withdrawing from warehouse, for consumption from September 19, 2008, through Jan 16, 2009, and May 29, 2009, through December 31, 2009, at the applicable rates. Entries during the period January 17, through May 29, 2009, were not suspended for CVD purposes due to the termination of provisional measures.

Cash Deposit Instructions

The Department also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts calculated for year 2009. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company. These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Public Comment

Interested parties may submit written arguments in case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the date of filing the case briefs. Parties who submit briefs in this proceeding should provide a summary of the arguments not to exceed five pages and a table of statutes, regulations, and cases cited. Copies of case briefs and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f).

Interested parties may request a hearing within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Unless otherwise specified, the hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the scheduled date for submission of rebuttal briefs.

The Department will publish a notice of the final results of this administrative review within 120 days from the publication of these preliminary results.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 31, 2011.
Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C–570–978]
High Pressure Steel Cylinders From the People's Republic of China; Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
DATES: Effective Date: June 8, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Scott Holland and Yasmin Nair, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1279 and (202) 482–3813, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
The Petition
On May 11, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) received a countervailing duty (“CVD”) petition concerning imports of high pressure steel cylinders (“steel cylinders”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) filed in proper form by Norris Cylinder Company ("Petitioner"). See The Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties Against High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China, dated May 11, 2011 (“the Petition”). On May 17, 2011, the Department issued request for Petitioner for additional information and for clarification of certain areas of the CVD Petition. Based on the Department’s requests, Petitioner filed a supplement to the Petition regarding general issues on May 20, 2011 (“Supplement to the AD/CVD Petitions”).

In accordance with section 702(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), Petitioner alleges that producers/exporters of steel cylinders from the PRC received countervailable subsidies within the meaning of sections 701 and 771(5) of the Act, and that imports from these producers/exporters materially injure, and threaten further material injury to, an industry in the United States.

The Department finds that Petitioner filed the Petition on behalf of the domestic industry because Petitioner is an interested party, as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act, and has demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the investigation that in turn causes the Department to initiate (see “Determination of Industry Support for the Petition” below).

Period of Investigation
The period of investigation is January 1, 2010, through December 31, 2010.

Scope of Investigation
The products covered by the scope of this investigation are steel cylinders from the PRC. For a full description of the scope of the investigation, see the “Scope of the Investigation,” in Appendix I of this notice.

Comments on Scope of the Investigation
During our review of the Petition, we discussed the scope with Petitioner to ensure that it is an accurate reflection of the products for which the domestic industry is seeking relief. As a result, the “Scope of Investigation” language has been modified from the language in the Petition to reflect these clarifications. See Memorandum to the File from Meredith A.W. Rutherford regarding Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties and Countervailing Duties on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China; Conference Call with Petitioner, May 24, 2011.

Moreover, as discussed in the preamble to the regulations (see Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, 62 FR 27,296, 27,323 (May 19, 1997)), we are setting aside a period of time for interested parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. The Department encourages interested parties to submit such comments by Monday, June 20, 2011, which is twenty calendar days from the signature date of this notice. All comments must be filed on the records of both the PRC antidumping duty investigation as well as the PRC CVD investigation.

Comments should be addressed to Import Administration’s APO/Dockets Unit, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The period of scope consultations is intended to provide the Department with ample opportunity to consider all comments and to consult with parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determination.

Consultations
Pursuant to section 702(b)(4)(A)(ii) of the Act, on May 16, 2011, the Department invited representatives of the Government of the PRC (“GOIC”) for consultations with respect to the CVD petition. On May 25, 2011, the Department held consultations with representatives of the GOIC via conference call. See Ex-Parte Memorandum on Consultations regarding the Petition for Imposition of Countervailing Duties on High Pressure...
Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China, dated May 27, 2011.

