received on the Draft Revisions 2 of both the GALL Report and the Standard Review Plan for License Renewal (SRP–LR), published for public comment in May 2010 (75 FR 27838), with the public comment period expiring on July 2, 2010. The disposition of the comments accepted by the NRC staff and used as basis for instituting a change to either the GALL Report or the SRP–LR are detailed in this document. In addition, the public comments that did not result in a change to either NUREG are also dispositioned, and a technical basis for the staff’s handling of these comments is presented.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**
- Electronic copies are available in the Commission’s Public Document Room (PDR). The public may examine and have copied, for a fee, publicly available documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. Copies are also available from the NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available online in the NRC’s Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. From its PDR, the public can gain entry into ADAMS, which provides text and image files of the NRC’s public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC’s PDR reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The NUREG–1950 is under ADAMS Accession Number ML11116A062.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Introduction**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a revision to an existing guide in the agency’s “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public information such as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the agency’s regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Revision 1 of Regulatory Guide 1.177, “An Approach for Plant-Specific, Risk-Informed Decisionmaking: Technical Specifications,” was issued with a temporary identification as Draft Regulatory Guide, DG–1227. This regulatory guide describes methods acceptable to the NRC staff for assessing the nature and impact of proposed technical specifications (TS) changes by considering engineering issues and applying risk insights. Licensees submitting risk information (whether on their own initiative or at the request of the staff) should address each of the principles of risk-informed regulation discussed in this regulatory guide. Licensees should identify how chosen approaches and methods (whether they are quantitative or qualitative, traditional or probabilistic), data, and criteria for considering risk are appropriate for making the necessary decision.

This regulatory guide provides the staff’s recommendations for using risk information to evaluate changes to nuclear power plant TS completion times and surveillance frequencies in order to assess the impact of such proposed changes on the risk associated with plant operation. The guidance provided here does not preclude other approaches for requesting TS changes. Rather, this regulatory guide is intended to improve consistency in regulatory decisions related to TS changes in which the results of risk analyses are used to help justify the change. As such, this regulatory guide, the use of which is voluntary, provides guidance concerning an approach that the NRC has determined to be acceptable for analyzing issues associated with proposed changes to a plant’s TS and for assessing the impact of such proposed changes on the risk associated with plant design and operation. Additional or revised guidance might be provided for new reactors (e.g., advanced light-water reactors) licensed under 10 CFR part 52. “Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants.”

Other types of TS changes that follow the principles outlined in this regulatory guide may be proposed and will be considered on their own merit.

**II. Further Information**

In August 2009, DG–1227 was published with a public comment period of 60 days from the issuance of the guide. The public comment period closed on November 3, 2009. Electronic copies of Regulatory Guide 1.177 Revision 1 are available through the NRC’s public Web site under “Regulatory Guides” at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/.

In addition, regulatory guides are available for inspection at the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) located at Room O–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852–2738. The PDR’s mailing address is USNRC PDR, Washington, DC 20550–0001. The PDR can also be reached by telephone at (301) 415–4737 or (800) 397–4209, by fax at (301) 415–3548, and by e-mail to pdr.resources@nrc.gov.

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of May 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Harriet Karagiannis,
Acting Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Melanie A. Galloway,
Deputy Director, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.


**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Donald Helton, Probabilistic Risk Assessment Branch, Division of Risk Analysis, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone 301–251–7594 or e-mail Donald.Helton@nrc.gov.

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[NRC–2009–0385]**

Notice of Issuance of Regulatory Guide

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Issuance and Availability of Regulatory Guide 1.174,

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donal Helton, Probabilistic Risk Assessment Branch, Division of Risk Analysis, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone 301–251–7594 or e-mail Donald.Helton@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a revision to an existing guide in the agency’s “Regulatory Guide” series. This series was developed to describe and make available to the public information such as methods that are acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the agency’s regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.174, “An Approach For Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment In Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis,” was issued with a temporary identification as Draft Regulatory Guide, DG–1226. This regulatory guide describes an acceptable method for the licensee and NRC staff to use in assessing the nature and impact of licensing basis (LB) changes when the licensee chooses to support, or is requested by the staff to support, the changes with risk information. The NRC staff will review these LB changes by considering engineering issues and applying risk insights. Licensees that submit risk information (whether on their own initiative or at the request of the staff) should address each of the principles of risk-informed regulation discussed in this regulatory guide.

The guidance provided in this regulatory guide does not preclude other approaches for requesting changes to the LB. Rather, the staff intends for this regulatory guide to improve consistency in regulatory decisions in areas in which the results of risk analyses are used to help justify regulatory action. As such, the process, and approach discussed herein also provide useful guidance for the application of risk information to a broader set of activities than plant-specific changes to a plant’s LB (i.e., generic activities), and licensees are encouraged to use this guidance in that regard.

The NRC issues regulatory guides to describe to the public methods that the staff considers acceptable for use in implementing specific parts of the agency’s regulations, to explain techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and to provide guidance to applicants. Regulatory guides are not substitutes for regulations and compliance with them is not required.

II. Further Information

In April 2009, DG–1226 was published with a public comment period of 60 days from the issuance of the guide. The public comment period closed on November 03, 2009. Electronic copies of Regulatory Guide 1.174, Revision 2 are available through the NRC’s public Web site under “Regulatory Guides” at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/.

In addition, regulatory guides are available for inspection at the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) located at Room O–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852–2738. The PDR’s mailing address is USNRC PDR, Washington, DC 20550–0001. The PDR can also be reached by telephone at (301) 415–4737 or (800) 397–4209, by fax at (301) 415–3548, and by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of May, 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Harriet Karagiannis,
Acting Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2011–11832 Filed 5–12–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
[Docket No. A2011–15; Order No. 726]
Post Office Closing

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document informs the public that an appeal of the closing of the Gwynedd, Pennsylvania post office has been filed. It identifies preliminary steps and provides a procedural schedule. Publication of this document will allow the Postal Service, petitioner, and others to take appropriate action.

DATES: Administrative record due (from Postal Service): May 18, 2011; deadline for notices to intervene: June 3, 2011. See the Procedural Schedule in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section for other dates of interest.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the “Filing Online” link in the banner at the top of the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov) or by directly accessing the Commission’s Filing Online system at https://www.prc.gov/phys-pages/filing-online/login.aspx. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820 (case-related information) or DocketAdmins@prc.gov (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 404(d), on May 3, 2011, the Commission received a petition for review of the closing of the Gwynedd, Pennsylvania, post office. The petition, which was filed by Christina Surowiec (Petitioner), is postmarked May 2, 2011, and was posted on the Commission’s Web site May 4, 2011. The Commission hereby institutes a proceeding under 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5) and designates the case as Docket No. A2011–15 to consider Petitioner’s appeal. If Petitioner would like to further explain her position with SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION or facts, Petitioner may either file a Participant Statement on PRC Form 61 or file a brief with the Commission no later than June 7, 2011.

Categories of issues apparently raised. Preliminarily, Petitioner raises several issues, including failure to consider the effect on the community (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(2)(A)(i)), and failure to observe procedures required by law (see 39 U.S.C. 404(d)(5)(B)). In addition, Petitioner contends that the Postal Service failed to consider relevant facts, and that its determination to close the Gwynedd post office is arbitrary and capricious.

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth in the Petitioner’s appeal. If the Postal Service’s determination disposes of one or more of those issues, The