Amended Exhibit A has not yet met the joint filing requirement of the [hours of service law] as outlined in FRA’s March 5, 2010, letter, that railroad is not a party to this waiver.” Recognizing that as a practical matter, the posting of FRA’s final decision letter in Docket Number FRA–2009–0078 may have crossed with the submission of the York Railway’s request to be included in the waiver. FRA is addressing that request in this docket (FRA–2011–0035). Accordingly, a copy of York Railway’s submission has been placed in Docket Number FRA–2011–0035, and FRA will address that request for relief from the hours of service law requirements in conjunction with ASLRRA’s April 15, 2011, petition.

A copy of the petition, as well as any written communications concerning the petition, is available for review online at http://www.dot.gov/privacy.html.

Issued in Washington, DC on June 9, 2011.

Robert C. Lauby,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Regulatory & Legislative Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011–14732 Filed 6–13–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket Number FRA–2011–0035]

Petition for Waiver of Compliance


FRA granted an extension of waiver Docket Number FRA–2005–21613 to AAR on March 5, 2011, continuing an extensive testing and inspection program to determine extended clean, repair and test intervals for air brake valves and related components as required by the Railroad Locomotive Safety Standards at 49 CFR 229.27 Annual tests and 229.29 Biannual tests. Fourteen (14) separate groups of locomotives were identified for investigation in the waiver approval letter. Among the variables between groups are the model of electronic airbrake system used on the locomotives and whether the group of locomotives was manufactured by General Electric (GE) or ElectroMotive Diesel (EMD). AAR has now submitted additional requests for modification of this waiver. The first request is to add locomotives equipped with New York Air Brake (NYAB) CCB–26 model brake systems in the same group as locomotives equipped with CCB–II brake systems. Also, AAR has requested that the distinction between locomotive manufacturers be
dropped, thus reducing the number of groups to be tested.

In support of this petition, AAR submitted supporting documentation from NYAB attesting to the essential similarity of the CCB–26 brake system to the CCB–II brake system already covered under the waiver. In addition, AAR states that testing performed to date under this waiver has allayed concerns that air brake system performance would vary between EMD and GE locomotives.

A copy of the petition, as well as any written communications concerning the petition, is available for review online at http://www.regulations.gov and in person at the Department of Transportation’s Docket Operations Facility, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE., W12–140, Washington, DC 20590. The Docket Operations Facility is open from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number and may be submitted by any of the following methods:

• Web site: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
• Fax: 202–493–2251.
• Mail: Docket Operations Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., W12–140, Washington, DC 20590.
• Hand Delivery: 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Communications received by July 29, 2011 will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after this date will be considered as far as practicable.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of any written communications and comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78) or online at http://www.dot.gov/privacy.html.

Issued in Washington, DC on June 9, 2011.

Robert C. Lauby,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Regulatory & Legislative Operations.

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P
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Reports, Forms, and Recordkeeping Requirements


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collections and their expected burden. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period was published on March 28, 2011 (76 FR 17186).

DATES: Comments must be submitted to OMB on or before July 14, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before an agency submits a proposed collection of information to OMB for approval, it must first publish a document in the Federal Register providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB’s regulations, see 5 CFR 1320.8(d), an agency must ask for public comment on the following:

(i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
(ii) The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
(iii) How to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
(iv) How to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses.

In compliance with these requirements, NHTSA asks for public comments on the following collection of information:

Title: Defect and Noncompliance Reporting and Notification.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved information collection.

OMB Control Number: 2127–0004.

Affected Public: Businesses or individuals.

Abstract: This notice requests comment on NHTSA’s proposed extension to approved collection of information OMB No. 2127–0004. This collection covers the information collection requirements found within various statutory sections in the Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966 (Act), 49 U.S.C. 30101, et seq., that address and require manufacturer notifications to NHTSA of safety-related defects and failures to comply with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) in motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment, as well as the provision of particular information related to the ensuing owner and dealers notifications and free remedy campaigns that follow those notifications. The sections of the Act imposing these requirements include 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30119, 30120, and 30166. Many of these requirements are implemented through, and addressed with more specificity in, 49 CFR Part 573, Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports (Part 573) and 49 CFR 577, Defect and Noncompliance Notification. Pursuant to the Act, motor vehicle and motor vehicle equipment manufacturers are obligated to notify, and then provide various information and documents, to NHTSA in the event of a safety defect or noncompliance with Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS) is identified in products they