authorized representatives of the USDA, including AMS Fruit and Vegetable Programs regional and headquarters staff.

Estimate of Burden: The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per response.

Respondents: Importers and receivers of exempt commodities.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 250.

Estimated Number of Total Annual Responses: 8,454.70.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 33.82

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 697.59 hours.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the Agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments to this document will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval, and will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 22, 2011.

Rayne Pegg, Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–16129 Filed 6–27–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

[Docket No. APHIS–2010–0041]

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.; Determination of Nonregulated Status for Corn Genetically Engineered To Produce Male Sterile/Female Inbred Plants

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: We are advising the public of our determination that a corn line developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., designated as event DP–32138–1, which has been genetically engineered to produce male sterile/female inbred plants for the generation of hybrid corn seed that is non-transgenic, is no longer considered a regulated article under our regulations governing the introduction of certain genetically engineered organisms. Our determination is based on our evaluation of data submitted by Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., in its petition for a determination of nonregulated status, our analysis of available scientific data, and comments received from the public in response to our previous notice announcing the availability of the petition for nonregulated status and its associated environmental assessment and plant
pest risk assessment. This notice also announces the availability of our written determination and finding of no significant impact.

DATES: Effective Date: June 28, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may read the documents referenced in this notice and the comments we received in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming. Those documents are also available on the Internet at http://www.aphis.usda.gov/biotechnology/not_reg.html and are posted with the previous notice and the comments we received on the Regulations.gov Web site at http://www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=APHIS-2010-0041.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Evan Chestnut, Policy Analyst, Biotechnology Regulatory Services, APHIS, 4700 River Road, Unit 147, Riverdale, MD 20737–1236; (301) 734–0942, e-mail: evan.a.chestnut@aphis.usda.gov. To obtain copies of the documents referenced in this notice, contact Ms. Cindy Eck at (301) 734–0667, e-mail: cynthia.a.eck@aphis.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The regulations in 7 CFR part 340, “Introduction of Organisms and Products Altered or Produced Through Genetic Engineering Which Are Plant Pests or Which There Is Reason to Believe Are Plant Pests,” regulate, among other things, the introduction (importation, interstate movement, or release into the environment) of organisms and products altered or produced through genetic engineering that are plant pests or that there is reason to believe are plant pests. Such genetically engineered organisms and products are considered “regulated articles.”

The regulations in § 340.6(a) provide that any person may submit a petition to the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) seeking a determination that an article should not be regulated under 7 CFR part 340. Paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 340.6 describe the form that a petition for a determination of nonregulated status must take and the information that must be included in the petition.

APHIS received a petition (APHIS Petition Number 08–338–01p) from Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. (Pioneer) of Johnston, IA, seeking a determination of nonregulated status for corn (Zea mays L.) designated as event DP–32138–1, which has been genetically engineered to produce male sterile/female inbred plants for the generation of hybrid corn seed that is non-transgenic. The petition stated that corn event DP–32138–1 is unlikely to pose a plant pest risk and, therefore, should not be a regulated article under APHIS’ regulations in 7 CFR part 340.

In a notice 1 published in the Federal Register on January 3, 2011 (76 FR 83–84, Docket No. APHIS–2010–0041), APHIS announced the availability of the Pioneer petition and a draft environmental assessment (EA) for public comment. APHIS solicited comments on the petition, whether the subject corn is likely to pose a plant pest risk, and on the draft EA for 60 days ending on March 4, 2011.

APHIS received 52 comments during the comment period, with 8 comments providing support of the EA’s preferred alternative and 43 comments expressing general opposition. Those providing support cited several points regarding Pioneer’s Seed Production Technology (SPT) process and its benefits including: (1) The SPT process does not introduce a new transgenic gene or trait through commercial hybrid seed or grain production; (2) the SPT process is used to increase productivity and efficiency in seed corn production; and (3) the transgenic material is used two generations before hybrid seed production occurs or three times before commercial grain production. The majority of those opposing expressed general opposition to GE crops and genetically modified organisms but did not provide any specific disagreement with APHIS’ analysis. Commenters also expressed concern with genetic contamination; with the effects of GE corn pollen on honeybees, other insects, and/or the whole ecosystem; food and feed safety; and health effects. APHIS has addressed the issues raised during the comment period and has provided responses to these comments as an attachment to the finding of no significant impact.

National Environmental Policy Act

To provide the public with documentation of APHIS’ review and analysis of any potential environmental impacts associated with the determination of nonregulated status for

1To view the notice, petition, draft EA, the plant pest risk assessment and the comments we received, go to http://www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=APHIS-2010-0041.