Filing to be effective 4/19/2011.
Accession Number: 20110518–5131.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, June 8, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–3585–000.
Applicants: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.
Description: Informational Update of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC.

Field Date: 05/18/2011.
Accession Number: 20110518–5153.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Monday, June 6, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–3594–000.
Applicants: City of Anaheim, California.
Description: City of Anaheim, California submits tariff filing per 35.13(a)(1): City of Anaheim, CA TO
Tariff and TRR Revisions to be effective 7/1/2011.

Filed Date: 05/18/2011.
Accession Number: 20110518–5136.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, June 8, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–3595–000.

Filed Date: 05/18/2011.
Accession Number: 20110518–5148.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, June 8, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–3596–000.
Applicants: AEP Texas North Company.
Description: AEP Texas North Company submits tariff filing per 35.1: 20110518 TNC RS and SA Baseline to be effective 5/19/2011.

Filed Date: 05/18/2011.
Accession Number: 20110518–5162.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, June 8, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–3597–000.
Applicants: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
Description: PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. submits tariff filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): Revisions to Section 3.2.3(e) of PJM’s Tariff Att. K Appx and OA Schedule 1 to be effective 9/17/2010.

Filed Date: 05/18/2011.
Accession Number: 20110518–5172.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, June 8, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–3598–000.
Applicants: Southwestern Public Service Company.
Description: Southwestern Public Service Company submits tariff filing per 35.13(a)(2)(iii): 5–19–11 RS137 SPS–WTMPA to be effective 7/16/2010 under ER11–3598 Filing Type: 10.

Filed Date: 05/19/2011.
Accession Number: 20110519–5016.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, June 9, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–3599–000.
Applicants: Southwest Power Pool, Inc.
Description: Southwest Power Pool, Inc.’s Notice of Cancellation of Meter Agent Services Agreement.

Filed Date: 05/19/2011.
Accession Number: 20110519–5025.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Thursday, June 9, 2011.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

As it relates to any qualifying facility filings, the notices of self-certification [or self-recertification] listed above, do not institute a proceeding regarding qualifying facility status. A notice of self-certification [or self-recertification] simply provides notification that the entity making the filing has determined the facility named in the notice meets the applicable criteria to be a qualifying facility. Intervention and/or protest do not lie in dockets that are qualifying facility self-certifications or self-recertifications. Any person seeking to challenge such qualifying facility status may do so by filing a motion pursuant to 18 CFR 292.207(d)(iii): Intervention and protests may be filed in response to notices of qualifying facility dockets other than self-certifications and self-recertifications.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at http://www.ferc.gov. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission’s eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission’s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call (866) 206–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Dated: May 19, 2011.
Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–13025 Filed 5–25–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission to OMB for Review and Approval; Proposed Collections; Toxic Chemical Release Reporting: Request for Comments on Proposed Renewal of Form R and Form A, Including Minor Form Revisions and the Ratio-Based Burden Methodology

