of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. The information collection activities and the form are included in a separate public docket. See http://www.regulations.gov, docket identification number EPA-HQ-OPP-2010-0723.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Education, Pests and pesticides.

Dated: May 11, 2011.

Stephen A. Owens,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2011–12226 Filed 5–17–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0909; FRL-8873-4]

Pesticide Reregistration Performance Measures and Goals; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: EPA issued a notice in the **Federal Register** of March 2, 2011, concerning the Agency's progress in meeting its performance measures and goals for pesticide reregistration during fiscal years 2009 and 2010. This document is being issued to correct two typographical errors.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol P. Stangel, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division (7508P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 308–8007; e-mail address: stangel.carol@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

The Agency included in the notice a list of those who may be potentially affected by this action. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?

EPA has established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2009-0909. Publicly available docket materials are available either in the electronic docket at http://www.regulations.gov, or, if only available in hard copy, at the Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.), 2777 S. Crystal Dr., Arlington, VA. The hours of operation of this Docket Facility are from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket Facility telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

II. What does this correction do?

The preamble in FR Doc. 2011–4649, published in the **Federal Register** of March 2, 2011 (76 FR 11456) (FRL–8859–4), is corrected as follows:

1. On page 11458, Table 1, second column, first entry, correct "697" to read "679."

2. On page 11459, Table 1, second column, second entry, correct "1,214" to read "1,196."

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: May 5, 2011.

William L. Jordan,

Acting Director, Office of Pesticide Programs. [FR Doc. 2011–12231 Filed 5–17–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9308-1]

Re-Proposal of Effluent Limits Under the NPDES General Permit for Oil and Gas Exploration, Development and Production Facilities Located in State and Federal Waters in Cook Inlet, AK (AKG-31-5000)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA Region 10 today reproposes six effluent limits for produced water under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Oil and Gas Exploration, Development and Production Facilities in State and Federal Waters in Cook Inlet, Permit No. AKG–31–5000 (Permit). The effluent limits subject to the re-proposal are: mercury, copper, total aromatic hydrocarbons (TAH), total aqueous

hydrocarbons (TAqH), silver, and whole effluent toxicity (WET). As proposed, the Permit would continue to authorize discharges from exploration, development, and production facilities that are included in the Coastal and Offshore Subcategory of the Oil and Gas Extraction Point Source Category as authorized by Section 402 of the Clean Water Act (CWA or "the Act"), 33 U.S.C. 1342.

State Certification: Section 401 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. 1341, requires EPA to seek a certification from the State that the conditions of the re-proposed Permit are stringent enough to comply with State water quality standards. EPA obtained a draft certification from the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) on May 3, 2011. EPA intends to seek a final certification from ADEC prior to issuing the final Permit. When the State issues certification, the State may impose more stringent conditions than are currently included in the Permit re-proposal to ensure compliance with State water quality standards. EPA would then be required to include the more stringent conditions from the State certification in the Permit pursuant to Section 401(d) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. 1341(d).

DATES: *Comments.* The public comment period on the re-proposed produced water effluent limits will be from the date of publication of this Notice until June 20, 2011. Comments must be received or post-marked by no later than midnight on June 20, 2011.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods. EPA will consider all comments prior to making its final decision.

Mail: Send paper copies to Hanh Shaw, Office of Water and Watersheds, Mail Stop OWW–130, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101– 3140.

E-mail: Send electronic copies to *shaw.hanh@epa.gov.*

Fax: Fax copies to the attention of Hanh Shaw at (206) 553–0165.

Hand Delivery/Courier: Deliver copies to Hanh Shaw, Office of Water and Watersheds, Mail Stop OWW–130, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101–3140. Call (206) 553–1200 before delivery to verify business hours.

