

determined under FMCSA environmental procedures Order 5610.1, published March 1, 2004 (69 FR 9680), that the provision of this rule is categorically excluded (CE) based on Appendix 2, section 6(b) of the FMCSA order. This is a technical amendment needed to conform the regulations to a statutory mandate. In addition to the NEPA requirements to examine impacts on air quality, the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended (42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*) also requires FMCSA to analyze the potential impact of its actions on air quality and to ensure that FMCSA actions conform to State and local air quality implementation plans. The additional contributions to air emissions from this action are expected to fall within the CAA *de minimis* standards and are not expected to be subject to the Environmental Protection Agency's General Conformity Rule (40 CFR parts 51 and 93).

Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform)

This action meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of E.O. 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Executive Order 13045 (Protection of Children)

FMCSA has analyzed this action under E.O. 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. We determined that this final rule does not pose an environmental risk to health or safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Executive Order 12630 (Taking of Private Property)

This final rule does not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under E.O. 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Executive Order 13132 (Federalism Assessment)

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in E.O. 13132, Federalism Assessment, and it has been determined that this rulemaking does not have a substantial direct effect or sufficient federalism implications for States that would limit the policymaking discretion of the States. Nothing in this document directly preempts any State law or regulation. This final rule does not impose additional costs or burdens on the States.

Executive Order 13211 (Energy Effects)

FMCSA has analyzed this final rule under E.O. 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that Executive Order because it would not be likely to have an adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 395

Highway safety, Motor carriers, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration amends 49 CFR part 395 as follows:

PART 395—HOURS OF SERVICE OF DRIVERS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 395 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 504, 31133, 31136, 31137, and 31502; sec. 113, Pub. L. 103–311, 108 Stat. 1673, 1676; sec. 229, Pub. L. 106–159 (as transferred by sec. 4115 and amended by secs. 4130–4132, Pub. L. 109–59, 119 Stat. 1144, 1726, 1743, 1744); sec. 4133, Pub. L. 109–59, 119 Stat. 1144, 1744; sec. 108, Pub. L. 110–432, 122 Stat. 4860–4866; and 49 CFR 1.73.

■ 2. Amend § 395.1 to revise paragraph (a)(1) and add paragraph (r) to read as follows:

§ 395.1 Scope of the rules in this part.

(a) * * *

(1) The rules in this part apply to all motor carriers and drivers, except as provided in paragraphs (b) through (r) of this section.

* * * * *

(r) *Railroad signal employees.* The provisions of this part shall not apply to a signal employee, as defined in § 395.2, who operates a commercial motor vehicle, is engaged in installing, repairing, or maintaining signal systems, is employed by a railroad carrier or a contractor or subcontractor to a railroad carrier, while regulated by the Federal Railroad Administration.

■ 3. Amend § 395.2 by adding the definition "signal employee" in alphabetical order to read as follows:

§ 395.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

Signal employee, as defined in 49 U.S.C. 21101(4), means an individual who is engaged in installing, repairing, or maintaining signal systems.

* * * * *

Issued on: May 2, 2011.

Anne S. Ferro,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2011–11018 Filed 5–4–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–EX–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

[Docket No. FWS–R6–ES–2011–0032; 92220–1113–0000; ABC Code: C6]

RIN 1018–AX81

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Reissuance of Final Rule To Identify the Northern Rocky Mountain Population of Gray Wolf as a Distinct Population Segment and To Revise the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On April 15, 2011, President Obama signed the Department of Defense and Full-Year Appropriations Act, 2011. A section of that Appropriations Act directs the Secretary of the Interior to reissue within 60 days of enactment the final rule published on April 2, 2009, that identified the Northern Rocky Mountain population of gray wolf (*Canis lupus*) as a distinct population segment (DPS) and to revise the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife by removing most of the gray wolves in the DPS. This rule complies with that directive.

DATES: This action is effective May 5, 2011.