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this document announces that an Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. This is a request to make changes to and renew an existing approved collection. The ICR Supporting Statement, which is abstracted below, describes the nature of
the information collection (including proposed minor form revisions) and its estimated burden and cost. **DATES:** Additional comments may be submitted on or before June 27, 2011. **ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OEI–2010–0835, to (1) EPA online using http://www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), by e-mail to oei.docket@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460, and (2) OMB by mail to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management, and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cassandra Vail, Toxics Release Inventory Program Division, Office of Information Analysis and Access (2844T), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202–566–0753; e-mail address: vail.cassandra@epa.gov. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA has submitted the following ICR to OMB for review and approval according to the procedures prescribed in 5 CFR 1320.12. On February 11, 2011 (76 FR 7841), EPA sought comments on this ICR pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.8(d). EPA received two comments, which are addressed in the Response to Comments document. Any additional comments on this ICR should be submitted to EPA and OMB within 30 days of this notice. EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OEI–2010–0835, which is available for online viewing at http://www.regulations.gov, or in person viewing at the OEI Docket, EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), U.S. EPA West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room is open from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is 202–566–1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is 202–566–1752. Use EPA’s electronic docket and comment system at http://www.regulations.gov, to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select “docket search,” then key in the docket ID number identified above. Please note that EPA’s policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing at http://www.regulations.gov as EPA receives them and without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, confidential business information (CBI), or other information whose public disclosure is restricted by statute. For further information about the electronic docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov. **Title:** Toxic Chemical Release Reporting; Request for Comments on Proposed Renewal of Form R and Form A, Including Minor Form Revisions, and the Ratio-Based Burden Methodology. **ICR numbers:** EPA ICR No. 1363.21, OMB Control No. 2025–0009. **ICR Status:** This ICR is scheduled to expire on July 31, 2011. Under OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB. EPA expects the renewed ICR to be available for TRI Reporting Year 2011 submissions, which are due by July 1, 2012. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA’s regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9. In the past, EPA has issued separate ICRs: (1) EPA ICR No. 1363.20, OMB Control No. 2025–0009 for Form R and (2) EPA ICR No. 1704.12, OMB Control No. 2025–0010 for Form A. In this ICR Renewal, EPA is transitioning from issuing two separate ICRs to issuing a single ICR—EPA ICR No. 1363.21, OMB Control No. 2025–0009 that encompasses both Form R and Form A. **Abstract:** Pursuant to section 313 of EPCRA, certain facilities that manufacture, process, or otherwise use specified toxic chemicals in amounts above reporting threshold levels must submit annually to EPA and to designated State officials toxic chemical release forms containing information specified by EPA. 42 U.S.C. 11023. In addition, pursuant to section 6607 of the Pollution Prevention Act (PPA), facilities reporting under section 313 of EPCRA (air, water, and land pollution prevention and waste management data, including recycling information, for such chemicals, 42 U.S.C. 13106. These reports are compiled and stored in EPA’s database known as the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI); TRI data are made readily available to the public. Regulations at 40 CFR part 372, subpart B, require facilities that meet all of the following criteria to report: 1. The facility has 10 or more full-time employee equivalents (i.e., a total of 20,000 hours worked per year or greater; see 40 CFR 372.3); and 2. The facility is in a North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code listed at 40 CFR 372.23 or under Executive Order 13148, Federal facilities regardless of their industry classification; and 3. The facility manufactures (defined to include importing), processes, or otherwise uses any EPCRA section 313 (TRI) chemical in quantities greater than the established thresholds for the specific chemical in the course of a calendar year. Facilities that meet the criteria must file a Form R report or, in some cases, may submit a Form A Certification Statement, for each listed toxic chemical for which the criteria are met. As specified in EPCRA section 313(a), the report for any calendar year must be submitted on or before July 1st of the following year. For example, reporting year 2009 data should have been submitted and certified on or before July 1, 2010. The list of toxic chemicals subject to TRI reporting can be found at 40 CFR 372.65. This list is also published every year as Table II in the current version of the Toxics Release Inventory Reporting Forms and Instructions. The current TRI chemical list contains 593 chemicals and 30 chemical categories. TRI data are used by environmental agencies, industry, and the public. EPA program offices use TRI data, along with other data, to help establish programmatic priorities, evaluate potential hazards to human health and the natural environment, and undertake appropriate regulatory and/or enforcement activities. Environmental and public interest groups use the data to better understand toxic chemical releases at the community level and to work with industry, government agencies, and others to promote reductions in toxic chemical releases. Industrial facilities use the TRI data to evaluate the efficiency of their production processes and to help track and communicate their progress in achieving pollution prevention goals. The TRI data are unique in providing a multi-media (air, water, and land) picture of toxic chemical releases, transfers, and other waste management
activities by covered facilities on a yearly basis. While other environmental media programs provide some toxic chemical data and related permit data, the data are not directly comparable to TRI data with regard to the types of chemicals and industry sectors that are covered or the frequency of reporting. Facilities that are subject to TRI reporting must submit reports for each calendar year to EPA and the States in which they are located by July 1st of the following year.