Viewing and/or Obtaining Copies of Documents. A copy of the Permit reproposal, the fact sheet that fully explains the re-proposal, and a copy of the State's draft certification of reasonable assurance may be obtained or viewed at the following locations. (1) EPA Region 10 Library, Park Place Building, 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101–3140; (206) 553– 1289. (2) EPA, Region 10, Alaska Operations Office, 222 W 7th Avenue, #19, Room 537, Anchorage, AK 99513; (907) 271–5083. (3) EPA Web site http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/water.nsf/ NPDES+Permits/Permits+Homepage. (4) ADEC Anchorage office, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, AK 99501–2617. (5) ADEC Web site http://www.dec. state.ak.us/water/index.htm.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hanh Shaw, Office of Water and Watersheds, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 900, Mail Stop OWW– 130, Seattle, WA 98101–3140, (206) 553–0171, shaw.hanh@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 25, 2007, EPA issued the NPDES General Permit for Oil and Gas Exploration, Development and Production Facilities in State and Federal Waters in Cook Inlet, AKG-31-5000, effective July 2, 2007. On June 17, 2007, a petition for review was filed with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (Court) by Cook Inletkeeper, Cook Inlet Fishermen's Fund, the Native Village of Nanwalek, and the Native Village of Port Graham (Petitioners) pursuant to Section 509(b)(1)(F) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. 1369(b)(1)(F). Among other things, Petitioners challenged the effluent limits in the Permit that became less stringent than the previous permit, claiming that these less stringent limits were not supported by an adequate antidegradation analysis. On March 15, 2010, EPA filed a Motion for Voluntary Remand (Motion). The Motion requested the Court to remand the less stringent produced water effluent limits for mercury, copper, TAH, TAqH, and WET to allow EPA to reconsider the inclusion of these limits in the Permit. On October 21, 2010, the Court issued a Memorandum which granted EPA's Motion, subject to specific reporting requirements (Ninth Circuit, Case No. 07-72420). As a result, the Court remanded the requested effluent limits to EPA. At the time EPA made its Motion to the Court, EPA inadvertently left out the less stringent silver effluent for produced water. This was an error and EPA should have included this limit in the remand request. Therefore, EPA has also included the silver effluent limit in this re-proposal.

Authority: This action is taken under the authority of Section 402 of the Clean Water Act as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1342. I hereby provide public notice of the Permit reproposal in accordance with 40 CFR 124.10.

Dated: May 11, 2011. **Christine Psyk,** *Associate Director, Office of Water and Watersheds, Region 10.* [FR Doc. 2011–12216 Filed 5–17–11; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Information Collections Being Submitted for Review and Approval to the Office of Management and Budget

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995. Comments are requested concerning: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) ways to further reduce the information collection burden for small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before June 17, 2011. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the FCC contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via fax at 202–395–5167 or via e-mail to

Nicholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to the Federal Communications Commission via e-mail to PRA@fcc.gov and Paul.Laurenzano@fcc.gov. To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to the Web page http://reginfo.gov/ public/do/PRAMain, (2) look for the section of the Web page called "Currently Under Review", (3) click on the downward-pointing arrow in the "Select Agency" box below the "Currently Under Review" heading, (4) select "Federal Communications Commission" from the list of agencies presented in the "Select Agency" box, (5) click the "Submit" button to the right of the "Select Agency" box, and (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the title of this ICR (or its OMB Control Number, if there is one) and then click on the ICR Reference Number to view detailed information about this ICR.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Paul Laurenzano on (202) 418–1359.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0298. Title: Tariffs (Other Than Tariff Review Plan)—Part 61.

Form No.: N/A.

- *Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.
- *Respondents:* Business or other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 580 respondents; 1,160 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: 50 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion and biennial reporting requirements.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection is contained in 47 U.S.C. 151–155, 201–205, 208, 251–271, 403, 502 and 503.

Total Annual Burden: 58,000 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$945,400. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: The information requested is not of a confidential nature. Respondents who believe certain information to be of a proprietary nature may solicit confidential treatment of their material in accordance with the procedures described in 47 CFR 0.459 of the Commission's rules.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this expiring information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to obtain the full three year clearance. There is no change in the reporting requirements. There is a \$46,400 increase adjustment in the