ADDRESSES: This final rule is available on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. It will also be available for inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Montana Ecological Services Field Office, 585 Shepard Way, Helena, MT 59601; telephone (406) 449–5225.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information on wolves in the northern Rocky Mountains, see <http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/species/mammals/wolf/>, or contact U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Montana Ecological Services Field Office (see **ADDRESSES**) or telephone (406) 449–5225. Individuals who are hearing-impaired or speech-impaired may call the Federal Relay Service at 1–800–877–8337 for TTY assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 2, 2009, we, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), published a final rule to remove protections of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), from most of the concurrently designated northern Rocky Mountain (NRM) gray wolf Distinct Population Segment (DPS) (74 FR 15123). Additional background information on the NRM gray wolf population, including previous Federal actions, can be found in our April 2, 2009, final rule. The complete text of the April 2, 2009, publication in the **Federal Register** can be viewed online as part of the docket for this rulemaking at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Lawsuits challenging our April 2, 2009, final rule were filed in U.S. District Court for the District of Montana and U.S. District Court for the District of Wyoming. On August 5, 2010, the U.S. District Court for the District of Montana vacated and set aside our 2009 delisting rule (*Defenders of Wildlife et al. v. Salazar et al.*, (729 F. Supp. 2d 1207 (D. Mont.)).

On April 15, 2011, President Obama signed Public Law 112–10—The Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011. Section 1713 of Public Law 112–10 requires: “Before the end of the 60-day period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall reissue the final rule published on April 2, 2009 (74 FR 15123 *et seq.*), without regard to any other provision of statute or regulation that applies to issuance of such rule. Such reissuance (including this section) shall not be subject to judicial review and shall not abrogate or otherwise have any effect on the order and judgment issued by the United States District Court for the District of Wyoming in Case Number 09–CV–118J and 09–CV–138J on November 18, 2010.”

This final rule implements that directive. The regulatory text of this final rule differs from that of the April 2, 2009, final regulation only to reflect the withdrawal of the April 2, 2009, delisting of the western Great Lakes distinct population segment of gray wolves (74 FR 15070) pursuant to terms of a settlement agreement approved by

the District Court for the District of Columbia in *Humane Society of the United States v. Salazar*, 1:09–CV–1092–PLF (D.D.C.), on July 1, 2009. The preamble to the 2009 final NRM rule was explicit that the regulatory language pertaining to the western Great Lakes DPS was not attributable to the NRM rule:

“Elsewhere in today’s **Federal Register**, we also identify the Western Great Lakes (WGL) DPS and removed the gray wolves in that DPS from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife. As the Service is taking these regulatory actions with respect to the NRM DPS and WGL DPS at the same time, this final rule includes regulatory revisions under § 17.11(h) that reflect the removal of the protections of the Act for both the WGL DPS and most of the NRM DPS, and reflect that gray wolves in Wyoming, an SPR of the NRM DPS range, continue to be listed as an experimental population. However, only that portion of the revised gray wolf listing in § 17.11(h) that pertains to the NRM DPS is attributable to this final rule.” [74 FR at 15184]

Effects of the Rule

Gray wolves in Montana and Idaho, as well as portions of eastern Oregon, eastern Washington, and north-central Utah, are removed from the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife. Gray wolves in Wyoming remain on the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and continue to be subject to the provisions of our experimental population regulations codified at 50 CFR 17.84(i) and (n).

Outside Wyoming, this rule will not affect the status of the gray wolf in the NRM under State laws or suspend any other legal protections provided by State law. This rule will not affect the gray wolf’s Appendix II status under the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES).

Concurrent Proposed Rule

In the Proposed Rules section of today’s **Federal Register**, we are also publishing a proposed rule that reevaluates the listing of the western Great Lakes population of gray wolves (*Canis lupus*) and proposes to revise it to conform to current statutory and policy requirements. The rule portions for 50 CFR 17.11(h) of this final rule and the proposed western Great Lakes rule

are different because the final rule depicts the listing for the gray wolf as it stands today, based on the long history of rulemaking for this species, various judicial decisions, and the recent congressional action. The rule portion of the western Great Lakes rule reflects the listing for the gray wolf as we envision it should be unless public comments on the proposed rule provide information that persuades us that the listing should be presented differently.

Effective Date

This rule is effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**. Section 1713 of Public Law 112–10, directs us to reissue, within 60 days of enactment, the final NRM rule published on April 2, 2009. Section 1713 also expressly provides that such reissuance is not subject to any other statute or regulation that applies to such a rule.