Respondents may claim trade secrecy for a chemical’s identity as described in EPCRA Section 322 and its implementing regulations in 40 CFR part 350. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of trade secrecy only to the extent permitted by and in accordance with the procedures in 40 CFR part 350 and 40 CFR part 2.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 35.71 hours for Form R and 21.96 hours for Form A. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and use technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities (i.e., Facilities): 20,871.
Estimated Number of Responses: 73,727.
Estimated Total Annual Number of Responses per Respondent: 3.53.
Frequency of Response: Annual.
Estimated Annual Hour Burden: 3,515,751 hours.
Estimated Total Annual Cost: $174,451,565, includes $0 annualized capital or O&M costs.

What Changes are included in this ICR: OMB approved the current ICR for Form R and the ICR for the Form A Certification Statement on March 2, 2008, with original expiration dates of March 31, 2011. On February 17, 2010, OMB approved an extension of the expiration dates for both forms to July 31, 2011. The OMB approved the current burden estimates on March 2, 2008, where 3,217,280 hours for Form R and 515,901 hours for Form A, totaling 3,733,181 hours.

Changes in the Estimates: Several changes in the burden estimates have been approved by OMB since the OMB approvals of the ICRs on March 2, 2008. On March 20, 2009, OMB approved the merging of the ICR for TRI detailed reporting on dioxin and dioxin-like compounds (OMB 2025–0007, ICR 2006-02), into the TRI Form R ICR (currently OMB Control Number 2025–0009), increasing burden by 899 hours. Then on March 27, 2009, OMB approved changes in the number of responses and the burden hours for Form R and Form A to reflect the passage of Section 425 of the Omnibus Appropriations Act of 2009, which rescinded the December 2006 Toxics Release Inventory Burden Reduction Rule. As a result, the OMB-approved numbers for Form R were increased by 140,565 hours and for Form A burden were decreased by 318,418 yielding a net increase of 63,983 hours. Most recently, on November 26, 2010, the Addition of National Toxicology Program Carcinogens rule was published in the Federal Register. This rule is estimated to increase the number of reporting facilities by 74 and the number of Form Rs submitted by 186 with an associated burden increase of 6,641 hours.

Meanwhile, over the past several years, there has been a slight decrease in the number of facilities reporting to TRI. Based on the latest data for Reporting Year 2009 plus updates to reflect changes during the year of the ICR project—in this case, the modeled number of chemicals and facilities estimated to report under the Addition of National Toxicology Program Carcinogens rule, EPA now estimates the total number of combined Form R and Form A responses to be 73,727, with the associated total annual burden hours to be 3,515,751, and the annual cost to be $174,451,565. For a detailed explanation of the Agency’s estimates of the respondent reporting burden and labor costs, please refer to the updated versions of the TRI Form R and A Supporting Statement and the document “Revising TRI Burden to Ratio-Based Methodology,” which are available in the docket.

Changes in the form, as revised per the Response to Comments: EPA proposes to make the following changes to the ICR for the TRI Form R, with regard to the parent company field, and the Form A Certification Statement:

1. Remove the "Yes" box and enlarge the text section for the question on optional pollution prevention information (Part II: Section 8.11).
2. Remove the “Yes” box and enlarge the text section for the question on optional pollution prevention information (Part II: Section 8.11).
3. Section 8 enhancements, including:
   - Change instructional statement on form to specify only “newly implemented” source reduction activities (Part II: Section 8.10).
   - Add an N/A box to match associated text revisions (Part II: Section 8.10).
   - Add a check box that reads “No U.S. Parent Company (for TRI Reporting purposes).”
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
4. Section 11 enhancements, including:
   - Change instructional statement on form to specify only “newly implemented” source reduction activities (Part II: Section 8.10).
   - Add an N/A box to match associated text revisions (Part II: Section 8.10).
   - Add a check box that reads “No U.S. Parent Company (for TRI Reporting purposes).”
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
   - Add NA boxes to Part II, Sections 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2. Rationale: Adding NA boxes to these sections will make the formatting of Form R and Form A Schedule 1 more consistent.
4. Add a new question to capture miscellaneous and optional information regarding the submission (Part II: Section 9.1. Rationale: This new text box will allow facilities to provide optional, miscellaneous information that may be helpful to EPA and/or the public in using or interpreting their data (e.g., facility closures, explanations for changes in releases quantities, etc.).
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Virginia notified EPA that Virginia has updated its incorporation by reference of Federal NESHAP and NSPS to include many such standards, as they were published in final form in the Federal Code of Federal Regulations dated July 1, 2010. EPA responded by sending Virginia a letter acknowledging that Virginia now has the authority to implement and enforce the NESHAP and NSPS as specified by Virginia in its notice to EPA, as provided for under previously approved automatic delegation mechanisms. To inform regulated facilities and the public of Virginia’s updated delegation of authority to implement and enforce NESHAP and NSPS, EPA is making available a copy of EPA’s letter to Virginia through this notice.

DATES: On April 4, 2011, EPA sent Virginia a letter acknowledging that Virginia’s delegation of authority to implement and enforce National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) had been updated, as provided for under previously approved delegation mechanisms. To inform regulated facilities and the public of Virginia’s updated delegation of authority to implement and enforce NESHAP and NSPS, EPA is making available a copy of EPA’s letter to Virginia through this notice.

ADDRESSES: Copies of documents pertaining to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103–2029. Copies of Virginia’s submittal are also available at the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219. Copies of Virginia’s notice to EPA that Virginia has updated its incorporation by reference of Federal NESHAP and NSPS, and of EPA’s response, may also be found posted on EPA Region III’s Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/reg3aertd/airregulations/delegate/vadelegation.htm.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ray Chalmers, (215) 814–2061, or by e-mail at chalmers.ray@epa.gov.

EPA has posted copies of these actions at: http://www.epa.gov/reg3aertd/airregulations/delegate/vadelegation.htm.

for under the terms of EPA’s previous delegation actions, to implement and enforce the NESHAP and NSPS standards which Virginia has adopted by reference in Virginia’s revised regulations 9 VAC 5–50 and 9 VAC 5–60, both effective on March 2, 2011.

Please note that on December 19, 2008, in Sierra Club v. EPA,2 the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated certain provisions of the General Provisions of 40 CFR Part 63 relating to exemptions for startup, shutdown, and malfunction (SSM). On October 16, 2009, the Court issued a mandate vacating these SSM exemption provisions, which are found at 40 CFR 63.6(f)(1) and (h)(1). Accordingly, EPA no longer allows sources the SSM exemption as provided for in the vacated provisions at 40 CFR 63.6(f)(1) and (h)(1), even though EPA has not yet formally removed these SSM exemption provisions from the General Provisions of 40 CFR Part 63. Because Virginia incorporated 40 CFR Part 63 by reference, Virginia should also no longer allow sources to use the former SSM exemption from the General Provisions of 40 CFR Part 63 due to the Court’s ruling in Sierra Club v. EPA.

EPA appreciates Virginia’s continuing NESHAP and NSPS enforcement efforts, and also Virginia’s decision to take automatic delegation of additional and more recent NESHAP and NSPS by adopting them by reference.

Sincerely,
Diana Esher,
Director, Air Protection Division.

This notice acknowledges the update of Virginia’s delegation of authority to implement and enforce NESHAP and NSPS.

Dated: April 26, 2011.
Diana Esher,
Director, Air Protection Division, Region III.

