1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until August 9, 2011. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.1.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Linda Bradford (Acting) Deputy Associate Director, Retirement Operations, Retirement Services, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3305, Washington, DC 20415–3500 or sent via electronic mail to Martha.Moore@opm.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Retirement Services Publications Team. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 4332, Washington, DC 20415, Attention: Cyrus S. Benson, or sent via electronic mail to Cyrus.Benson@opm.gov or faxed to (202) 606–0910.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: RI 20–80 is used for individuals who are eligible to elect whether to receive a reduced annuity and a lump-sum payment equal to their retirement contributions (alternative form of annuity) or an unreduced annuity and no lump sum.

Analysis
Title: Alternative Annuity Election.
OMB Number: 3206–0168.
Frequency: On occasion.
Affected Public: Individuals or Households.
Number of Respondents: 200.
Estimated Time per Respondent: 20 minutes.
Total Burden Hours: 67 hours.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request


Extension:
Rule 30b1–5; SEC File No. 270–520; OMB Control No. 3235–0577.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) for extension and approval.

Rule 30b1–5 (17 CFR 270.30b1–5) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a–1 et seq.) (the “Investment Company Act”) requires registered management investment companies, other than small business investment companies registered on Form N–5 (17 CFR 239.24 and 274.5) (“funds”), to file a quarterly report via the Commission’s EDGAR system on Form N–Q (17 CFR 249.332 and 274.130), not more than sixty calendar days after the close of each first and third fiscal quarter, containing their complete portfolio holdings. The purpose of the collection of information required by rule 30b1–5 is to meet the disclosure requirements of the Investment Company Act and to provide investors with information necessary to evaluate an interest in the fund by improving the transparency of information about the fund’s portfolio holdings.

The Commission estimates that there are 2,580 management investment companies, with a total of approximately 9,160 portfolios, that are governed by the rule. For purposes of this analysis, the burden associated with the requirements of rule 30b1–5 has been included in the collection of information requirements of Form N–Q, rather than the rule.

The collection of information under rule 30b1–5 is mandatory. The information provided under rule 30b1–5 is not kept confidential. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Please direct your written comments to Thomas A. Bayer, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 6432 General Green Way, Alexandria, VA 22312; or send an e-mail to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: June 6, 2011.

Cathy H. Ahn,
Deputy Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request


Extension:
Rule 22d–1; Sec File No. 270–275; OMB Control No. 3235–0310.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 22d–1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the “Act”) (17 CFR 270.22d–1) provides registered
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request


Extension:
Rule 32a-4; SEC File No. 270-473; OMB Control No. 3235-0530.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit the following collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for extension and approval.

Section 32(a)(2) of the Investment Company Act (15 U.S.C. 80a-31(a)(2)) requires that shareholders of a registered investment management or face-amount certificate company (collectively, "funds") ratify or reject the selection of the fund’s independent public accountant. Rule 32a-4 (17 CFR 270.32a-4) exempt[s] funds from this requirement if (i) The fund’s board of directors establishes an audit committee composed solely of independent directors with responsibility for overseeing the fund’s accounting and auditing processes, (ii) the fund’s board of directors adopts an audit committee charter setting forth the committee’s structure, duties, powers and methods of operation, or sets forth such provisions in the fund’s charter or bylaws, and (iii) the fund maintains a copy of such an audit committee charter, and any modifications to the charter, permanently in an easily accessible place.

Each fund that chooses to rely on rule 32a-4 incur[s] two collection of information burdens. The first, related to the board of directors’ adoption of the audit committee charter, occurs once, when the committee is established. The second, related to the fund’s maintenance and preservation of a copy of the charter in an easily accessible place, is an ongoing annual burden. The information collection requirement in rule 32a-4 enables the Commission to monitor the duties and responsibilities of an independent audit committee formed by a fund relying on the rule. Commission staff estimates that, on average, the board of directors takes 15 minutes to adopt the audit committee charter. Commission staff has estimated that with an average of 8 directors on the board, total director time to adopt the charter is 2 hours. Combined with an estimated 1 hour of paralegal time to prepare the charter for board review, the staff estimates a total one-time collection of information burden of 3 hours for each fund.

Because virtually all funds extant have now adopted audit committee charters, the annual one-time collection of information burden associated with adopting audit committee charters is limited to the burden incurred by newly established funds. Commission staff estimates that fund sponsors establish approximately 117 new funds each year, and that all of these funds will adopt an audit committee charter in order to rely on rule 32a-4. Thus, Commission staff estimates that the annual one-time hour burden associated with adopting an audit committee charter under rule 32a-4 going forward will be approximately 351 hours.

As noted above, all funds that rely on rule 32a-4 are subject to the ongoing collection of information requirement to preserve a copy of the charter in an easily accessible place. This ongoing requirement, which Commission staff has estimated has no hourly burden, applies to new funds that adopt an audit committee charter each year and to all funds that have previously adopted the charter and continue to maintain it. When funds adopt an audit committee charter in order to rely on rule 32a-4, they also may incur one-time costs related to hiring outside counsel to prepare the charter. Commission staff estimates that those costs average approximately $1500 per fund.

No hour burden related to such maintenance of the charter was identified by the funds the Commission staff surveyed. Commission staff understands that many audit committee charters have been significantly revised after their adoption in response to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745) and other developments. However, the costs associated with these revisions are not attributable to the requirements of rule 32a-4.

This estimate is based on the number of Form N-8As filed from January 2010 through December 2010.

This estimate is based on the following calculation: (3.0 burden hours for establishing charter × 117 new funds = 351 burden hours).

Costs may vary based on the individual needs of each fund. However, based on the staff’s conversations with outside counsel that prepare these charters, legal fees related to the preparation and adoption of an audit committee charter usually average $1500 or less. The Commission also understands that the IC has prepared a model audit committee charter, which most legal professionals

---

1 Rule 32a-4(a).
2 Rule 32a-4(b).
3 Rule 32a-4(c).
4 This estimate is based on staff discussions with a representative of an entity that surveys funds and calculates fund board statistics based on responses to its surveys.

---
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