Administrative Procedure

This rulemaking implements section 1713 of Public Law 112–10, which expressly provides that the reissuance of this rule is not subject to any other provision of statute or regulation that applies to issuance of such a rule.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and threatened species, Exports, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Regulation Promulgation

Accordingly, we amend part 17, subchapter B of chapter I, title 50 of the CFR, as set forth below:

PART 17—[AMENDED]

- 1. The authority citation for part 17 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1361–1407; 16 U.S.C. 1531–1544; 16 U.S.C. 4201–4245; Pub. L. 99–625, 100 Stat. 3500; unless otherwise noted.

- 2. Amend § 17.11(h) by revising the entry for “Wolf, gray” under MAMMALS in the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife to read as follows:

§ 17.11 [Amended]

* * * * *

(h) * * *

Species	Historic range	Vertebrate population where endangered or threatened	Status	When listed	Critical habitat	Special rules
Common name	Scientific name					
MAMMALS						

Species		Historic range	Vertebrate population where endangered or threatened	Status	When listed	Critical habitat	Special rules
Common name	Scientific name						
Wolf, gray	<i>Canis lupus</i>	Holarctic	U.S.A., conterminous (lower 48) States, except: (1) Where listed as an experimental population below; (2) Minnesota; (3) MT, ID, WY (however, see experimental population designation below), eastern WA (that portion of WA east of the centerline of Highway 97 and Highway 17 north of Mesa and that portion of WA east of the centerline of Highway 395 south of Mesa), eastern OR (portion of OR east of the centerline of Highway 395 and Highway 78 north of Burns Junction and that portion of OR east of the centerline of Highway 95 south of Burns Junction), and north central UT (that portion of UT east of the centerline of Highway 84 and north of Highway 80). Mexico.	E	1, 6, 13, 15, 35	*	*
Dododo	U.S.A. (MN)	T	35	17.95(a)	17.40(d)
Dododo	U.S.A. (portions of AZ, NM, and TX—see § 17.84(k)).	XN	631	N/A	17.84(k)
Wolf, gray [Northern Rocky Moun- tain DPS].	<i>Canis lupus</i>	U.S.A. (MT, ID, WY, eastern WA, eastern OR, and north central UT).	U.S.A. (WY—see § 17.84(i) and (n)).	XN	561, 562	N/A	17.84(i) 17.84(n)

- 3. Amend § 17.84 by:
 - a. Revising paragraphs (i)(7)(i) and (ii) and removing paragraph (i)(7)(iii);
 - b. Revising the first sentence of paragraph (n)(1); and
 - c. Revising paragraphs (n)(9)(1) and (ii) and removing paragraph (n)(9)(iii).

The revisions read as follows:

§ 17.84 Special rules—vertebrates.

* * * * *

(i) * * *

(7) * * *

(i) The nonessential experimental population area includes all of Wyoming.

(ii) All wolves found in the wild within the boundaries of this paragraph (i)(7) will be considered nonessential experimental animals. In the conterminous United States, a wolf that is outside an experimental area (as defined in paragraph (i)(7) of this section) would take on the status for wolves in the area in which it is found unless it is marked or otherwise known to be an experimental animal; such a

wolf may be captured for examination and genetic testing by the Service or Service-designated agency. Disposition of the captured animal may take any of the following courses:

(A) If the animal was not involved in conflicts with humans and is determined likely to be an experimental wolf, it may be returned to the reintroduction area.

(B) If the animal is determined likely to be an experimental wolf and was involved in conflicts with humans as identified in the management plan for the closest experimental area, it may be relocated, placed in captivity, or killed.

(C) If the animal is determined not likely to be an experimental animal, it will be managed according to any Service-approved plans for that area or will be marked and released near its point of capture.

(D) If the animal is determined not to be a wild gray wolf or if the Service or agencies designated by the Service determine the animal shows physical or behavioral evidence of hybridization

with other canids, such as domestic dogs or coyotes, or of being an animal raised in captivity, it may be returned to captivity or killed.

* * * * *

(n) * * *

(1) The gray wolves (wolf) identified in paragraph (n)(9)(i) of this section are a nonessential experimental population.

* * *

* * * * *

(9) * * *

(i) The nonessential experimental population area includes all of Wyoming.

(ii) All wolves found in the wild within the boundaries of this experimental area are considered nonessential experimental animals.

* * * * *

Dated: April 26, 2011.

Daniel M. Ashe,

Acting Director, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2011-10860 Filed 5-4-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P