

contained in the regulations are already approved under OMB control number 2070-0029 (EPA ICR No. 0155.09) and the changes to the expiration date are not expected to change the covered activities. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for certain EPA regulations in 40 CFR, in addition to appearing in the **Federal Register**, are also listed in 40 CFR part 9.

Pursuant to section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Agency hereby certifies that this proposed rule does not have a significant adverse economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed revision that would synchronize the certification expiration dates for restricted use applicators is not expected to have any adverse economic impacts on affected entities, regardless of their size. In general, EPA strives to minimize potential adverse impacts on small entities when developing regulations to achieve the environmental and human health protection goals of the statute and EPA. EPA solicits comments specifically about potential small business impacts.

State, local, and tribal governments are not regulated by or affected by this proposed rule, so it is not expected to affect these governments. Accordingly, pursuant to Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538), EPA has determined that this action is not subject to the requirements in sections 202 and 205 of UMRA because it does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in expenditures of \$100 million or more for State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or for the private sector in any 1 year. In addition, this action does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments or impose a significant intergovernmental mandate, as described in sections 203 and 204 of UMRA. For the same reasons, EPA has determined that this proposed rule does not have "federalism implications" as specified in Executive Order 13132, entitled *Federalism* (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in the Order. Thus, Executive Order 13132 does not apply to this proposed rule. Nor does it have "tribal implications" as specified in Executive Order 13175,

entitled *Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments* (65 FR 22951, November 9, 2000). Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this action.

Since this action is not economically significant under Executive Order 12866, it is not subject to Executive Order 13045, entitled *Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks* (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), and Executive Order 13211, entitled *Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use* (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). In addition, EPA interprets Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that concern health or safety risks, which is not the case in this proposed rule.

This action does not involve technical standards that would require the consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

This action does not have an adverse impact on the environmental and health conditions in low-income and minority communities. Therefore, this action does not involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as specified in Executive Order 12898, entitled *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations* (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 171

Environmental protection, Indians—lands, Intergovernmental relations, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 14, 2011.

Lisa P. Jackson,
Administrator.

Therefore, it is proposed that 40 CFR chapter I be amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 171 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136i and 136w.

2. Amend § 171.11 by revising paragraph (e) to read as follows:

§ 171.11 Federal certification of pesticide applicators in States or on Indian Reservations where there is no approved State or Tribal certification plan in effect.

* * * * *

(e) *Recognition of other certificates.* The Administrator may issue a certificate to an individual possessing any other valid Federal, State, or Tribal certificate without further demonstration of competency. The

individual shall submit the EPA certification form and written evidence of valid certification to the appropriate EPA Regional Office. The Administrator may deny issuance of such certificate if the standards of competency for each category or subcategory identified in the other Federal, State, or Tribal certificate are not sufficiently comparable to justify waiving further demonstration of competency. The Administrator may revoke, suspend, or modify such certificate if the Federal, State, or Tribal certificate upon which it is based is revoked, suspended, or modified. Unless suspended or revoked, a certificate issued under this paragraph is valid until the expiration date of the Federal, State, or Tribal certificate.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2011-15883 Filed 6-23-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[EPA-R06-RCRA-2010-0307; FRL-9323-8]

Louisiana; Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The State of Louisiana has applied to EPA for Final authorization of the changes to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA proposes to grant Final authorization to the State of Louisiana. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is authorizing the changes by an immediate final rule. EPA did not make a proposal prior to the immediate final rule because we believe this action is not controversial and do not expect comments that oppose it. We have explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the immediate final rule. Unless we get written comments which oppose this authorization during the comment period, the immediate final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and we will not take further action on this proposal. If we receive comments that oppose this action, we will withdraw the immediate final rule and it will not take effect. We will then respond to public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. You may not have another opportunity for

comment. If you want to comment on this action, you must do so at this time.

DATES: Send your written comments by July 25, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Alima Patterson, Region 6, Regional Authorization Coordinator, (6PD-O), Multimedia Planning and Permitting Division, at the address shown below. You can examine copies of the materials submitted by the State of Louisiana during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, phone number (214) 665-6444; or Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 602 N. Fifth Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884-2178, phone number (225) 219-3559. Comments may also be submitted electronically or through hand delivery/courier; please follow the detailed instructions in the **ADDRESSES** section of the immediate final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alima Patterson (214) 665-8533.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

For additional information, please see the immediate final rule published in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 8, 2011.

Al Armendariz,

Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 2011-15881 Filed 6-23-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 1, 2, 22, 24, 27, 90 and 95

[WT Docket No. 10-4; DA 11-1078]

Improving Wireless Coverage Through the Use of Signal Boosters

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission extends the deadlines for filing comments and reply comments on the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)*, in this proceeding, which was published in the **Federal Register** on Tuesday, May 10, 2011.

DATES: The comment period for the proposed rule published May 10, 2011 (76 FR 26983), is extended. Submit comments on or before July 25, 2011, and reply comments on or before August 24, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by WT Docket No. 10-4; FCC 11-53, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Federal Communications*

Commission's Web Site: <http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *People with Disabilities:* Contact the FCC to request reasonable accommodations (accessible format documents, sign language interpreters, CART, etc.) by e-mail: FCC504@fcc.gov or phone 202-418-0530 or TTY: 202-418-0432.

For detailed instructions for submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joyce Jones, Mobility Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, at (202) 418-1327, or e-mail at joyce.jones@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Order* ("Order") in WT Docket No. 10-4, DA 11-1078, adopted and released on June 20, 2011, which extends the comment and reply comment filing deadlines established in the *NPRM* published under FCC No. 11-53 at 76 FR 26983, May 10, 2011. The full text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. The full text may also be downloaded at: <http://www.fcc.gov>. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by sending an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or by calling the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530 (voice), 202-418-0432 (tty).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

1. In this document, the Commission addresses a joint motion filed by Wilson Electronics, Inc. and Verizon Wireless (filed June 16, 2011) requesting a thirty-day extension of the time period to file comments in this rulemaking to allow them additional time to "work through the many complicated technical issues presented" and "work towards a solution that has the potential to benefit both booster manufacturers and wireless carriers." The Commission, in this instance, finds that providing a limited

extension will serve the public interest by allowing parties to discuss the complex technical issues at stake and develop consensus approaches that benefit consumers, and is therefore extending the deadline for all comments and reply comments to July 25, and August 24, 2011, respectively.

Ordering Clauses

2. Pursuant to section 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), and section 1.46 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.46, the joint request of Wilson Electronics, Inc. and Verizon Wireless, filed on June 16, 2011, is *Granted* and the deadline for filing comments in response to the *NPRM* is *Extended* to July 25, 2011, and the deadline for filing reply comments is *Extended* to August 24, 2011.

3. This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to sections 0.131 and 0.331 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 0.131, 0.331.

4. A copy of the *NPRM*, including the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, *Has Been Sent* to the Chief Counsel of Advocacy of the Small Business Administration.

Federal Communications Commission.

Michael McKenzie,

Deputy Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2011-15895 Filed 6-23-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 11-867; MB Docket No. 11-87; RM-11628]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Bastrop, LA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition for rulemaking filed by Kenneth W. Diebel, licensee of FM Station KGGM, Channel 228A, Delhi, Louisiana, proposing the substitution of FM Channel 228A for vacant FM Channel 230A at Bastrop, Louisiana. The proposed substitution of Channel 228A at Bastrop accommodates the hybrid application, which requests the substitution of Channel 230C3 for Channel 228A at Delphi, Louisiana. See File No. BNPH-20110214ADS. A staff engineering analysis indicates that Channel 228A can be allotted to Bastrop consistent with the minimum distance