I. Introduction

On June 14, 2011, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package (GREP) contract. The Postal Service believes the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the GREP baseline agreement and is supported by Governors’ Decision No. 10–1 attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2010–36. Id. at 1. Attachment 3. The Notice explains that Order No. 445, which established GREP Contracts 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. Id. at 1–2. Additionally, the Postal Service requested to have the contract in Docket No. CP2010–36 serve as the baseline contract for future functional equivalence analyses of the GREP Contracts 1 product.

The instant contract. The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the instant contract is in accordance with Order No. 445. The instant contract is a renewal of the first GREP contract, filed in Docket No. CP2010–36, which is scheduled to expire on June 30, 2011. Notice at 1. The Postal Service will notify the mailer of the effective date within 30 days after all necessary regulatory approvals have been received. The contract will remain in effect until January 31, 2012, or a date in January 2012 prior to the Postal Service’s publication of price changes for its Express Mail International and/or Priority Mail International products. Id. at 3. It may, however, be terminated by either party on not less than 30 days’ written notice. Id. Attachment 1 at 5.

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

• Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the contract and applicable annexes;
• Attachment 2—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
• Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 10–1, which establishes prices and classifications for GREP contracts, a description of applicable GREP contracts, formulas for prices, an analysis of the formulas, and certification of the Governors’ vote; and
• Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GREP contract fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for GREP Contracts 1. The Postal Service identifies general contract terms that distinguish the instant contract from the baseline GREP agreement. It states that the instant contract differs from the contract in Docket No. CP2010–36 pertaining to revisions or clarification of terms, e.g., definition of qualifying mail, discounts offered by the reseller, minimum revenue, periodic review of minimum commitment, term, assignment, number of rate groups, and solicitation of reseller’s customers. Id. at 4–6. The Postal Service states that the differences, which include price variations based on updated costing information and volume commitments, do not alter the contract’s functional equivalency. Id. at 4. The Postal Service asserts that “[b]ecause the agreement incorporates the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant characteristics of this GREP contract are similar, if not the same, as the relevant characteristics of the contract filed in Docket No. CP2010–36.” Id.

The Postal Service concludes that its filing demonstrates that the new GREP contract complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to the baseline GREP contract. It states that the differences do not affect the services being offered or the fundamental structure of the contract. Therefore, it requests that the instant contract be included within the GREP Contracts 1 product. Id. at 6.

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2011–65 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service’s Notice. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642. Comments are due no later than June 24, 2011. The public portions of this filing can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints John P. Klingenberger to serve as Public Representative in the captioned proceeding.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than June 24, 2011.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, John P. Klingenberger is appointed to serve as the officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

June 16, 2011.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”).

ACTION: Notice of an application under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (“Act”) for an exemption from rule 12d1–2(a) under the Act.

SUMMARY OF APPLICATION: Applicants request an order to permit open-end management investment companies relying on rule 12d1–2 under the Act to invest in certain financial instruments.

APPLICANTS: HighMark Funds (“Trust”) and HighMark Capital Management, Inc. (“HCM,” and together with the Trust, “Applicants”).

FILING DATES: The application was filed on November 10, 2010, and amended on April 29, 2011.

HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING: An order granting the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission’s Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on July 11, 2011 and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer’s interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission’s Secretary.
APPENDICES: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549—1090:
Applicants: c/o Gregory C. Davis, esq., Ropes & Gray LLP, Three Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California 94111—4006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Emerson S. Davis, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551—6868, or Janet M. Grossnickle, Assistant Director, at (202) 551—6821
(Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission’s Web site by searching for the file number, or an applicant using the Company name box, at http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm or by calling (202) 551—8090.

