

materials for high-resolution imaging with a field emission transmission electron microscope. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States that can substitute for the Vitrobot for the intended use. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* June 10, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–033. *Applicant:* Temple University, 1900 N. 13th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19122. *Instrument:* Super low temperature Scanning Tunneling Microscope. *Manufacturer:* UNISOKU Co., Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used in Ph.D. research, to study the electronic properties in solid state superconductors, semiconductors and magnetic materials. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* Instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States do not offer the level of low operating temperatures and magnetic field applications required for the intended use. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* June 9, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–034. *Applicant:* University of Chicago, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Lemont, IL 60439. *Instrument:* Solar spectrum simulation array system. *Manufacturer:* Atlas Material Testing Technology, Germany. *Intended Use:* The instrument will simulate solar radiation for an existing vehicle and component testing cell, to evaluate vehicle-level control solutions for mitigating temperature-related impacts on energy consumption. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* June 9, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–035. *Applicant:* University of California, Los Angeles, 760 Westwood Plaza, Box 77, Los Angeles, CA 90095. *Instrument:* Slicescope microscope. *Manufacturer:* Scientifica Ltd., U.K. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to examine the electrochemical properties of neurons, as part of research into the neurochemical effects of addictive drugs. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* June 10, 2011.

Docket Number: 11–036. *Applicant:* Smith College, 44 College Lane, Northampton, MA 01063. *Instrument:* Quanta 450 Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* The instrument

will be used for biological, chemical, geological, and paleontological research, to identify and study a variety of minerals, glass, biofilms, nanotubes, nanofibers and other natural materials. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category being manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* June 10, 2011.

Dated: June 20, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell,
Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Office of Policy, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–16068 Filed 6–24–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Lawrence Technological University, et al.; Notice of Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. We know of no instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order.

Docket Number: 11–022. *Applicant:* Lawrence Technological University, 21000 W. 10 Mile Road, Southfield, MI 48075. *Instrument:* FEI Quanta 450 FEG Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Brno, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 29725, May 23, 2011. *Reasons:* The instrument will be used to study polymers for biomedical applications; metals and ceramics used in orthopaedic implants; cement used in construction; lubricated components in automobiles; and electrode materials in lithium ion batteries.

Docket Number: 11–027. *Applicant:* U.C. Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616. *Instrument:* Sacher Lasertechnik Laser System. *Manufacturer:* Sacher Lasertechnik, LLC, Marburg, Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 76 FR 29725, May 23, 2011. *Reasons:* The instrument will be used for scientific research related to the

development of a new optical technique for analyzing biological cells, for applications in biological and biomedical sciences.

Dated: June 20, 2011.

Gregory W. Campbell,
Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Office of Policy, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–16069 Filed 6–24–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–810]

Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipes From the Republic of Korea: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Results of Administrative Review and Amended Final Results

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 26, 2011, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) results of redetermination as applied to respondent SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH) pursuant to the CIT's remand order in *SeAH Steel Corporation v. United States and Bristol Metals*, Slip Op. 11–33 (March 29, 2011) (*SeAH II*). *SeAH Steel Corporation v. United States*, Court No. 09–00248 (Ct. Int'l Trade May 26, 2011) (*SeAH III*) (affirming the Department's Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Remand, Court No. 09–00248, dated April 26, 2011, available at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/remands>). Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 612 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's *Final Results* and is amending the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded stainless steel pipes from the Republic of Korea covering the period of review (POR) of December 1, 2006, through November 30, 2007 with respect to SeAH. See *Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipes From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 74 FR 31242 (June 30, 2009) (*Final Results*)