In 1996, the White House, through the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC) and the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), established the Presidential Awards for Excellence in Science, Mathematics and Engineering Mentoring (PAESMEM) program. The program, administered on behalf of the White House by the National Science Foundation, seeks to identify outstanding mentoring efforts or programs designed to enhance the participation of groups (women, minorities and persons with disabilities) underrepresented in science, mathematics and engineering. The awardees will serve as exemplars to their colleagues and will be leaders in the national effort to more fully develop the Nation’s human resources in science, mathematics and engineering.

An honorarium in the amount of $10,000 will accompany the award along with a commemorative Presidential certificate. The award will be made to: (1) An individual who has demonstrated outstanding and sustained mentoring and effective guidance to a significant number of students at the K–12, undergraduate, or graduate education level or (2) to an organization that, through its programming, has enabled a substantial number of students underrepresented in science, mathematics and engineering to successfully pursue and complete the relevant degree programs. It is anticipated that each award will be used to continue the recognized activity. The nominees must have served in such a mentoring role for at least five years.

**Estimate of Burden:** These are annual award programs with application deadlines varying according to the program. Public burden also may vary according to program; however, across all the programs, it is estimated that each submission will average 19 hours per respondent. If the nominator is thoroughly familiar with the scientific background of the nominee, time spent to complete the nomination may be considerably reduced.

**Respondents:** Individuals, businesses or other for-profit organizations, universities, non-profit institutions, and Federal and State governments.

**Estimated Number of Responses per Award:** 207 responses, broken down as follows: For the President’s National Medal of Science, 55; for the Alan T. Waterman Award, 60; for the Vannevar Bush Award, 12; for the Public Service Award, 20; and for the PAESMEM, 60.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:** 3,980 hours, broken down by 1,200 hours for the President’s National Medal of Science (20 hours per 55 respondents); 1,200 hours for the

Alan T. Waterman Award (20 hours per 60 respondents); 180 hours for the Vannevar Bush Award (15 hours per 12 respondents); 300 hours for the Public Service Award (15 hours per 20 respondents); and 1,200 hours for the PAESMEM (20 hours per 60 respondents).

**Frequency of Responses:** Annually.

**Comments:** Comments are invited on (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Dated:** June 20, 2011.

Suzanne H. Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.
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**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[NRC–2008–0391]**

**Notice of Availability of Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Lost Creek In-Situ Recovery (ISR) Project in Sweetwater County, WY; Supplement to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for In-Situ Leach Uranium Milling Facilities**

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for In-Situ Leach Uranium Milling Facilities (GEIS), (NUREG–1910, Supplement 3) for the Lost Creek In-Situ Recovery Project in Sweetwater County, Wyoming. By letter dated October 30, 2007, Lost Creek ISR, LLC (LCI), a wholly-owned subsidiary of UR-Energy USA, Inc. submitted an application to the NRC for a new source and byproduct material license for the Lost Creek ISR Project, which LCI proposed to be located in the Great Divide Basin in Sweetwater County, Wyoming. LCI is proposing to recover uranium from the Lost Creek ISR Project site using the in-situ leach (also known as the in-situ recovery [ISRI]) process. In this final SEIS, the NRC staff assessed the environmental impacts from the construction, operation, aquifer restoration, and decommissioning of the proposed Lost Creek ISR Project.

In addition to the proposed action, the NRC staff assessed two alternatives in the final SEIS: An alternative that would result in dry yellowcake production at the proposed Lost Creek ISR Project and the No-Action Alternative. In addition, the NRC staff evaluated alternative wastewater disposal options to the proposed action of disposing of liquid effluent via Class I disposal wells. Under the No-Action alternative, NRC would deny LCI’s request to construct, operate, conduct aquifer restoration, and decommission an ISR facility at Lost Creek. Alternatives that were considered, but were eliminated from detailed analysis, included conventional mining and milling or heap leach processing. However, given the substantial environmental impact from implementing these alternatives, they were not further considered. The NRC staff also evaluated alternate lixiviants. For reasons discussed in the SEIS, this alternative was also eliminated from detailed analysis.

As discussed in Section 2.4 of the final SEIS, unless safety issues mandate otherwise, the NRC staff’s recommendation to the Commission related to the environmental aspects of the proposed action is that the source and byproduct material license be issued as requested. This recommendation is based upon: (1) The license application, including the environmental and technical report submitted by LCI and the applicant’s supplemental letters and responses to the NRC staff’s requests for additional information; (2) consultation with Federal, State, Tribal, and local agencies; (3) the NRC staff’s independent review; (4) the NRC staff’s consideration of comments received on the draft SEIS; and (5) the assessments summarized in this SEIS.

The final SEIS for the Lost Creek ISR Project may be accessed on the Internet at [http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1910/sr1910s/](http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1910/sr1910s/). Additionally, the NRC maintains an Agencywide Documents and Management System (ADAMS), which
For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Andrew Persinko,
Deputy Director, Environmental Protection and Performance Assessment Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Andrew Persinko,
Deputy Director, Environmental Protection and Performance Assessment Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: Wednesday, July 13, 2011, at 11 a.m.


STATUS: Part of this meeting will be open to the public. The rest of the meeting will be closed to the public. The open part of the meeting will be audiocast. The audiocast can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site at http://www.prc.gov.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The agenda for the Commission’s July 2011 meeting includes the items identified below.

Portions Open to the Public
1. Report on the Joint Periodicals Task Force and the report to the Congress pursuant to section 708 of the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA).
2. Report on legislative review pursuant to section 701 of the PAEA.
4. Review of postal-related Congressional activity.
5. Report on international activities.
6. Report on studies to quantify the social value of the postal system.

Portions Closed to the Public
7. Discussion of pending litigation.
8. Discussion of contractual matters involving sensitive business information—lease issues.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Mr. Alan Bjornsen, Project Manager, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection (DWMEP), Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs (FSME), Mail Stop T–8F5, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.
Telephone: 1 (800) 368–5642, extension 1195; E-mail: Alan.Bjornsen@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of June 2011.

By the Commission.
Shoshana M. Grove, Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available

Extension:


Section 19(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) (15 U.S.C. 78s(b)) requires each self-regulatory organization (“SRO”) to file with the Commission copies of any proposed rule, or any proposed change in, addition to, or deletion from the rules of such SRO. Rule 19b–4 (17 CFR 240.19b–4) implements the requirements of Section 19(b) by requiring the SROs to file their proposed rule changes on Form 19b–4 and by clarifying which actions taken by SROs are deemed proposed rule changes and so must be filed pursuant to Section 19(b). The collection of information is designed to provide the Commission with the information necessary to determine, as required by the Act, whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules thereunder. The information is used to determine if the proposed rule change should be approved or if proceedings should be instituted to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.
The respondents to the collection of information are self-regulatory organizations (as defined by the Act), including national securities exchanges, national securities associations, registered clearing agencies and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.