Applicants’ Representations
1. The Trust is organized as a Massachusetts business trust and is registered with the Commission as an open-end management investment company. HCM, a California corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of Union Bank, N.A. is registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended.
2. Applicants request the exemption to the extent necessary to permit any existing or future series of the Trust and any other registered open-end management investment company that is advised by HCM or any person controlling, controlled by or under common control with HCM (any such adviser or HCM, an “Adviser”) that invests in other registered open-end management investment companies ("Underlying Funds") in reliance on section 12(d)(1)(G) of the Act and rule 12d1—2 under the Act, and which is also eligible to invest in securities (as defined in section 2(a)(36) of the Act) in reliance on rule 12d1—2 under the Act (each a “Fund of Funds”), to also invest, to the extent consistent with its investment objective, policies, strategies and limitations, in financial instruments that may not be securities within the meaning of section 2(a)(36) of the Act ("Other Investments").
3. Consistent with its fiduciary obligations under the Act, each Fund of Funds’ board of trustees or directors

will review the advisory fees charged by the Fund of Funds’ investment adviser to ensure that they are based on services provided that are in addition to, rather than duplicative of, services provided pursuant to the advisory agreement of any investment company in which the Fund of Funds may invest.

Applicants’ Legal Analysis
1. Section 12(d)(1)(A) of the Act provides that no registered investment company ("acquiring company") may acquire securities of another investment company ("acquired company") if such securities represent more than 3% of the acquired company’s outstanding voting stock or more than 5% of the acquiring company’s total assets, or if such securities, together with the securities of other investment companies, represent more than 10% of the acquiring company’s total assets. Section 12(d)(1)(B) of the Act provides that no registered open-end investment company may sell its securities to another investment company if the sale will cause the acquiring company to own more than 3% of the acquired company’s voting stock, or cause more than 10% of the acquired company’s voting stock to be owned by investment companies and companies controlled by them.
2. Section 12(d)(1)(G) of the Act provides that section 12(d)(1) will not apply to securities of an acquired company purchased by an acquiring company if: (a) The acquired company and acquiring company are part of the same group of investment companies; (b) the acquiring company holds only securities of acquired companies that are part of the same group of investment companies, government securities, and short-term paper; (c) the aggregate sales loads and distribution-related fees of the acquiring company and the acquired company are not excessive under rules adopted pursuant to section 22(b) or section 22(c) of the Act by a securities association registered under section 15A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or by the Commission; and (d) the acquired company has a policy that prohibits it from acquiring securities of registered open-end investment companies or registered unit investment trusts in reliance on section 12(d)(1)(F) or (G) of the Act.
3. Rule 12d1—2 under the Act permits a registered open-end investment company or a registered unit investment trust that relies on section 12(d)(1)(G) of the Act to acquire, in addition to securities issued by another registered investment company in the same group of investment companies, government securities, and short-term paper: (a) Securities issued by an investment company that is not in the same group of investment companies, when the acquisition is in reliance on section 12(d)(1)(A) or 12(d)(1)(F) of the Act; (b) securities (other than securities issued by an investment company); and (c) securities issued by a money market fund, when the acquisition is in reliance on rule 12d1—1 under the Act. For the purposes of rule 12d1—2, “securities” means any security as defined in section 2(a)(36) of the Act.
4. Section 6(c) of the Act provides that the Commission may exempt any person, security, or transaction from any provision of the Act, or from any rule under the Act, if such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act.
5. Applicants state that the proposed arrangement would comply with the provisions of rule 12d1—2 under the Act, but for the fact that the Funds of Funds may invest a portion of their assets in Other Investments. Applicants request an order under section 6(c) of the Act for an exemption from rule 12d1—2(a) to allow the Fund of Funds to invest in Other Investments. Applicants assert that permitting the Funds of Funds to invest in Other Investments as described in the application would not raise any of the concerns that the requirements of section 12(d)(1) were designed to address.

Applicants’ Condition
Applicants agree that the order granting the requested relief will be subject to the following condition:
Applicants will comply with all provisions of rule 12d1—2 under the Act, except for paragraph (a)(2) to the extent that it restricts any Fund of Funds from investing in Other Investments as described in the application.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, under delegated authority.

Cathy H. Ahn,
Deputy Secretary.