EPA has posted copies of these actions at: http://www.epa.gov/reg3aertd/airregulations/delegate/vadelegation.htm.

2 Sierra Club v. EPA, 551 F.3rd 1019 (DC Cir. 2008).

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
[D–PA–2011–0001; FRL–9305–8]
Delegation of Authority to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania To Implement and Enforce Additional National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Notice of delegation of authority.
SUMMARY: Pennsylvania has requested that EPA delegate to Pennsylvania the authority to implement and enforce twelve additional National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
[D–VA–2011–0001; FRL–9305–9]
Delegation of Authority to the Commonwealth of Virginia To Implement and Enforce Additional or Revised National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and New Source Performance Standards
AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Notice of delegation of authority.
SUMMARY: On April 4, 2011, EPA sent Virginia a letter acknowledging that Virginia’s delegation of authority to implement and enforce National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) had been updated, as provided for under previously approved delegation mechanisms. To inform regulated facilities and the public of Virginia’s updated delegation of authority to implement and enforce NESHAP and NSPS, EPA is making available a copy of EPA’s letter to Virginia through this notice.

DATES: On April 4, 2011, EPA sent Virginia a letter acknowledging that Virginia’s delegation of authority to implement and enforce National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) and New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) had been updated, as provided for under previously approved delegation mechanisms. To inform regulated facilities and the public of Virginia’s updated delegation of authority to implement and enforce NESHAP and NSPS, EPA is making available a copy of EPA’s letter to Virginia through this notice.

ADDRESSES: Copies of documents pertaining to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103–2029. Copies of Virginia’s submittal are also available at the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219. Copies of Virginia’s notice to EPA that Virginia has updated its incorporation by reference of Federal NESHAP and NSPS, and of EPA’s response, may also be found posted on EPA Region III’s Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/reg3aertd/airregulations/delegate/vadelegation.htm.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ray Chalmers, (215) 814–2061, or by e-mail at chalmers.ray@epa.gov.

EPA has posted copies of these actions at: http://www.epa.gov/reg3aertd/airregulations/delegate/vadelegation.htm.

for under the terms of EPA’s previous delegation actions, to implement and enforce the NESHAP and NSPS standards which Virginia has adopted by reference in Virginia’s revised regulations 9 VAC 5–50 and 9 VAC 5–60, both effective on March 2, 2011.

Please note that on December 19, 2008, in Sierra Club v. EPA,2 the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated certain provisions of the General Provisions of 40 CFR Part 63 relating to exemptions for startup, shutdown, and malfunction (SSM). On October 16, 2009, the Court issued a mandate vacating these SSM exemption provisions, which are found at 40 CFR 63.6(f)(1) and (h)(1). Accordingly, EPA no longer allows sources the SSM exemption as provided for in the vacated provisions at 40 CFR 63.6(f)(1) and (h)(1), even though EPA has not yet formally removed these SSM exemption provisions from the General Provisions of 40 CFR Part 63. Because Virginia incorporated 40 CFR Part 63 by reference, Virginia should also no longer allow sources to use the former SSM exemption from the General Provisions of 40 CFR Part 63 due to the Court’s ruling in Sierra Club v. EPA.

EPA appreciates Virginia’s continuing NESHAP and NSPS enforcement efforts, and also Virginia’s decision to take automatic delegation of additional and more recent NESHAP and NSPS by adopting them by reference.

Sincerely,
Diana Esher,
Director, Air Protection Division.

This notice acknowledges the update of Virginia’s delegation of authority to implement and enforce NESHAP and NSPS.

Dated: April 26, 2011.
Diana Esher,
Director, Air Protection Division.

EPA has posted copies of these actions at: http://www.epa.gov/reg3aertd/airregulations/delegate/vadelegation.htm.

2 Sierra Club v. EPA, 551 F.3rd 1019 (DC Cir. 2008).