**Determination of Industry Support for the Petition**

Section 702(b)(1) of the Act requires that a petition be filed on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 702(c)(4)(A) of the Act provides that a petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (i) At least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (ii) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition. Moreover, section 702(c)(4)(D) of the Act provides that, if the petition does not establish support of domestic producers or workers accounting for more than 50 percent of the total production of the domestic like product, the Department shall: (i) Poll the industry or rely on other information in order to determine if there is support for the petition, as required by subparagraph (A); or (ii) determine industry support using a statistically valid sampling method to poll the industry.

Section 771(4)(A) of the Act defines the “industry” as the producers as a whole of a domestic like product. Thus, to determine whether a petition has the requisite industry support, the statute directs the Department to look to producers and workers who produce the domestic like product. The U.S. International Trade Commission (“ITC”), which is responsible for determining whether “the domestic industry” has been injured, must also determine what constitutes a domestic like product in order to define the industry. While both the Department and the ITC must apply the same statutory definition regarding the domestic like product (see section 771(10) of the Act), they do so for different purposes and pursuant to a separate and distinct authority. In addition, the Department’s determination is subject to limitations of time and information. Although this may result in different definitions of the like product, such differences do not render the decision of either agency contrary to law. See USEC, Inc. v. United States, 132 F. Supp. 2d 1, 8 (CIT 2001) (citing Algoma Steel Corp., Ltd. v. United States, 688 F. Supp. 639, 644 (CIT 1988), aff’d 865 F.2d 240 (Fed. Cir. 1989), cert. denied 492 U.S. 919 (1989)).

Section 771(10) of the Act defines the domestic like product as “a product which is similar in characteristics and uses with, the article subject to an investigation under this title.” Thus, the reference point from which the domestic like product analysis begins is “the article subject to an investigation” (i.e., the class or kind of merchandise to be investigated, which normally will be the scope as defined in the petition).

With regard to the domestic like product, Petitioner does not offer a definition of domestic like product distinct from the scope of the investigation. Based on our analysis of the information submitted on the record, we have determined that steel cylinders constitute a single domestic like product and we have analyzed industry support in terms of that domestic like product. For a discussion of the domestic like product analysis in this case, see Countervailing Duty Investigation Initiation Checklist: High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China (“Initiation Checklist”), at Attachment II. Analysis of Industry Support for the Petitions Covering High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China, dated concurrently with this notice and on file in the CRU.

In determining whether Petitioner has standing under section 702(c)(4)(A) of the Act, we considered the industry support data contained in the Petition with reference to the domestic like product as defined in the “Scope of Investigation” section in Appendix I of this notice. To establish industry support, Petitioner provided its production of the domestic like product in 2010. See Supplement to the AD/CVD Petitions, at 4. Petitioner maintains that it was the sole remaining producer of the domestic like product in 2010 and, therefore, alleges that it represents the total production of the domestic like product. See Volume I of the Petition, at 3, and Supplement to the AD/CVD Petitions, at 4. To demonstrate that it was the sole producer, Petitioner provided an affidavit from the President of Norris Cylinder Company, who has many years of professional experience in the steel cylinders industry. See Supplement to the AD/CVD Petitions, at 4, and Exhibit III–64. We have relied upon data Petitioner provided for purposes of measuring industry support. For further discussion, see Initiation Checklist at Attachment II.

**Injury Test**

Because the PRC is a “Subsidies Agreement Country” within the meaning of section 701(b) of the Act, section 701(a)(2) of the Act applies to this investigation. Accordingly, the ITC must determine whether imports of subject merchandise from the PRC materially injure, or threaten material injury to, a U.S. industry.

**Allegations and Evidence of Material Injury and Causation**

Petitioner alleges that imports of steel cylinders from the PRC are benefitting from countervailable subsidies and that such imports are causing, or threaten to cause, material injury to the domestic industry producing steel cylinders. In addition, Petitioner alleges that subject imports exceed the negligibility threshold provided for under section 771(24)(A) of the Act.

