Training Grants (CB/JTG). The ETA will require grantees to submit standardized quarterly reports summarizing the number and types of participants served by grantees, the number of exits, the number of participants engaged in training activities, and some participant outcomes. To calculate the common measures for each grantee and for the program as a whole, the ETA will also require grantees to submit quarterly participant records about exits that contain the minimum number of elements needed to obtain the information to calculate the common measures. The ETA plans to use these records to obtain wage record information from the Wage Record Interchange System, which in turn the ETA will use to compute common measures. These reports and records will help the ETA gauge the effects of the HGJTI and CB/JTG grants, identify grantees that could serve as useful models, and target technical assistance appropriately. The ETA’s statutory and regulatory authority to administer these programs includes provisions for the requirement of performance reporting from grantees. The legislative authority for these programs comes from the Workforce Investment Act (29 U.S.C. 2801 et seq.) and the American Competitiveness in the Twenty-first Century Act of 2000 as amended, both of which authorize and/or require that ETA collect information from grantees regarding program performance and participant outcomes.

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by the OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if the collection of information does not display a valid OMB control number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6. The DOL obtains OMB approval for this information collection under OMB Control Number 1205–0465. The current OMB approval is scheduled to expire on May 31, 2011; however, it should be noted that existing information collection requirements submitted to the OMB receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review. Respondents would not be required to comply with any additional requirements during this review period. For additional information, see the related notice published in the Federal Register on January 5, 2011 (76 FR 587).

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the address shown in the ADDRESSES section within 30 days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. In order to help ensure appropriate consideration, comments should reference OMB Control Number 1205–0465. The OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration (ETA).

Title of Collection: High Growth and Community-Based Job Training Grants.

OMB Control Number: 1205–0465.

Affected Public: Private Sector—Not-for-profit institutions.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 190.

Total Estimated Number of Responses: 67,760.

Total Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 27,980.

Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden: $0.

Dated: May 19, 2011.

Michel Smyth,
Departmental Clearance Officer.

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–75,162]

Pisgah Yarn and Dyeing Company Including On-Site Leased Workers From Manpower, Inc. Old Fort, NC; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated May 12, 2011, workers requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers’ eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Pisgah Yarn & Dyeing Company, Old Fort, North Carolina (subject firm). The worker group includes on-site leased workers from Manpower, Inc. The determination was issued on April 28, 2011. The Department’s Notice of Determination will soon be published in the Federal Register. The workers are engaged in employment related to the production of cotton yarn used for craft trade.

The negative determination was based on the findings that there was no shift to/acquisition from a foreign country by the subject firm in production of yarn; that the quantity of sales and production at the subject firm increased in 2010 from 2009 levels; that the subject firm is not a Supplier nor a Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a worker group eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance; and that the subject firm was not named in an affirmative finding of injury by the U.S. International Trade Commission.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioners alleged that the company was sold to a Canadian firm.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. Specifically, the Department will conduct further investigation to determine whether the purchasing firm was a successor-in-interest.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor’s prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
[TA–W–74,595]

Bush Industries, Inc., Including On-Site Leased Workers From Express Employment Professionals and Labor Ready, Erie, PA; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on February 10, 2011, applicable to workers of Bush Industries, Inc., including on-site leased workers from Express Employment Professionals and Labor Ready, Erie, Pennsylvania. The workers are engaged in the production of ready-to-assemble wood furniture. The determination was issued on February 10, 2011. The Department’s Notice will soon be published in the Federal Register.

At the request of the State Agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The review shows that a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance was issued for all workers of Bush Industries, Inc., including on-site leased workers from Express Employment Professionals and Labor Ready, Erie, Pennsylvania, separated from employment on or after January 26, 2009 through February 3, 2011 (TA–W–64,750). The Department’s Notice was published in the Federal Register on March 3, 2009 (74 FR 9282).

In order to avoid an overlap in worker group coverage, the Department is amending the December 10, 2009 impact date established for TA–W–74,995 to read February 4, 2011. The amended notice applicable to TA–W–74,995 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Bush Industries, Inc., including on-site leased workers from Express Employment Professionals and Labor Ready, Erie, Pennsylvania, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 4, 2011, through February 10, 2013, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of March 2011.

Del Min Amy Chen,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2011–12776 Filed 5–24–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
[TA–W–74,530; TA–W–74,530A; TA–W–74,530B; et al.]
Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

TA–W–74,530
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Boise, Idaho

TA–W–74,530B
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Ellicott City, Maryland

TA–W–74,530C

TA–W–74,530D

TA–W–74,530E
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Corvallis, Oregon

TA–W–74,530F
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Blue Bell, Pennsylvania

TA–W–74,530G
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Three Locations in Texas Houston and Plano (Two Locations), Texas Teleworkers In Denton, Texas

TA–W–74,530H
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Herndon, Virginia

TA–W–74,530I

TA–W–74,530J

TA–W–74,530K
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Franklin, Kentucky Teleworkers Across Kentucky

TA–W–74,530L
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Two Locations In Massachusetts Marlboro and Andover (Two Locations), Massachusetts Teleworkers Across Massachusetts

TA–W–74,530M
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Las Vegas, Nevada Teleworkers Across Nevada

TA–W–74,530N
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Miami, Florida Teleworkers Across Florida

TA–W–74,530O
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Edison, New Jersey Teleworkers Across New Jersey

TA–W–74,530P
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Teleworkers Across Oklahoma

TA–W–74,530Q
Hewlett Packard Company Human Resources Division Including Workers Whose Unemployment Insurance (UI) Wages Are Paid Through Electronic Data Systems (EDS) Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Teleworkers Across Oklahoma