**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**[A–533–820]**

**Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From India: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher Hargett, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–8173 and (202) 482–8161.

**Background**


**Extension of Time Limit of the Final Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, requires the Department to issue the final results of a review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days. See 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit because the Department needs additional time to evaluate information on the record and arguments raised by parties with respect to Tata Steel Limited’s single entry of subject merchandise. Therefore, the Department is fully extending the time limit for the final results to July 12, 2011, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 10, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**[A–570–878]**

**Persulfates From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 11, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published its Preliminary Results for the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) covering the period July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010, period of review (“POR”). For the Preliminary Results, see Preliminary Results. No other party submitted comments. Therefore, the Preliminary Results are hereby adopted as the final results.

**DATES:** Effective Date: May 17, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brandon Petelin or Charles Riggle, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–8173 and (202) 482–0650, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On March 11, 2011, the Department published its Preliminary Results for the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the PRC covering the July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010, period of review (“POR”). For the Preliminary Results, because United Initiators (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. (“United Initiators”) did not respond to the Department’s questionnaire, we were unable to determine if United Initiators was eligible for a separate rate. United Initiators did not rebut the Department’s presumption of government control and was, therefore, presumed to be part of the PRC-wide entity. Further, in accordance with sections 776(a)(2)(A) and (B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”), because the PRC-wide entity (including United Initiators) failed to cooperate to the best of its ability by not responding to our questionnaire, we found it appropriate to use adverse facts available. On March 21, 2011, FMC submitted comments stating that it fully supports the Department’s Preliminary Results. No other party submitted comments.

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by this review are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The chemical formula for these persulfates are, respectively, (NH₄)₂S₅O₈, K₂S₅O₈, and Na₂S₅O₈. Potassium persulfates are currently classifiable under subheading 2833.40.10 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

2 On October 8, 2010, the Department confirmed that United Initiators signed for and received our mailing of the antidumping duty questionnaire. United Initiators did not respond to the Department’s antidumping duty questionnaire. On January 3, 2011, the Department placed on the record of this administrative review the UPS International Air Waybill receipt and delivery confirmation for the questionnaire issued to United Initiators to confirm that we mailed, and United Initiators received and signed for, the questionnaire.

3 See Preliminary Results.

4 See Preliminary Results.
cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties. This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation that is subject to sanction.

This notice of the final results of this administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(1)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 10, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–905]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People’s Republic of China: Full Extension of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“Department”) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of certain polyester staple fiber from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). This review covers the period June 1, 2009, through May 31, 2010.

DATES: Effective Date: May 17, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Huang or Steven Hampton, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4047 or (202) 482–0116, respectively.

Background

On July 28, 2010, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from the PRC. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part, 75 FR 44224 (July 28, 2010). The preliminary results of this review are currently due no later than May 31, 2011.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month. Although we previously extended the 245-day period by 90 days for completion of the review, we have determined that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the extended 335-day period is not practicable because the Department needs additional time to complete verification reports.

Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the extended 335-day time period, we are fully extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review by 30 days. The preliminary results will now be due no later than June 30, 2011. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

See id.