Petitioner contends that the industry’s injured condition is illustrated by reduced market share, reduced shipments, reduced capacity,
underselling and price depression or suppression, reduced employment, a decline in financial performance, lost sales and revenue, and an increase in import penetration. See Volume I of the Petition, at 11–22. We have assessed the allegations and supporting evidence regarding material injury, threat of material injury, and causation, and we have determined that these allegations are properly supported by adequate evidence and meet the statutory requirements for initiation. See Initiation Checklist at Attachment III, Injury.

Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation

Section 702(b)(1) of the Act requires the Department to initiate a CVD proceeding whenever an interested party files a petition on behalf of an industry that: (1) Alleges the elements necessary for an imposition of a duty under section 701(a) of the Act; and (2) is accompanied by information reasonably available to the petitioner(s) supporting the allegations. The Department has examined the Petition on steel cylinders from the PRC and finds that it complies with the requirements of section 702(b) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with section 702(b) of the Act, we are initiating a CVD investigation to determine whether manufacturers, producers, or exporters of steel cylinders in the PRC receive countervailable subsidies. For a discussion of evidence supporting our initiation determination, see Initiation Checklist.

We are including in our investigation the following programs alleged in the Petition to have provided countervailable subsidies to producers and exporters of the subject merchandise in the PRC:

A. State-Owned Enterprise ("SOE") Programs
1. Preferential Loans for SOEs.
2. Loan and Interest Forgiveness for SOEs.
3. Provision of Land and/or Land Use Rights to SOEs at Less Than Adequate Remuneration.

B. Grant Programs
1. The State Key Technology Renovation Project Fund.
3. Rebates for Export and Credit Insurance Fees.
4. GOC and Sub-Central Grants, Loans, and Other Incentives for Development of Famous Brands and China World Top Brands.

C. Loans and Directed Credit
1. Preferential Lending to Steel Product Producers under the Ninth Five-Year Plan.
2. Treasury Bond Loans.
3. Preferential Lending to Steel Cylinders Producers and Exporters Classified as "Honorable Enterprises".

D. Income Tax Programs
2. Income Tax Reductions for Export-oriented FIEs.
3. Preferential Tax Programs for FIEs that are Engaged in Research and Development.
5. Local Income Tax Exemption and Reduction Programs for "Productive" FIEs.

E. Other Tax Programs
2. VAT Refunds for FIEs Purchasing Domestically-Produced Equipment.
3. VAT Exemptions for Central Region.

F. Government Provision of Goods or Services for Less Than Adequate Remuneration ("LTAR")
1. Hot-Rolled Steel.
2. Seamless Tube Steel.
3. Welded Tube Steel.
4. Standard Commodity Steel Billets and Blooms.
5. High-Quality Chromium Molybdenum Alloy Steel Billets and Blooms.
6. Electricity.

G. Subsidies to Steel Cylinders Producers Located in Economic Development Zones
1. Subsidies Provided in the Tianjin Binhai New Area and the Tianjin Economic and Technological Development Area.

Respondent Selection

For this investigation, the Department expects to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data for U.S. imports during the period of investigation. We intend to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 20 days of publication of this Federal Register notice. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within seven calendar days of publication of this Federal Register notice.

Distribution of Copies of the Petition

In accordance with section 702(b)(4)(A)(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.202(f), a copy of the public version of the Petition has been provided to the representatives of the GC. Because of the particularly large number of producers/exporters identified in the Petition, the Department considers the service of the public version of the Petition to the foreign producers/exporters satisfied by the delivery of the public version to the GOC, consistent with 19 CFR 351.203(c)(2).

ITC Notification

We have notified the ITC of our initiation, as required by section 702(d) of the Act.

Preliminary Determination by the ITC

The ITC will preliminarily determine, within 45 days after the date on which the Petition is filed, whether there is a reasonable indication that imports of subsidized steel cylinders from the PRC are causing material injury, or threatening to cause material injury, to a U.S. industry. See section 703(a)(2) of the Act. A negative ITC determination will result in the investigation being terminated; otherwise, the investigation will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 31, 2011.
Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Attachment I

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by the scope of the investigation is seamless steel cylinders designed for storage or transport of compressed or liquefied gas ("high pressure steel cylinders"). High pressure steel cylinders are fabricated of chrome alloy steel including, but not limited to, chromium-molybdenum steel or chromium magnesium steel, and have permanently impressed into the steel, either before or after importation, the symbol of a U.S. Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("DOT")-approved high pressure steel cylinder manufacturer, as well as an approved DOT type marking of DOT 3A,
3AX, 3AA, 3AAX, 3B, 3E, 3HT, 3T, or DOT–E (followed by a specific exemption number) in accordance with the requirements of sections 178.36 through 178.68 of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or any subsequent amendments thereof. High pressure steel cylinders covered by the investigation have a water capacity up to 450 liters, and a gas capacity ranging from 8 to 702 cubic feet, regardless of corresponding service pressure levels and regardless of physical dimensions, finish or coatings.

Excluded from the scope of the investigation are high pressure steel cylinders manufactured to UN–ISO–9809–1 and 2 specifications and permanently impressed with ISO or UN symbols. Also excluded from the investigation are acetylene cylinders, with or without internal porous mass, and permanently impressed with 8A or 8AL in accordance with DOT regulations.

Merchandise covered by the investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (‘‘HTSUS’’) under subheading 7311.00.00.30. Subject merchandise may also enter under HTSUS subheadings 7311.00.00.60 or 7311.00.00.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the investigation is dispositive.

[FR Doc. 2011–14042 Filed 6–7–11; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C–570–974]
Certain Steel Wheels From the People’s Republic of China; Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: June 8, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristen Johnson or Robert Copyak, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4014, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–4793 and 202–482–2209, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 19, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the countervailing duty investigation of certain steel wheels from the People’s Republic of China. See Certain Steel Wheels From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation, 76 FR 23302 (April 26, 2011). Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than June 23, 2011.

Postponement of Due Date for Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the Department concludes that the parties concerned in the investigation are cooperating and that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Act allows the Department to postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation.

The Department has determined that the parties involved in the proceeding are cooperating and that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated. See section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Act. Specifically, the Department is currently investigating alleged subsidy programs involving loans, grants, income tax incentives, and the provision of goods or services for less than adequate remuneration. Due to the number and complexity of the alleged countervailable subsidy practices being investigated, it is not practicable to complete the preliminary determination of this investigation within the original time limit (i.e., by June 23, 2011). Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are fully extending the due date for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated. However, as that date falls on a Saturday (i.e., August 27, 2011), the deadline for completion of the preliminary determination is now Monday, August 29, 2011, the next business day.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act.

Dated: June 1, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C–570–976]
Galvanized Steel Wire From the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Lindgren or Nicholas Czajkowski, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3870 and (202) 482–1395, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 20, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the countervailing duty investigation of galvanized steel wire from the People’s Republic of China. See Galvanized Steel Wire From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation, 76 FR 23564 (April 27, 2011). Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than June 24, 2011.

Postponement of Due Date for the Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the Department concludes that the parties involved in the proceeding are cooperating and that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act. In the instant investigation, Davis Wire Corporation, Johnstown Wire Technologies, Inc., Mid-South Wire Company, Inc., National Standard, LLC, and Oklahoma Steel & Wire Company, Inc. (collectively, Petitioners), made a timely request on May 25, 2011, requesting a postponement of the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days from the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation. However, the Department may postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the administering authority initiated the investigation if, among other reasons, the petitioner makes a timely request for an extension pursuant to section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act. In the instant investigation, Davis Wire Corporation, Johnstown Wire Technologies, Inc., Mid-South Wire Company, Inc., National Standard, LLC, and Oklahoma Steel & Wire Company, Inc. (collectively, Petitioners), made a timely request on May 25, 2011, requesting a postponement of the preliminary countervailing duty determination to 130 days from the initiation date. See 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2), 19 CFR 351.205(e) and the Petitioners’ May 25, 2011, letter requesting postponement of the preliminary determination, which is